International Journal of
Supply Chain Management
(IJSCM)

Legal and Environmental Risks in Cross-Border Procurement: Implications for Ontario Businesses Importing from China with a Focus on Supply Chain and Sustainability

Minrui Evan Li and Ahmad Teymouri

International Journal of Supply Chain Management
ISSN 2518-4709 (Online)
Vol.10, Issue 2, No.3, pp 36 - 64, 2025

Legal and Environmental Risks in Cross-Border Procurement: Implications for Ontario Businesses Importing from China with a Focus on Supply Chain and Sustainability

[1*]Minrui Evan Li
Algonquin College of Applied Arts and Technology
[2]Ahmad Teymouri
Algonquin College of Applied Arts and Technology

Article History

Received 14th August 2025

Received in Revised Form 19th September 2025

Accepted 22nd October 2025

How to cite in APA format:

Li, M., & Teymouri, A. (2025). Legal and Environmental Risks in Cross-Border Procurement: Implications for Ontario Businesses Importing from China with a Focus on Supply Chain and Sustainability. *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*, *10*(2), 36–64. https://doi.org/10.47604/ijscm.3546

Abstract

Purpose: The study not only focuses on the legal risks faced by Ontario businesses in cross-border procurement from China but also considers how sustainability practices and environmental regulations impact these risks. As businesses increasingly prioritize green supply chain management and sustainability, understanding how to mitigate legal risks related to environmental laws, ethical sourcing, and the global push for sustainable practices is critical. The paper explores how these factors intertwine with legal considerations, providing a comprehensive view of the risks businesses face when importing goods and meeting consumer expectations for environmentally responsible products.

Methodology: The research utilizes methods, including a mix of statutory analysis, case study, and literature review, to analysis the legal risks associated with cross-border procurement from China. This paper reviewed sources from a mix of academic and legal databases, while it gives priority to studies from the last 10-15 years.

Findings: This paper identifies three significant risks facing businesses intellectual property infringement, product liability, and lack of jurisdiction and introduces a fourth: sustainability and environmental compliance. This includes navigating green procurement challenges, the environmental impact of goods being imported, and the potential legal consequences for violating international environmental laws or failing to meet sustainability standards. As sustainability becomes a driving factor in procurement, businesses must adapt to evolving green regulations and ethical sourcing practices to avoid legal pitfalls.

Unique Contribution to Theory, Practice and Policy: This study extends Siedel's Six Forces Model to include environmental and sustainability considerations in business legal risks and international procurement. It adds a dimension to Siedel's theory, validating that understanding not only the legal but also the environmental and ethical challenges facing businesses is crucial for competitive advantage in cross-border procurement. The paper encourages future research in integrating sustainability with legal risk mitigation strategies in international procurement, offering a new framework that balances both sustainability and legal compliance.

Keywords: *Cross-Border Procurement, Legal Risks, Jurisdictional Challenges, Sustainable Supply Chain, Environmental Compliance, Ethical Sourcing, Environmental Economics*

INTRODUCTION

The trade relationship between Ontario and China plays a pivotal role in Canada's overall economic activity. China is Canada's second-largest trading partner, and Ontario, in particular, is the province that imports the highest value of goods from China. In August 2024 alone, Canada imported goods worth $5.168 billion from China, with Ontario accounting for a significant portion of this trade (Government of Canada, 2024). According to Canadian government, among the top categories of imports, electronic devices such as smartphones and laptops dominate, along with other goods like motor parts, toys, and seasonal decorations. Ontario's large-scale importation of Chinese goods reflects its growing reliance on China's vast manufacturing capabilities, particularly in capital and intermediate goods.

Canadian businesses, including those in Ontario, benefit from the ability to source products at a lower cost while maintaining quality standards (Gereffi & Lee, 2012). However, this trade relationship, which has flourished over the past two decades, also brings with it a host of legal and environmental risks that need to be understood and mitigated. As businesses increasingly incorporate Chinese goods into their supply chains, they must be aware of the legal frameworks and environmental concerns that could affect the procurement process, particularly as the demand for sustainability grows. The Office of the Chief Economist highlights that China plays an increasingly important role in Canada's supply chain. Over time, the proportion of finished consumption goods in total imports has declined, while Canadian businesses are sourcing more capital and intermediate goods from China (Canada, 2020). Within the field of supply chain management, scholars argue that businesses benefit from China's established large-scale production lines (Gereffi & Lee, 2012). For instance, when purchasing in large volumes from a Chinese supplier, Canadian companies can often negotiate lower costs while maintaining quality standards. While Ontario businesses gain a competitive advantage by incorporating Chinese goods into their supply chains, they must also consider the legal risks inherent in cross-border procurement, particularly given the complexity of navigating the global trade dynamics.

Research Problem

This research paper explores the legal and environmental risks that Ontario businesses face when engaging in cross-border procurement with China. While these businesses gain competitive advantages by sourcing products from China, they must also navigate legal complexities, including government regulations, contractual issues, and intellectual property concerns. Furthermore, as sustainability becomes a key focus in global supply chains, this paper also analyses the environmental and ethical risks associated with their procurement practices. The growing emphasis on green supply chains and sustainability has heightened the need for businesses to adopt responsible procurement practices that not only comply with legal regulations but also address environmental and ethical issues. There is limited literature that specifically addresses the legal and environmental risks in Ontario–China trade. Addressing these challenges is essential for businesses to remain competitive while effectively mitigating risks in the evolving global market.

Objectives

The main objective of this study is to examine and address the legal risks that Ontario businesses face in cross-border procurement from China, with a focus on sustainability and risk mitigation. Specifically, the study aims to: (1) identify the legal risks associated with cross-border procurements from China from Ontario businesses' perspectives, (2) assess the legal risks related to rising environmental and ethical concerns in sustainable supply chain management, and (3) identify research gaps and provide recommendations for risk mitigation to support Ontario businesses and guide future research. This study highlights the importance of understanding the legal environment and the need for businesses and provide recommendations to mitigate traditional risks and address emerging concerns related to sustainability. In Turn, businesses can better manage their procurement processes and contribute to the broader goal of sustainable supply chain management

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows: The Background section provides an overview of key concepts in global supply chain management, emphasizing the role of legal risks in international trade and the increasing importance of sustainability. The Legal Risks in Cross-Border Procurement section examines the challenges businesses face when navigating government regulations, litigation risks, and contractual issues in the context of importing goods from China. The Environmental Risks and Sustainability section explores strategies for addressing sustainability concerns and the role of green procurement in mitigating environmental risks. The Implications for Businesses section presents practical recommendations for managing both legal and environmental risks, as well as enhancing sustainability in supply chain practices. Lastly, the Conclusion summarizes the key findings of the study and suggests avenues for future research and policy development.

Background

In today's interconnected global economy, understanding the business legal environment has become a critical determinant of competitive advantage, particularly for companies engaged in cross-border procurement. Ontario businesses importing goods from China operate within a complex framework of international trade laws, contractual obligations, and jurisdictional considerations that can significantly influence operational success. As Siedel's Six Forces Model emphasizes, navigating government regulations, mitigating litigation risks, and integrating legal awareness into strategic decision-making are essential for maintaining a sustainable competitive edge. This is especially relevant in global supply chains where contractual disputes, intellectual property concerns, and compliance with diverse legal systems can expose businesses to costly financial and reputational harm. Beyond legal compliance, modern supply chain management is increasingly shaped by sustainability imperatives. Research indicates that proactive legal risk management and responsible sourcing practices not only safeguard against traditional risks but also align with evolving consumer expectations, particularly as younger generations and socially conscious buyers demand transparency, ethical labor practices, and environmentally sound production (Canadian Grocer, 2022). For Ontario businesses, this intersection of legal diligence and sustainable operations requires a nuanced approach that addresses both statutory obligations and voluntary commitments, ensuring that procurement strategies remain competitive, compliant, and socially responsible in an era of heightened global scrutiny.

Siedel's Six Forces Model

In Siedel's Six Forces Model, Siedel (2000) illustrates that law operates not only as a constraint but also as a tool for gaining competitive advantage. The author emphasizes the recurring theme of government regulation and litigation, highlighting the legal risks associated with global business transactions (Siedel, 2000). According to Siedel, the Six Forces contains contracts, government regulation, litigation, markets and self-regulation, law as a competitive tool, and the broader legal system. The model emphasizes that these forces shapes the legal landscape in which businesses operate (Siedel, 2000). This paper aims to expand on the contract, regulation, and litigation forces, to show how Ontario companies importing from China can transform legal awareness into competitive advantage.

Siedel's Six Forces Model provides a comprehensive lens for examining the legal and environmental risks Ontario businesses face when importing from China. Contracts are central to addressing issues such as product quality, delivery obligations, and environmental standards, while government regulation in both jurisdictions imposes compliance requirements on trade, safety, and sustainability. The threat of litigation arises when disputes emerge over intellectual property, environmental violations, or contractual breaches, potentially creating costly delays. At the same time, markets and self-regulation, through industry codes, certification systems, and eco-labeling, add further layers of accountability to sustainable procurement. Using law as a competitive tool, Ontario firms can turn compliance into advantage by marketing their adherence to high environmental and ethical standards. Finally, the broader legal system, including international trade law and dispute settlement mechanisms, shapes the overall environment in which cross-border supply chains operate. Together, these six forces highlight how legal awareness and sustainability commitments are intertwined in building resilient and competitive procurement strategies.

The Importance of Understanding the Legal Business Environment

Siedel further suggests that business programs should incorporate legal components given their significant impact (Siedel, 2000). Similarly, in 2006, the Journal of Small Business Management published findings indicating a positive connection between a business's proactive legal risk management and its sustainable operations (Malach et al., 2006). In the context of global supply chain management, acting socially responsibly and complying with the law reduces legal risk (Ucaryilmaz Deibel, 2022).

Although businesses may not violate statutory law, contract laws and the legal structures of the communities involved can complicate risk management plans (Ucaryilmaz Deibel, 2022). Regarding international procurement, Ontarian companies must consider issues such as the jurisdiction for litigating contractual disputes and should consult with lawyers familiar with local legislation. A business's negligence or lack of legal knowledge exposes it to significant risk. For example, when involved in a business tort, a company may face expensive and time-consuming litigation, as well as reputational damage. Some traditional legal risk concerns include intellectual property infringement, defective goods, and dangerous products (Hoke et al., 2019). Therefore, understanding legal risks and planning accordingly are crucial to the success of businesses importing goods from China. Given Siedel's Six Forces Model in determining competitive advantage, understanding the implications of the broader legal environment is one of the pillars of successful business operations (Siedel, 2000).

Sustainability in Supply Chain Management

One study on sustainable supply chains defines sustainability as the combination of environmental and social practices, with the aim of maintaining development for both the current generation and those to come (Baliga et al., 2019). According to Baliga and colleagues, environmentally conscious motivation, waste reduction, and strategic purchasing are the three foundations of a sustainable supply chain. Their paper builds on the triple bottom line approach, adding that beyond people, planet, and profits, a company's sustainable supply chain performance also depends on environmental protection and business ethics (Baliga et al., 2019). Additionally, the study finds that moral motivation, lean management, and supply management contribute to a company's green supply chain.

In terms of gaining advantage through environmental consciousness, research indicates that younger generations of shoppers show greater interest in organic products compared to previous generations (Canadian Organic Trade Association, 2021). Another report on Canadian consumers' perspectives on sustainability echoes Baliga's findings, revealing that 77% of consumers consider grocery stores' ethical sourcing practices to play a critical role in their purchasing decisions (Canadian Grocer, 2022). On the policy side, since 2006, the Canadian government has implemented federal procurement policies to address the growing need for environmental protection (Attwater, 2014). Attwater notes that the government employs various measures, including trade agreements and the Canadian International Trade Tribunal, to safeguard the public procurement sector against unsustainable practices. Furthermore, the latest version of the policy maintains consistent sustainability goals, referencing statutory laws such as the Canadian Environmental Protection Act, the Energy Efficiency Act, and the Transportation of Dangerous Goods Act (*Policy on Green Procurement, 2018*, 2018).

In Canada, both federal and provincial governments share jurisdiction over environmental protection (Wright, 2016). Therefore, Ontario businesses must consider both provincial and federal regulations when importing goods from China, as both levels of government oversee businesses' environmental impacts. Regarding the other foundation of sustainability, ethics, Canadian courts can hold companies accountable even for their overseas practices (Simons, 2023). According to Simons, many cases have been filed in recent years concerning Canadian businesses' human rights violations abroad. These cases, often filed as tort claims by victims, underscore the expectation for businesses to protect human rights. The litigation sets a precedent for Canadian companies to exercise due diligence in safeguarding human rights in their overseas operations. However, the legal review also points out Canada's lack of legally binding statutory criminal laws that clearly define Canadian businesses' ethical obligations regarding human rights abroad (Simons, 2023). With the increasing awareness of sustainability in supply chain management, legal concerns related to environmental and ethical issues have also emerged (Baliga et al., 2019). For Ontario businesses, embedding sustainability into supply chain management is not only a matter of meeting regulatory requirements but also a strategic move to align with evolving consumer expectations and global market trends. By proactively addressing environmental and ethical concerns, companies can strengthen their brand reputation, reduce operational risks, and secure long-term supplier relationships. Moreover, sustainable practices—such as implementing rigorous supplier audits, adopting green logistics, and ensuring transparent sourcing—can create competitive advantages that resonate with environmentally conscious and socially aware stakeholders. In an increasingly interconnected marketplace, businesses that integrate sustainability into procurement decisions are better positioned to thrive while contributing positively to both the planet and society.

Legal risks can be categorized into intellectual property infringement, product liability, lack of jurisdiction categories to help Ontario businesses better evaluate and handle the difficulties associated with cross-border Chinese procurement. On the other hand, sustainability risks including business ethics and human rights violations, environmental regulations are also part of the consideration. By being aware of these dangers, businesses may create procurement plans that safeguard intellectual property, guarantee adherence to Chinese and Canadian regulations, and lessen the likelihood of legal issues or harm to their reputation. Additionally, firms can connect their supply chain operations with ethical and environmental standards by combining sustainability considerations with legal knowledge, which reduces risk and gives them a competitive edge in international marketplaces.

METHDOLOGY

In consideration of Ontario's common law system, the research utilizes methods, including a mix of statutory analysis, case study, and literature review, to analysis the legal risks associated with cross-border procurement from China. This paper reviewed sources from a mix of academic and legal databases, while it gives priority to studies from the last 10-15 years. However, some of the laws and cases are more than 15 years old, but they are still included because Ontario's common law system relies on past decisions as precedents. Table 1 below summarizes the sources reviewed and their contribution to the research objective. As mentioned above, the knowledge below contains information from academic research, reports from Government or NGOs, data extracted from IBISWorld and Statista, legal cases from the common law system, and legal statutes. By using the mix of analysis methods, the paper aims to identify common themes associated with individual risks, including their backgrounds and causes.

Table 1: Summary of Sources Reviewed, Including Literatures, Cases, Statutes, and Reports

International Journal of Supply Chain Management
ISSN 2518-4709 (Online)
Vol.10, Issue 2, No.3, pp 36 - 64, 2025
www.iprjb.org

Citation (Author/Year)	Citation Type (Paper, Report, Case, Statute)	Study Focus / Topic	Key Findings / Contributions	Practical Application	Theoretical or Methodological Support	Direct Relevance to Research Questions	Supports Hypothesis or
Siedel (2000)	Paper	Legal environment & business advantage (Six Forces Model)	Identifies legal environment as source of competitive advantage; regulation and litigation risks central.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Malach et al. (2006)	Paper	Legal risk management in small business	Shows proactive legal risk management improves sustainable operations.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Ucaryilmaz Deibel (2022)	Paper	CSR in global value chains	Emphasizes legal structures complicate CSR compliance; proactive law reduces risk.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Hoke et al. (2019)	Paper	International trade risks	Details contractual, IP, and litigation risks in trade.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Bird (2006)	Paper	IP protection in BRIC	Introduces 'China cycle of coercion'; shows persistent IP piracy in China.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Liang (2006)	Paper	Counterfeit drugs & imports	Documents counterfeit drug exports and associated consumer risks.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Bikoff et al. (2010)	Paper	Contributory trademark infringement	Demonstrates liability of intermediaries (e.g., landlords) in counterfeit cases.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Lululemon Athletica Canada Inc. v. Campbell (2022)	Case	Trademark infringement case	Set precedent for punitive damages in counterfeiting.	✓		✓	✓
Louis Vuitton Malletier S.A. v. Yang (2008)	Case	Trademark infringement liability of intermediaries	Established landlord liability for counterfeiting activity.	✓		✓	✓
Consumer Protection Act (2002)	Statute	Consumer rights & counterfeit protection	Defines unfair practice in misrepresentation; penalties up to $250,000.	✓		✓	✓
Büyüksagis (2022)	Paper	Product liability of e-retailers	Argues strict liability should extend to e-retailers; Amazon hoverboard case.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Donoghue v. Stevenson (1932)	Case	Negligence principle	Established duty of care in common law, basis of product liability.	✓		✓	✓

Lambert v. Lastoplex Chemicals Co. (1972)	Case	Product liability warning duty	Court imposed duty to warn of dangerous goods; binding precedent in Canada.	✓		✓	✓
Blom (2019)	Paper	Jurisdiction in Canadian law	Analyzed Yip v. HSBC case, highlighting jurisdiction simpliciter.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Expedition Helicopters Inc. v. Honeywell Inc. (2010)	Case	Jurisdiction & venue clauses	Upheld foreign venue clause, limiting Ontario jurisdiction.	✓		✓	✓
Furth-Matzkin (2015)	Paper	Unenforceable contract terms	Shows harmful effects of unenforceable terms and enforcement gaps.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Simons (2023)	Paper	Business & human rights in Canada	Reviews litigation & Hudbay case; companies liable for overseas HR violations.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Choc v. Hudbay Minerals Inc. (2013)	Case	Human rights violations abroad	Ontario court accepted jurisdiction; precedent for liability of Canadian firms abroad.	✓		✓	✓
Hussain & Hussain (2015)	Paper	Consumer power in CSR	Argues consumers push CSR adoption for sustainability.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Weber & Baisch (2015)	Paper	Parent liability for subsidiaries' HR violations	Argues liability extension in corporate structures.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Wright (2016)	Paper	Environmental oversight in Canada	Explains provincial/federal split in environmental jurisdiction.	✓	✓	✓	✓
R. v. Pacific Gateway Holding Inc. (2021)	Case	Illegal import of endangered species	Company fined for importing endangered eels without permits.	✓		✓	✓
Canadian Environmental Protection Act (1999)	Statute	Environmental compliance	Regulates hazardous substances and emissions; violations lead to penalties.	✓		✓	✓
Baliga et al. (2019)	Paper	Sustainable supply chain practices	Triple bottom line approach; moral motivation, lean management enhance sustainability.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Canadian Grocer (2022)	Report	Consumer views on sustainability	77% of consumers emphasize ethical sourcing in purchasing.	✓		✓	✓
Canadian Organic Trade Association (2021)	Report	Generational attitudes toward organics	Younger shoppers more inclined toward organics.	✓		✓	✓

International Journal of Supply Chain Management
ISSN 2518-4709 (Online)
Vol.10, Issue 2, No.3, pp 36 - 64, 2025
www.iprjb.org

Attwater (2014)	Report	Sustainable procurement in Canada	Government uses trade agreements & tribunal to enforce sustainability.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Policy on Green Procurement (2018)	Policy	Federal procurement & sustainability	Sets consistent sustainability goals across procurement.	✓		✓	✓
Abbood & Meszaros (2023)	Paper	Carbon footprint analysis of freight	Identifies risks of transport emissions in supply chain.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Sodhi & Tang (2021)	Paper	Extreme condition supply chains	Research opportunities in resilient supply chains.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Chen et al. (2024)	Paper	Net zero & carbon neutrality in SCM	Identifies challenges for supply chain management in climate policy era.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Li (2024)	Paper	Big data & cloud in SCM	Shows digital platforms improve info flow, collaboration, and sustainability.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Prakash (2024)	Paper	Blockchain in supply chain	Enhances transparency and efficiency in sustainability.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Patro et al. (2021)	Paper	Blockchain in recall management	Blockchain improves traceability in automotive recalls.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Thenmozhi & Krisknakumari (2024)	Paper	AI in logistics	AI improves operational efficiency & demand forecasting.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Chu (2019)	Paper	Sustainability & alliances in GSCM	Strategic alliances strengthen sustainability learning.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Premus & Sanders (2008)	Paper	Information sharing in global alliances	Highlights info-sharing as foundation of sustainable supply chains.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Government of Canada (2024)	Report	Canadian merchandise trade data	Provides statistics on imports from China; contextual economic data.	✓		✓	✓
Canada (2020)	Report	Canada-China commerce & supply chain links	Highlights shift toward capital/intermediate goods in imports.	✓		✓	✓
Gereffi & Lee (2012)	Paper	Global supply chains importance	Explains why global supply chains matter; Ontario relies on China for cost-quality trade-offs.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Canadian Environmental Protection Act (1999)	Statute	Environmental regulation	Regulates pollutants, hazardous substances; non-compliance leads to penalties.	✓		✓	✓

International Journal of Supply Chain Management
ISSN 2518-4709 (Online)
Vol.10, Issue 2, No.3, pp 36 - 64, 2025

Wild Animal and Plant Protection and Regulation of International and Interprovincial Trade Act (1992)	Statute	Endangered species import/export law	Prohibits import of animal/plant products violating foreign law; national application.	✓		✓	✓
Competition Bureau Canada (2025)	Policy	Environmental claims & Competition Act	Warns against greenwashing; misleading environmental advertising is illegal.	✓		✓	✓
Bowal (1994)	Paper	Misleading advertising due diligence	Analyzes due diligence defense under Competition Act.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Allam et al. (2021)	Paper	Green supply chain & profitability	Finds positive correlation between GSCM practices and profitability.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Abbood & Meszaros (2023)	Paper	Carbon footprint freight MRIO	Uses multi-region model to analyze freight carbon footprint.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Chen et al. (2024)	Paper	Net zero & SCM challenges	Defines carbon neutrality vs. net zero; SCM implications.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Vogiantzi & Tserpes (2023)	Paper	Circular economy literature review	Synthesizes CE practices across industries; implications for SCM.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Patro et al. (2021)	Paper	Blockchain recall management	Blockchain enables traceability and safety assurance in recalls.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Thenmozhi & Krisknakumari (2024)	Paper	AI in logistics efficiency	AI improves demand forecasting, operational efficiency.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Dr. Kiran Kumar Thoti et al. (2024)	Paper	Third-party environmental audits in SCM	Analyzes role of environmental audits in business sustainability.	✓	✓	✓	✓
Jiao et al. (2025)	Paper	Stakeholder pressure, CSR, circular economy	Links stakeholder pressure and environmental commitment to CSR outcomes.	✓	✓	✓	✓

Table 1 provides an overview of the connection between legal frameworks, business strategies, and sustainability in supply chain management. The sources reviewed examine various topics, including legal risk management, intellectual property protection, environmental compliance, human rights, corporate social responsibility (CSR), and new technologies. All these factors are important for businesses operating in today's complex global environment.

Legal Risk Management and Competitive Advantage: Many sources highlight the value of managing legal risks as a key factor in gaining a competitive edge. A proactive approach to handling regulatory issues, such as intellectual property, litigation risks, and product liability, can help businesses reduce potential disruptions. Legal risk management isn't just about avoiding penalties; it can also create a competitive advantage by fostering stronger, more resilient operations. This proactive approach is especially crucial for companies with international supply chains, where the legal landscape can greatly affect operational stability and reputation.

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and Ethical Sourcing: The rising importance of CSR is a consistent theme, especially in global supply chains. Companies face increasing pressure to ensure their operations meet social and environmental standards. Ethical sourcing practices have become a basic expectation for consumers, investors, and regulatory bodies, not just a "nice-to-have." A solid legal framework for CSR compliance, especially in labor rights, environmental impact, and transparency, helps businesses manage risks and meet customer demand for sustainable products. Additionally, companies with strong CSR initiatives often see improved brand loyalty and market differentiation.

Intellectual Property (IP) and Counterfeiting Risks: Legal risks related to intellectual property, particularly trademark and patent infringement, are serious concerns in global trade. Counterfeiting remains a widespread problem, particularly in emerging markets where IP law enforcement can be weak. Therefore, companies must not only protect their own IP but also address risks from counterfeit goods that may enter their supply chains. The legal framework regarding IP infringement, especially concerning the liability of intermediaries, is changing. Businesses need to stay alert and proactive in securing their assets. This is vital for companies that want to maintain brand integrity and avoid expensive lawsuits.

Environmental Compliance and Sustainable Procurement: Environmental regulations are becoming stricter, pushing businesses to comply with local and international standards on emissions, hazardous waste, and resource management. Adhering to environmental laws is crucial for sustainable business practices, not just to avoid fines. Integrating green procurement policies and following environmental standards are key for businesses that want to reduce their environmental impact and improve their sustainability profile. Furthermore, adopting sustainable supply chain practices is linked to long-term profitability, as consumers and investors increasingly favor environmentally responsible companies.

Emerging Technologies and Legal Compliance: New technologies, like blockchain, AI, and big data, are changing how businesses handle legal compliance and sustainability efforts. Blockchain, for instance, can improve transparency, traceability, and accountability throughout the supply chain, which can lower legal risks, particularly in product recalls and counterfeiting. AI and big data analytics also help enhance operational efficiency, demand forecasting, and decision-making, providing businesses with tools to navigate complex regulatory environments more smoothly. These technologies offer practical solutions for companies looking to combine legal compliance with sustainability goals, improving both efficiency and transparency in their operations.

Human Rights and Corporate Accountability: Legal and ethical accountability for human rights violations is a rising concern, especially for multinational companies. Legal frameworks increasingly hold businesses responsible for human rights violations, even if they happen outside the company's home country. This is particularly important for businesses in sectors known for human rights risks, like mining, agriculture, and manufacturing. Understanding the legal consequences of these risks is essential for companies operating in areas where human rights abuses may occur. By implementing strong human rights policies and ensuring compliance with international standards, businesses can reduce legal risks and strengthen their social license to operate. Implications for Business Strategy and Future Research: Insights from the reviewed sources highlight how legal frameworks shape business strategies. Companies that incorporate legal risk management, CSR initiatives, and new technologies into their core operations are better equipped to deal with changing legal and regulatory requirements. This integration helps mitigate risks and provides a competitive edge, as businesses that align with sustainability goals are more likely to attract consumers, investors, and partners. Additionally, these findings support future research in legal risk management and sustainability, particularly in the context of global supply chains.

In summary, the reviewed sources show that managing legal risks and following regulatory frameworks is not just about compliance; it is a strategic element in achieving business success. From CSR and human rights to intellectual property and environmental sustainability, legal considerations affect all areas of modern supply chain management. By proactively addressing these issues, businesses can build resilience, promote sustainable practices, and maintain a competitive edge in an increasingly complex and connected global market. These insights are valuable for businesses aiming to blend legal compliance with sustainability goals and can guide future research in this area.

Legal Risks in Cross-Border Procurement

In the context of cross-border procurement, Ontario businesses face significant legal risks when importing goods from jurisdictions with weaker intellectual property (IP) protections, such as China. The prevalence of counterfeit and pirated products in the Chinese market—often exported at low prices—poses challenges not only for IP rights holders but also for importers who may unknowingly become liable for infringement. Canadian statutes, such as the Trademarks Act and the Consumer Protection Act, impose strict obligations on businesses to ensure that products sold are genuine and not misleadingly represented. Failure to exercise due diligence can result in substantial legal consequences, including fines, damages, and reputational harm. As case law demonstrates, liability may extend beyond direct sellers to intermediaries and landlords if they "know or ought to know" about infringing activities, making it essential for Ontario firms to integrate robust verification processes into their procurement strategies.

Intellectual Property Infringement

One of the legal considerations that businesses in Ontario could be aware of when importing from China is intellectual property violation. Based on a study of intellectual property protection in BRIC countries, historical facts justify that China's government takes insufficient action in protecting copyrights and patents (Bird, 2006). The author coined the term "the China cycle of coercion" to describe the push and pull between U.S. pressure to strengthen China's

International Journal of Supply Chain Management
ISSN 2518-4709 (Online)
Vol.10, Issue 2, No.3, pp 36 - 64, 2025

copyright protection and the Chinese retaliations. As a result of international pressure, some short-term improvements are evident; however, piracy and counterfeit issues continue to persist in China (Bird, 2006). These goods involved in intellectual property violations sometimes get exported out of the country due to their affordable prices(Liang, 2006). For example, counterfeit drugs, a common product of infringement, are often imported back to the countries where the drugs' legal license holders are located. These counterfeit goods tend to use similar logos and designs in their packaging to disguise themselves as genuine products. With financial concerns being the prime driver, consumers demand these counterfeit goods produced in other countries (Liang, 2006). Selling these products potentially violates both trademark laws and consumer protection laws.

From the trademark perspective, according to the Trademarks Act, "sells, distributes or advertises any goods or services in association with a confusing trademark or trade name" is illegal (Trademarks Act - R.S.C., 1985, n.d.). Based on the statute, even if importers in Ontario do not manufacture nor design the counterfeit products themselves, they are still liable if they "know or ought to know" about the infringement (Trademarks Act - R.S.C., 1985, n.d.). A recent example from the federal court in 2022 emphasizes the protection of trademark rights under the law. In the Lululemon Athletica Canada Inc. v Campbell case, a woman purchased fake Lululemon replicas from a Chinese supplier and sold them on Facebook Marketplace with product descriptions that confused customers with the Lululemon trademark (*Lululemon Athletica Canada Inc. V. Campbell*, 2022). Campbell used misleading words such as "lulu," "LLU," and "Lulu" to advertise her counterfeit goods. Consequently, Lululemon won the case, and the court imposed punitive damages of $30,000 to deter similar activities, setting a strong precedent in trademark protection (*Lululemon Athletica Canada Inc. V. Campbell*, 2022).

Additionally, Ontario businesses could exercise caution even if they do not sell counterfeit goods directly. A study on U.S. and Canadian infringement liability suggests that intermediary parties could also be held liable for their negligence if they are aware of the infringing activity but fail to act upon it accordingly (Bikoff et al., 2010). The research cited the *Louis Vuitton Malletier S.A. v. Yang* case, where the court found two individuals liable for operating a flea market that sold counterfeit products bearing the Louis Vuitton trademark. In a 2008 motion, one of the defendants, the landlord, argued that she should not be liable because she did not sell the products directly (*Louis Vuitton Malletier S.A. v. Yang*, 2008). However, the court ultimately decided that she remained accountable, partly because she knew about the infringing activity and allowed it (Bikoff et al., 2010).

From the consumer protection perspective, a business violates consumers' rights when selling counterfeit products and labeling them as genuine (Consumer Protection Act, 2002). In the Ontario context, passing off could constitute a violation of the Ontario Consumer Protection Act's Section 14 Clause 1: "it is an unfair practice for a person to make a false, misleading or deceptive representation" (Consumer Protection Act, 2002). Moreover, businesses' illegal and unconscionable actions could cause them harm. For instance, the Act's Section 116 Clause 5 suggests that corporations selling fake products with packaging intended to confuse customers about the goods' authenticity are subject to a fine of up to $250,000 (Consumer Protection Act, 2002). Consequently, during the procurement process, Ontario businesses expose themselves to legal risks if they fail to examine the goods purchased and confirm their strict compliance with intellectual property laws.

International Journal of Supply Chain Management
ISSN 2518-4709 (Online)
Vol.10, Issue 2, No.3, pp 36 - 64, 2025

Product Liability

Another critical concern when sourcing goods from China is assessing the quality and safety of these products, which could expose Ontario businesses to liability for selling defective or dangerous items. A study from 2022 analyses significant challenges for retailers due to defective products from overseas suppliers particularly when these suppliers fail to comply with safety and consumer protection regulations at the destination (Büyüksagis, 2022). The paper emphasizes that while the suppliers are at fault for providing defective products, they rarely face penalties because they operate abroad.

Conversely, domestic distributors can be held liable for negligence if they fail to take appropriate precautions to safeguard their customers. For instance, in the U.S., Amazon was sued for negligence after a defective hoverboard, sold by a third-party supplier from China, caused a fire and injured a customer (Büyüksagis, 2022). The court ruled that Amazon, as a key intermediary in bringing products to consumers, had the authority to suspend the seller and was therefore liable for the resulting damages. The *Loomis v. Amazon.com LLC* case illustrates the legal expectation for product distributors to "minimize the cost of accidents" (*LOOMIS v. AMAZON COM LLC*, 2021). Referring to California's Court of Appeal, the court stated that businesses are responsible for verifying the safety of their imported goods, as their precautionary actions are more "cost-effective and socially efficient" than those of a regular consumer (*LOOMIS v. AMAZON COM LLC*, 2021).

Under Ontario's common law system, the principle of negligence, established by the landmark *Donoghue v. Stevenson* case, continues to apply, meaning businesses have a duty of care to prevent harm to their customers (*Donoghue v Stevenson*, 1932). Research from the United States highlights that pressure on Chinese suppliers to reduce costs, coupled with inadequate Chinese regulations, contributes to the persistence of defective products (Berman & Swani, 2010). In Ontario, unlike in Quebec, there is no specific statutory law regarding product liability (Civil Code of Québec, 1991). However, consumers can hold vendors liable if they can prove that a product is defective or dangerous, based on the doctrine of precedent (*Lambert v. Lastoplex Chemicals Co.*, n.d.). Even when a product is not defective, a dangerous design or the absence of a proper safety warning can still result in liability for vendors. For example, in the *Lambert v. Lastoplex Chemicals Co.* case, the plaintiff, who bought a fast-drying lacquer, injured himself because the manufacturer's product did not include an explicit safety warning. The court held the defendant liable due to its "duty to specify the attendant danger." This case, initiated in Ontario and escalated to the Supreme Court of Canada, set a binding legal precedent (*Lambert v. Lastoplex Chemicals Co.*, n.d.). This highlights that Ontario businesses face significant product liability risks when sourcing goods from China. Therefore, companies should implement measures to eliminate defective products that could harm end-users and ensure clear labeling for dangerous goods, which can help reduce the risks associated with negligence cases.

Lack of Jurisdiction

In addition to concerns regarding intellectual property violations and product liability, businesses in Ontario must also be prepared for the risks arising from contractual disputes during the procurement process. A common, yet crucial, legal issue in international commercial disputes is the enforceability of contracts, particularly the question of jurisdiction (Blom, 2019; Furth-Matzkin, 2015). The issue of jurisdiction may arise when a case lacks a sufficient connection to Ontario, resulting in the court's lack of authority to hear the claim. For example, Blom discusses the *Yip v HSBC Holdings plc* case from 2018, where Yip suffered financial losses after purchasing HSBC securities. Yip sued HSBC, a UK-based corporation, for misleading advertisements related to its securities listed on the Hong Kong Stock Exchange. Applying the principle of jurisdiction simpliciter, the court refused to hear the case, noting that HSBC had minimal presence in Ontario and thus could not reasonably be expected to comply with Ontario's securities laws. The researcher suggests that businesses can assess whether a dispute can be litigated in Ontario by considering presumptive connecting factors, such as whether the defendant conducts business in Ontario, whether the contract was made in Ontario, and whether the tort occurred in Ontario (Blom, 2019).

Another situation leading to jurisdictional challenges arises when the contract specifies that disputes will be resolved in a foreign venue, such as China, under Chinese law—circumstances where Ontario or federal courts would not have jurisdiction. In the *Expedition Helicopters Inc. v. Honeywell Inc.* case (2010), a contractual dispute arose between the two parties, with the plaintiff seeking to resolve the issue through litigation in Canada, despite the venue clause specifying China. The Ontario Court of Appeal upheld the venue clause and declined to hear the case (*Expedition Helicopters Inc. V. Honeywell Inc*., 2010a). Later, the Supreme Court dismissed the plaintiff's application for appeal, reaffirming the importance of venue clauses *(Expedition Helicopters Inc. V. Honeywell Inc.*, 2010b).

As seen in these cases, if an Ontario firm intends to litigate a dispute under Ontario law but is denied by the court, it may be forced to navigate an unfamiliar legal system, which creates significant risks due to asymmetric information (Furth-Matzkin, 2015). Therefore, negotiating to include Ontario in the venue selection clause is crucial to avoid dealing with the complexities and potential disadvantages of a foreign legal environment.

Beyond venue clauses, businesses may also consider treaty-based arbitration options such as the International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) and the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) framework. Canada and China are both in the World Bank system, ICSID could be beneficial during trade disputes. ICSID is part of the World Bank that provides assistance to businesses if they face unfair treatment by a foreign state (International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes, 1966). On the other hand, UNCITRAL's Arbitration Rules are widely used in cross-border contracts to provide a neutral, standardized procedure for private dispute resolution (United Nations, 2022). Using ICSID or UNCITRAL arbitration provides businesses with a neutral and structured framework for resolving cross-border disputes, which can reduce legal uncertainty, protect investments, and foster trust between international partners. However, these mechanisms can also be costly, time-consuming, and complex, and in some cases, the enforcement of arbitration awards across jurisdictions may present additional challenges, particularly when dealing with differing national legal systems.

An additional factor to consider in the context of international procurement disputes is the challenge of enforcing foreign judgments. Even if a contract specifies Ontario as the jurisdiction for litigation, issues may arise when the opposing party is located abroad, making it difficult to enforce a judgment in that jurisdiction. For example, an Ontario business may win a case in Ontario, but if the defendant is based in China, enforcing the judgment could become a complex and costly process. Furth-Matzkin (2015) highlights that, in such cases, businesses may need to rely on international treaties or reciprocal enforcement agreements between Ontario and the foreign jurisdiction. However, these agreements are not always in place or may have limitations that hinder effective enforcement. Without a clear and enforceable mechanism in place, the Ontario business may face difficulties in recovering damages or enforcing contractual obligations abroad, further increasing the financial and operational risks associated with international procurement disputes. This underscores the importance of negotiating enforceable contracts and considering the enforcement of foreign judgments during the procurement process to safeguard against potential legal challenges.

Business Ethics and Human Rights Violations

Exercising ethical practices, alongside environmental sustainability, forms the foundation of a sustainable supply chain for businesses globally (Baliga et al., 2019). While Canada does not have a statutory law specifically governing ethical practices for companies operating abroad, there have been multiple instances where Canadian firms have been sued under tort law for negligence and failure to exercise due diligence (Simons, 2023). According to Simons, many of these cases arise from human rights violations committed by Canadian companies in foreign countries. The establishment of the Canadian Ombudsperson for Responsible Enterprise (CORE) aims to address ethical complaints against Canadian companies, though its impact is limited. CORE lacks law enforcement power due to the absence of statutes regulating corporate human rights practices abroad (Simons, 2023).

A significant precedent in this area is the *Choc v. Hudbay* case (Simons, 2023), where a Canadian mining company, Hudbay Minerals Inc. (Hudbay), was sued by local indigenous people in Guatemala for human rights violations. The plaintiffs, filing their case in Canada under tort law, claimed that Hudbay's subsidiaries in Guatemala were responsible for rapes, forced evictions, and the killing of a community leader. Hudbay, the Canadian parent company, argued that the case should not be litigated in Canada. However, the plaintiffs contended that Hudbay was aware of the violations and failed to take action to prevent harm to the indigenous community. The Ontario Superior Court of Justice ruled that the case could be heard in Ontario, setting a legal precedent that Canadian companies, with significant involvement in human rights violations overseas, can be held liable under Ontario's common law system (*Choc v Hudbay Minerals Inc.*, 2013; Simons, 2023).

For Canadian companies sourcing from China, investigations have been initiated into human rights violations related to forced labor (Simons, 2023). While these investigations lack prosecutorial authority, they emphasize the legal principle that Canadian companies can be held accountable for negligence and failing to uphold their duty of care regarding human rights violations occurring abroad (Simons, 2023). As a result, Ontario businesses sourcing from China should be aware of ongoing human rights concerns and carefully select their suppliers to mitigate risks associated with these violations. Legal precedents highlight the critical need for due diligence and ethical responsibility within the global supply chain to avoid both legal and reputational consequences.

In addition to the legal implications of human rights violations, Canadian businesses sourcing from abroad must also consider the growing pressure from consumers, investors, and international organizations for ethical corporate behavior (Jiao et al., 2025). Increasingly, consumers are demanding transparency in supply chains, with a particular focus on labor practices and the environmental impacts of production. Human rights due diligence is no longer just a legal requirement but also a significant factor in maintaining consumer trust and loyalty. In recent years, many corporations have faced public backlash and boycotts over their alleged involvement in unethical labor practices abroad, highlighting the reputational risks businesses

International Journal of Supply Chain Management
ISSN 2518-4709 (Online)
Vol.10, Issue 2, No.3, pp 36 - 64, 2025

face (Hussain & Hussain, 2015). Furthermore, investors are now considering Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) criteria in their decision-making processes, signaling a shift in how businesses are evaluated. Companies failing to address human rights violations may face difficulty attracting capital or retaining market share. As a result, Ontario businesses sourcing from regions with potential human rights concerns, such as China, need to implement comprehensive supply chain audits and actively engage with stakeholders to ensure that their operations align with both legal requirements and societal expectations (Dr Kiran Kumar Thoti et al., 2024; Weber & Baisch, 2015). This broader focus on ethical business practices underscores the need for proactive efforts to mitigate the risks associated with human rights violations, which can have far-reaching legal, financial, and reputational consequences.

Environmental Risks and Sustainability

Environmental risks have become an increasingly critical consideration for businesses engaged in cross-border procurement, especially as global attention on sustainability intensifies. Companies importing goods from countries like China must navigate a complex landscape of environmental regulations, ranging from international treaties to provincial and federal laws that govern emissions, waste management, and the protection of endangered species. Non-compliance not only risks substantial legal penalties but also damages corporate reputation and consumer trust. Moreover, as sustainability emerges as a core strategic priority, businesses face growing pressure from regulators, consumers, and investors to demonstrate genuine environmental responsibility throughout their supply chains. This evolving context demands that Ontario businesses proactively manage environmental risks while embedding sustainable practices to ensure long-term resilience and regulatory compliance in their procurement activities.

Environmental Regulations

As highlighted in the introduction, legal risks related to environmental regulations have been increasing, particularly with provincial governments exerting greater influence over businesses' environmental practices (Wright, 2016). One key concern is the importation of endangered species, whether intentional or accidental. Under the Wild Animal and Plant Protection and Regulation of International and Interprovincial Trade Act, it is illegal to import any animal products that violate foreign laws along the supply chain. Specifically, the Act states: "No person shall import into Canada any animal or plant that was taken, possessed, distributed or transported in contravention of any law of any foreign state" (Wild Animal and Plant Protection and Regulation of International and Interprovincial Trade Act, SC 1992, c 52, 1992).

A notable case from British Columbia in 2021 illustrates the legal risks associated with violating environmental regulations when importing goods, such as from China *(R. v. Pacific Gateway Holding Inc.*, 2021). In this case, the defendant, Pacific Gateway Holding Inc., imported European eels from China without the necessary permits. Since European eels are listed as a “Critically Endangered Species,” they require special permits for importation into Canada. The company's failure to obtain the proper permits resulted in a fine of $163,776 CAD (*R. v. Pacific Gateway Holding Inc.*, 2021). Despite the company’s argument that the eels were accidentally mixed with other eel species by the Chinese exporter, the judge found Pacific guilty, as it failed to take reasonable steps to collaborate with the supplier and prevent the mix-up (*R. v. Pacific Gateway Holding Inc.*, 2021).

Although the case was litigated in British Columbia, the Wild Animal and Plant Protection and Regulation of International and Interprovincial Trade Act applies nationwide, meaning that

Ontario businesses are also at risk of prosecution for violating the Act. Businesses must exercise due diligence to prevent the importation of illegal animal products into Canada. Therefore, understanding environmental regulations and maintaining clear documentation of the precautions taken is crucial for mitigating legal risks for importing companies in Ontario.

In addition to the Wild Animal and Plant Protection and Regulation of International and Interprovincial Trade Act, Canadian businesses must also consider the increasingly stringent environmental regulations on emissions and waste management (Allam et al., 2021). For instance, companies must ensure compliance with the Canadian Environmental Protection Act (CEPA), which regulates pollutants and the use of hazardous substances in the production and distribution of goods. Non-compliance with such regulations can lead to significant penalties, not only financial but also reputational damage. Businesses that are found in violation of CEPA's stringent guidelines on environmental protection can face fines and other enforcement actions by federal agencies (Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999). The importance of regular audits and strict supply chain monitoring, particularly for businesses that deal with products involving chemicals, waste, or emissions, is paramount. Companies in Ontario, as well as other provinces, are urged to keep detailed environmental records, work closely with regulatory bodies, and ensure all imported or distributed goods comply with the latest environmental standards.

Moreover, businesses should also be aware of the rising trend of environmental accountability, where consumers, investors, and regulators increasingly demand sustainable and ethical practices. This has led to the growing concept of "greenwashing," where companies falsely claim to have environmentally friendly practices to improve their public image. In Canada, businesses must be mindful of the Competition Act, which prohibits misleading advertising and deceptive marketing practices (Bowal, 1994; Competition Bureau Canada, 2025). If a business makes false claims about their environmental practices, it could face legal repercussions for deceptive conduct. As global markets increasingly prioritize sustainability, Ontario businesses must be proactive in demonstrating their genuine commitment to environmental responsibility, both in compliance with legal standards and in their corporate social responsibility initiatives. Failure to do so can result in lost market opportunities, legal liabilities, and damage to brand reputation.

Sustainability Factors

Cross-border supply chains face significant sustainability challenges, particularly concerning risk management. The complexities of international trade, regulatory differences, geopolitical instability, and environmental concerns introduce uncertainties that can disrupt supply chain operations. As mentioned previously, Key risks include carbon footprint expansion due to long transportation distances, fluctuating trade policies affecting logistics efficiency, and ethical sourcing issues tied to different labor and environmental standards across countries (Abbood & Meszaros, 2023). Additionally, unforeseen disruptions such as pandemics, extreme weather events, or geopolitical tensions can severely impact the resilience of global supply chains. Addressing these risks requires a holistic approach integrating digital transformation, regulatory compliance, and sustainability-driven strategies (Sodhi & Tang, 2021).

Sustainability in cross-border supply chains is characterized by several key factors that enhance efficiency, transparency, and environmental responsibility. A digitally driven platform serves as the backbone, utilizing advanced technologies such as big data, blockchain, and cloud computing to improve information flow, reduce asymmetry, and foster effective collaboration among stakeholders, ultimately optimizing supply chain coordination and sustainability. These technologies enhance real-time tracking, predictive analytics, and automated compliance checks, ensuring that businesses can proactively mitigate disruptions and improve operational agility (Li, 2024; Prakash, 2024).

A strong green and environmental orientation further supports sustainability by promoting efficient resource utilization, clean production methods, and circular economy practices. This includes adopting biodegradable packaging materials, eco-friendly transportation powered by renewable energy, and implementing environmental management systems and green assessments to integrate sustainability into decision-making. Companies are increasingly prioritizing low-carbon logistics solutions, such as electric and hydrogen-powered fleets, as well as optimizing shipment routes to reduce fuel consumption and emissions. Moreover, incorporating life-cycle assessments into procurement and production decisions helps organizations measure and minimize their environmental impact (Chen et al., 2024; Vogiantzi & Tserpes, 2023).

Additionally, ensuring quality control and safety assurance is crucial, with regulations and traceability systems enabling comprehensive monitoring of products from production to transportation. Quality traceability and recall mechanisms enhance safety and control within the supply chain, ensuring compliance with standards and reducing the risk of counterfeit or substandard goods entering the market. Digital twins and AI-driven monitoring systems are being leveraged to simulate supply chain scenarios, anticipate risks, and improve overall compliance(Patro et al., 2021; Thenmozhi. V & Dr. S. Krisknakumari, 2024).

Another critical aspect is demand responsiveness and innovation, where the use of big data allows for real-time market analysis, enabling businesses to swiftly adjust production and supply strategies to meet evolving consumer needs while maintaining competitiveness. Predictive demand planning and AI-powered inventory management ensure that businesses can optimize stock levels, prevent overproduction, and reduce waste, contributing to overall supply chain sustainability (Thenmozhi. V & Dr. S. Krisknakumari, 2024). Lastly, multi-party collaboration and win-win mechanisms strengthen the sustainability of cross-border supply chains by fostering strategic partnerships, integrating resources, and sharing risks and benefits. Companies are forming global alliances to standardize sustainable practices, enhance supply chain visibility, and promote shared investment in renewable energy and carbon offset programs (Chu, 2019; Premus & Sanders, 2008).

As cross-border supply chains continue to evolve, organizations must integrate sustainability and risk mitigation strategies into their core operations. By leveraging digitalization, regulatory compliance, and environmental responsibility, companies can build robust, future-ready supply chains that balance economic growth with sustainable development.

Implications for Businesses

The increasing complexity of global supply chains has led to heightened legal and sustainability risks for businesses. Key legal challenges, such as intellectual property infringement, product liability, jurisdictional issues, and human rights violations, underscore the need for firms to navigate cross-border operations with caution. Legal precedents highlight the importance of due diligence in addressing human rights concerns, while environmental regulations demand rigorous compliance to avoid legal repercussions. Moreover, the growing emphasis on sustainability factors, including carbon footprint reduction and ethical sourcing, reflects a broader shift toward corporate responsibility. Table 2 outlines key points, legal risks, and sustainability challenges related to various business issues, offering insights into the potential implications for companies operating in a global environment.

In response to these challenges, businesses must adopt proactive strategies that integrate legal compliance, ethical practices, and environmental sustainability to mitigate risks and maintain their competitive edge.

Ontario businesses engaged in the procurement process from China face a variety of risks that can impact both their operations and their legal standing. This paper seeks to explore possible solutions to these risks, based on the research presented above, offering actionable steps for businesses to mitigate them effectively. One of the primary concerns is intellectual property infringement, which can have significant financial and reputational consequences. To reduce this risk, businesses should rely on the Trademarks Act to assess whether importing particular goods is legally permissible. Furthermore, it is essential for businesses to maintain comprehensive records of their efforts to verify the authenticity of products sourced from Chinese suppliers. In the event of a lawsuit, these records will serve as evidence of the company's due diligence and can help defend against claims of negligence or infringement.

Table 2: Key Points, Legal Risks, and Sustainability Challenges of Cross-Border Procurement

Key Points	Legal Risks & Challenges	Sustainability Challenges
Intellectual Property Infringement	- Violating foreign IP laws - Risk of legal claims - Potential damage to brand value	- Ensuring continuous innovation - Monitoring competitors' practices - Maintaining IP standards
Product Liability	- Legal claims from injuries/damages - Reputation loss - Warranty issues	- Ensuring product quality - Ensuring transparency in safety measures - Keeping up with product standards
Lack of Jurisdiction	- Risk of being sued in foreign jurisdictions - Difficulties enforcing contracts - Legal complexity	- Addressing cross-border supply chain risks - Managing regulatory variations - Adapting to geopolitical changes
Business Ethics & Human Rights Violations	- Legal actions for negligence - Human rights violations abroad - Precedents	- Consumer/investor pressure - Ethical sourcing and transparency - Meeting due diligence expectations
Environmental Regulations	- Violation of environmental laws - Fines and reputational damage - Risk from import/export practices	- Adhering to environmental laws - Avoiding greenwashing - Managing environmental impact documentation
Sustainability Factors	- Regulatory differences - Trade policy fluctuations - Risk from disruptions	- Managing environmental risks - Reducing carbon footprints - Transitioning to circular economy practices

Another critical risk is product liability. To mitigate this, businesses should engage in proactive communication with suppliers to ensure that products meet the required safety standards. Regular inspections of products for defects are crucial, and businesses must document all preventive measures taken, including the safety standards communicated to suppliers and any actions taken to address potential hazards. These records will be invaluable if the company faces a negligence claim related to consumer harm. Regarding jurisdictional issues, businesses in Ontario can reduce the risk of having to litigate in foreign courts by carefully reviewing and validating the venue clauses in contracts before signing them, ideally with the assistance of legal counsel. This will ensure that any legal disputes are handled within a jurisdiction that is

International Journal of Supply Chain Management
ISSN 2518-4709 (Online)
Vol.10, Issue 2, No.3, pp 36 - 64, 2025
www.iprjb.org

familiar and manageable. In light of the increasing emphasis on sustainability, it is important for Ontario businesses to document their adherence to both provincial and federal environmental laws throughout the procurement process. Companies must take all necessary steps to comply with relevant environmental regulations, including obtaining permits where required, to avoid potential fines or reputational damage. Finally, to minimize the risks of foreign negligence cases related to human rights violations, businesses should exercise caution when selecting overseas suppliers or affiliates.

Table 3: Key Legal Cases, Statutory Laws, and Risk Mitigation for Cross-Border Procurement

Key Point	Samples of Legal Cases and Statutory Laws	Key Considerations to Mitigate Risks
Intellectual Property Infringement	- Lululemon Athletica Canada Inc. v. Campbell (2022) - Louis Vuitton Malletier S.A. v. Yang (2008) - Trademarks Act - R.S.C., 1985 - Consumer Protection Act, 2002	- Verify suppliers to ensure authenticity of goods. - Avoid using misleading brand names or packaging that could violate trademarks. - Implement measures to identify counterfeit goods.
Product Liability	- Donoghue v. Stevenson (1932) - Loomis v. Amazon.com LLC (2021) - Lambert v. Lastoplex Chemicals Co.	- Ensure product compliance with Canadian safety regulations. - Verify the safety of imported goods through rigorous testing. - Provide explicit warnings on potentially hazardous products.
Lack of Jurisdiction	- Yip v. HSBC Holdings plc (2018) - Expedition Helicopters Inc. v. Honeywell Inc. (2010)	- Negotiate venue clauses specifying Ontario as the jurisdiction in contracts. - Consult legal experts on the enforceability of international contracts.
Business Ethics & Human Rights Violations	- Choc v. Hudbay Minerals Inc. (2013) - Canadian Ombudsperson for Responsible Enterprise (CORE)	- Research suppliers to prevent human rights violations. - Implement corporate social responsibility policies. - Act proactively if aware of human rights violations by foreign subsidiary companies.
Environmental Regulations	- Wild Animal and Plant Protection and Regulation of International and Interprovincial Trade Act (1992) - R. v. Pacific Gateway Holding Inc. (2021) - Canadian Environmental Protection Act	- Ensure suppliers comply with sustainability standards and Canadian environmental laws. - Obtain necessary permits for regulated goods.
Sustainability Factors	- Environmental Protection and Assessment Act (1995) - Canadian Environmental Assessment Act (2012) - Kyoto Protocol, Paris Agreement	- Ensure supply chain sustainability through green logistics. - Incorporate eco-friendly materials and practices in production. - Monitor the carbon footprint and strive for reductions.

Ensuring that these partners align with ethical labor practices and human rights standards is critical. Businesses should take steps to ensure compliance with international human rights laws and implement robust due diligence processes to prevent any violations from occurring within their supply chain. Table 3 outlines key legal cases, statutory laws, and considerations for businesses to mitigate risks related to intellectual property infringement, product liability, jurisdiction issues, business ethics and human rights violations, environmental regulations, and sustainability factors in cross-border procurement.

Table 4: Legal and Ethical Risk Considerations in Global Supply Chains

Theme	Key Consideration	Practical Implications	Emerging Technologies
Legal Risk Management	Proactive legal risk management offers competitive advantage and resilience. Regulatory risks (IP, litigation) must be managed.	Legal risk management must be integrated into business strategy to avoid disruptions.	Blockchain for enhancing transparency in contracts, AI for predictive legal risk analysis.
Intellectual Property	IP protection remains a challenge in global markets, especially with counterfeiting and infringement risks.	Companies need to protect IP assets and manage counterfeiting risks in high-piracy regions.	Blockchain to track authentic products in the supply chain.
Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)	CSR is increasingly central to business success. Legal frameworks shape ethical practices in global supply chains.	Align CSR policies with legal requirements to attract consumers and investors.	AI and Big Data for evaluating supply chain sustainability and ethical sourcing.
Environmental Compliance	Environmental regulations are growing, with severe penalties for non-compliance. Green procurement is becoming a critical factor.	Businesses must adopt sustainable practices to meet environmental standards and consumer demand for eco-friendly products.	Blockchain for traceability in sustainable sourcing and IoT for real-time emissions tracking.
Human Rights	Legal frameworks are evolving to hold businesses accountable for human rights violations, including overseas operations.	Ensure compliance with international human rights standards to avoid legal and reputational risks.	AI for monitoring supply chain conditions and Blockchain for ensuring fair labor practices.
Technology Integration	Emerging technologies are transforming legal risk management, making operations more transparent and efficient.	Adopting AI, Blockchain, and Big Data tools enhances operational efficiency and ensures compliance with regulations.	Blockchain for product traceability, AI for forecasting legal risks, Big Data for sustainability tracking.
Supply Chain Sustainability	Legal regulations and CSR pressures drive the need for sustainable practices in supply chains.	Sustainable practices can reduce legal risks and enhance profitability by aligning with consumer demand for green products.	AI and Big Data to improve resource management and Blockchain for verifying sustainable sourcing.
Regulatory Compliance	Regulatory frameworks are becoming stricter regarding environmental and trade compliance, especially in cross-border operations.	Stay updated with changing regulations to mitigate risk and maintain trade privileges.	AI for monitoring compliance, Blockchain for cross-border trade documentation.
Global Trade Risks	Global supply chains are subject to complex international laws and trade regulations, requiring strong legal oversight.	Legal strategies must account for varying regulations in different countries, especially for multinational operations.	Blockchain for managing international trade compliance and Big Data for global trend analysis.

Table 4 gives an overview of important studies and legal frameworks that impact modern business practices in supply chain management. It shows how legal risk management, corporate social responsibility (CSR), environmental compliance, and new technologies come together to affect business strategy and sustainability. By looking at the role of intellectual property protection, human rights, and changing regulations, this summary highlights the need for

International Journal of Supply Chain Management
ISSN 2518-4709 (Online)
Vol.10, Issue 2, No.3, pp 36 - 64, 2025
www.iprjb.org

businesses to engage in proactive legal and ethical practices as they navigate a more complicated and competitive global marketplace.

Overall, integrating legal diligence, human rights protection, and environmental compliance into cross-border procurement enables Ontario businesses importing from China to manage risks effectively while creating strategic value. Although initial investments in audits, certifications, and compliance measures may appear burdensome, these actions reduce the likelihood of costly litigation, regulatory penalties, and reputational damage. By systematically assessing suppliers, reviewing contracts, and implementing sustainability practices aligned with ISO 20400 and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, firms can not only ensure regulatory compliance but also enhance transparency, strengthen stakeholder trust, and secure long-term competitive advantages in global markets (International Organization for Standardization, 2017; United Nations, 2011). In essence, proactive legal and sustainability measures transform potential risks into opportunities for resilience, efficiency, and ethical leadership within the supply chain. For example, a Ontario-based company sourcing components from China could implement ISO 20400 as a guideline to verify labor and environmental standards, in line with recommended sustainable procurement practices (Mak et al., 2022).

Table 5: Cost–Benefit Logic of Legal Diligence in Cross-Border Procurement

Area of Legal Diligence	Up-Front Costs / Investments	Potential Returns & Benefits
Intellectual Property Verification (e.g., trademarks, counterfeit checks)	Supplier audits, legal consultations, authentication technology	Avoids lawsuits and damages, protects brand value, preserves consumer trust
Product Liability Prevention (e.g., safety testing, warning labels)	Testing fees, compliance audits, labeling and documentation	Reduces risk of negligence claims, lowers insurance premiums, prevents costly recalls
Contract Review (e.g., venue clauses, enforceability reviews)	Legal drafting, negotiation costs, external counsel	Ensures disputes resolved in favorable jurisdictions, minimizes litigation uncertainty and expenses
Human Rights Due Diligence (e.g., supply chain audits, CSR programs)	Monitoring costs, ethical sourcing premiums, third-party certification	Protects against tort liability abroad, enhances reputation, attracts ESG-focused investors and consumers
Environmental Compliance (e.g., CEPA audits, import permits, emissions reporting)	Record-keeping, environmental audits, compliance technology	Avoids regulatory fines, reduces reputational damage, positions firm for sustainability-driven market opportunities
Sustainability Integration (e.g., green logistics, digital traceability, lifecycle assessment)	Investment in digital tools (AI, blockchain, traceability), training, eco-materials	Operational efficiency, risk reduction from disruptions, long-term competitive advantage in global markets

Table 5 systematically explains the benefits of legal and environmental awareness through the lens of a cost and benefit analysis. The initial process in legal due diligence might appear as an extra burden on businesses; however, the extra considerations can eventually pay off. For instance, intellectual property checks and product safety testing help protect brand names and prevent potential negligence claims. Additionally, reviewing contracts' venue clauses reduces the risk of costly foreign litigation. Conducting the initial investment allows firms to avoid expensive lawsuits and fines. Furthermore, strategically investing in legal diligence helps protect firms' reputation and long-term growth.

Conclusion

Trade between Ontario and China presents numerous opportunities but also significant risks, especially in the procurement process. As Ontario companies increasingly engage in cross-border trade with China, understanding and mitigating legal risks becomes essential. Intellectual property (IP) protection, for example, is a key issue that companies must address. With the global rise in counterfeit goods, businesses importing from China must be particularly diligent in verifying the authenticity of products and enforcing their trademarks. Failing to do so could result in intellectual property infringement, which could not only lead to financial loss but also tarnish a company's brand image and reputation. Furthermore, product liability concerns, which could arise from the importation of defective or unsafe products, present another significant risk for Ontario businesses. If a product causes harm or damage, the business could be held legally responsible under Canadian tort law, which could result in lawsuits, financial penalties, and damage to the company's reputation. The challenge here is that legal actions related to product liability may be difficult to manage, particularly if the products in question originate from a foreign country with different safety standards.

Beyond intellectual property and product liability, Ontario companies must also consider the challenges posed by the jurisdictional reach of Ontario's legal system. When entering into contracts with foreign suppliers, the jurisdiction in which any disputes would be resolved is often a matter of contention. Many businesses fail to give sufficient thought to the jurisdictional issues that could arise in the event of a dispute. It is critical that businesses in Ontario carefully negotiate venue clauses and consult legal experts to ensure that their contracts are enforceable within Ontario's jurisdiction. This step can help mitigate the risk of being forced to litigate in a foreign country with an unfamiliar legal system, potentially leading to unfavorable outcomes. In addition, businesses should ensure that their contracts with foreign suppliers are clear, comprehensive, and legally sound, which will further protect them from potential legal challenges.

In recent years, sustainability has become an increasingly important consideration in global supply chains. Environmental regulations and human rights concerns are now top priorities for both consumers and businesses alike. Ontario companies must be aware of the regulatory frameworks in place, such as the Canadian Environmental Protection Act and other federal and provincial regulations, which require compliance with sustainability standards and environmental laws. Failing to comply with these regulations can result in severe fines, legal liabilities, and reputational damage, especially as consumers grow more conscious of the environmental impact of the products they purchase. In addition to environmental compliance, businesses must also focus on human rights practices in their supply chains. The growing awareness of labor practices and human rights violations in foreign countries has prompted many governments and consumers to hold companies accountable for the treatment of workers throughout their supply chains. As such, it is vital for Ontario businesses to conduct thorough due diligence on their overseas suppliers and ensure that they adhere to internationally recognized standards of human rights.

The findings echo with Siedel's Six Forces model, demonstrating that monitoring contract, regulation, and litigation concerns plays an important role in a business's competitiveness. These initial efforts to mitigate risks build the foundation of a firm's long-term growth. Additionally, ongoing review of the triple bottom line also safeguards a firm's growth. As

International Journal of Supply Chain Management
ISSN 2518-4709 (Online)
Vol.10, Issue 2, No.3, pp 36 - 64, 2025
www.iprjb.org

illustrated by the cost and benefit analysis, economic performance cannot be separated from social accountability and environmental responsibility.

To effectively mitigate the legal and sustainability risks associated with importing from China, Ontario businesses must take a proactive approach. Understanding the relevant legislation and regulations, both in Ontario and internationally, is the first step in ensuring compliance. Businesses must invest in due diligence processes to verify the quality and authenticity of the products they import, including engaging third-party inspectors, conducting regular product audits, and requiring certifications where applicable. Furthermore, businesses should incorporate sustainability and ethical considerations into their supply chain practices by implementing policies focused on environmental responsibility and human rights. These efforts not only minimize legal risks but also improve a company's brand image and consumer trust, which can ultimately lead to long-term success in the global marketplace. By taking these proactive measures, Ontario businesses can better navigate the complexities of international trade with China and ensure a legally sound and sustainable procurement process.

This study focuses on the legal risks and sustainability concerns faced by Ontario businesses when importing from China; however, it does not consider the additional risks associated with international trade, such as financial risks, currency exchange fluctuations, and political instability. Additionally, the study does not study industry-specific regulations or the complexities of working with different suppliers across various sectors. The literature review relies mostly on secondary data and statutory laws, while it lacks empirical data for confirmation. Future research could explore on additional industries specific factors. Additionally, researchers could conduct a comparative analysis of the legal and sustainability challenges faced by businesses in Ontario when trading with other countries, such as Vietnam, to provide a more comprehensive understanding of global trade dynamics.

REFERENCES

Abbood, K., & Meszaros, F. (2023). Carbon Footprint Analysis of the Freight Transport Sector Using a Multi-Region Input–Output Model (MRIO) from 2000 to 2014: Evidence from Industrial Countries. *Sustainability*, *15*(10), 7787. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15107787

Allam, D., Elseify, E., Youssef, A., & Khourshed, N. (2021). The Relationship between Green Supply Chain Management and Profitability. *OALib*, *08*(02), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1105892

Attwater, D. M. (2014). Promoting sustainable development with Canadian public procurement. *American Bar Association*, *44*(1).

Baliga, R., Raut, R. D., & Kamble, S. S. (2019). Sustainable supply chain management practices and performance: An integrated perspective from a developing economy. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal*, *31*(5), 1147–1182. https://doi.org/10.1108/MEQ-04-2019-0079

Berman, B., & Swani, K. (2010). Managing product safety of imported Chinese goods. *Business Horizons*, *53*(1), 39–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2009.09.002

Bikoff, J. L., Johnston, K. A. F., Heasley, D. K., Marano, P. V., & Long, A. (2010). Hauling in the middleman: Contributory trade mark infringement in North America. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*, *5*(5), 332–343. https://doi.org/10.1093/jiplp/jpq027

Bird, R. C. (2006). Defending Intellectual Property Rights in the BRIC Economies. *American Business Law Journal*, *43*(2), 317–363. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-1714.2006.00019.x

Blom, J. (2019). Canadian Cases in Private International Law in 2018. *Canadian Yearbook of International Law/Annuaire Canadien de Droit International*, *56*, 571–608. https://doi.org/10.1017/cyl.2019.17

Bowal, P. (1994). Due diligence defense and misleading advertising in Canada. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, *22*(4), 404.

Büyüksagis, E. (2022). Extension of Strict Liability to E-Retailers. *Journal of European Tort Law*, *13*(1), 64–86. https://doi.org/10.1515/jetl-2022-0003

Canada, G. A. (2020). *The Canada-China Global Commerce Picture and Supply Chain Links*. https://www.international.gc.ca/trade-commerce/economist-economiste/analysis-analyse/china-canada-2020-commerce-chine.aspx?lang=eng

Canadian Environmental Protection Act, S.C. 1999, c. 33 (1999).

Canadian Grocer. (2022). *Importance of commitment to sustainability of groceries stores according to Canadian consumers as of February 2022 [Graph]*. https://www-statista-com.algonquin.idm.oclc.org/statistics/1221799/commitment-to-sustainability-of-groceries-stores/

Canadian Organic Trade Association. (2021). *Share of grocery shoppers who purchase organic products in Canada in 2020, by generation [Graph]*. https://www-statista-com.algonquin.idm.oclc.org/statistics/910513/organic-grocery-shoppers-by-generation-canada/

Chen, G., Lim, M. K., Yeo, W., & Tseng, M.-L. (2024). Net zero vs. carbon neutrality: Supply chain management challenges and future research agenda. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, 1–36. https://doi.org/10.1080/13675567.2024.2359058

Choc v Hudbay Minerals Inc., No. 2013 ONSC 1414 (CanLII) (Ontario Superior Court of Justice July 22, 2013). https://canlii.ca/t/glr0n

Chu, K.-M. (2019). Coevolution of environmental sustainability orientation and strategic alliance learning in green supply chain management. *International Journal of Management and Economics*, *55*(1), 3–12. https://doi.org/10.2478/ijme-2019-0001

Civil Code of Québec, CQLR c CCQ-1991 § art 1726 (1991).

Competition Bureau Canada. (2025, June 11). *Environmental claims and the Competition Act*. https://competition-bureau.canada.ca/en/how-we-foster-competition/education-and-outreach/publications/environmental-claims-and-competition-act#sec00

Consumer Protection Act, Pub. L. No. SO 2002 (2002).

Donoghue v Stevenson, A.C. 562 ___ (House of Lords 1932).

Dr Kiran Kumar Thoti, Prof. Dr. Mohammad Asif Pasha, Dr C N Udaya Shankar, Ms. Jonitha A, Dr Mohd Fathi Abu Yaziz, & Dr. Meera Uday. (2024). An Analysis Examining the Way Supply Chain Management-Focused Third-Party Environmental Audits Contributed to Business Sustainability Efforts. *Economic Sciences*, *20*(2), 177–189. https://doi.org/10.69889/2y3pxd38

Expedition Helicopters Inc. v. Honeywell Inc., No. CA C51719 (Ontario Court of Appeal May 14, 2010).

Expedition Helicopters Inc. v. Honeywell Inc., No. 33790 (Supreme Court of Canada November 25, 2010).

Furth-Matzkin, M. (2015). The Harmful Effects of Unenforceable Contract Terms: Experimental Evidence. *Harvard Law Review*, *128*(4), 1690.

Gereffi, G., & Lee, J. (2012). Why the World Suddenly Cares About Global Supply Chains. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, *48*(3), 24–32. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-493X.2012.03271.x

Government of Canada, S. C. (2024, October). *Canadian International Merchandise Trade Web Application—Imports*. https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/pub/71-607-x/2021004/imp-eng.htm

Hoke, E., Marada, J., & Heinzová, R. (2019). International trade risks. *MATEC Web of Conferences*, *292*, 01047. https://doi.org/10.1051/matecconf/201929201047

Hussain, J. S., & Hussain, J. (2015). A Conceptual Framework on the Power of Consumers in Pushing Corporate Social Responsibility Towards Sustainable Development. *Indian Journal of Corporate Governance*, *8*(1), 68–83. https://doi.org/10.1177/0974686215574432

International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes. (1966). *Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes Between States and Nationals of Other States*. https://icsid.worldbank.org/sites/default/files/ICSID_Convention_EN.pdf

International Organization for Standardization. (2017). *ISO 20400:2017: Sustainable procurement—Guidance*. https://www.iso.org/standard/63026.html

Jiao, C., Fazal, S. A., Zhang, Q., Alshebami, A. S., Hossain, S., & Morsi, S. A. (2025). Nexus Between Stakeholders' Pressure, Environmental Commitment, Circular Economy, And CSR For Sustainability: Evidence from Smes. *Journal of Posthumanism*, *5*(1). https://doi.org/10.63332/joph.v5i1.672

Lambert v. Lastoplex Chemicals Co., [1972] S.C.R. 569 Supreme Court Judgments 569 (Supreme Court of Canada).

Li, J. (2024). Applied Research on the Impact of Big Data, Cloud Computing and Cloud Storage on Smart Manufacturing Supply Chain. *Journal of Computing and Electronic Information Management*, *15*(1), 28–32. https://doi.org/10.54097/sdszfk83

Liang, B. A. (2006). Fade to Black: Importation and Counterfeit Drugs. *American Journal of Law & Medicine*, *32*(2–3), 279–323. https://doi.org/10.1177/009885880603200207

LOOMIS v. AMAZON COM LLC, No. B297995 (Court of Appeal, Second District, Division 8, California April 26, 2021).

Louis Vuitton Malletier S.A. v. Yang, No. T-1236-07 (2008 FC 45 January 14, 2008).

Lululemon Athletica Canada Inc. v. Campbell, No. T-420-21 (FC 194 February 14, 2022).

Mak, S.-L., Wong, Y.-M., Ho, K.-C., & Lee, C.-C. (2022). Contemporary Green Solutions for the Logistics and Transportation Industry—With Case Illustration of a Leading Global 3PL Based in Hong Kong. *Sustainability*, *14*(14), 8777. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14148777

Malach, S., Robinson, P., & Radcliffe, T. (2006). Differentiating Legal Issues by Business Type. *Journal of Small Business Management*, *44*(4), 563–576. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-627X.2006.00190.x

Patro, P. K., Ahmad, R. W., Yaqoob, I., Salah, K., & Jayaraman, R. (2021). Blockchain-Based Solution for Product Recall Management in the Automotive Supply Chain. *IEEE Access*, *9*, 167756–167775. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3137307

Policy on green procurement, 2018. (2018). Treasury Board of Canada Secretariat = Secrétariat du Conseil du trésor du Canada.

Prakash, A. (2024). Blockchain Technology for Supply Chain Management: Enhancing Transparency and Efficiency. *International Journal for Global Academic & Scientific Research*, *3*(2), 01–11. https://doi.org/10.55938/ijgasr.v3i2.73

Premus, R., & Sanders, N. R. (2008). Information Sharing in Global Supply Chain Alliances. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Business*, *9*(2), 174–192. https://doi.org/10.1080/10599230801981928

R. v. Pacific Gateway Holding Inc., No. BCPC 37 (CanLII) (Provincial Court of British Columbia March 3, 2021). https://canlii.ca/t/jdp73

Siedel, G. J. (2000). SIX FORCES AND THE LEGAL ENVIRONMENT OF BUSINESS: THE RELATIVE VALUE OF BUSINESS LAW AMONG BUSINESS SCHOOL CORE COURSES. *American Business Law Journal*, *37*(4), 717–741. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-1714.2000.tb00283.x

Simons, P. (2023). Developments in Canada on business and human rights: One step forward two steps back. *Leiden Journal of International Law*, *36*(2), 363–388. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0922156522000784

Sodhi, M. S., & Tang, C. S. (2021). Supply Chain Management for Extreme Conditions: Research Opportunities. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3861194

Thenmozhi. V & Dr. S. Krisknakumari. (2024). Artificial Intelligence in Enhancing Operational Efficiency in Logistics and SCM. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology*, *11*(5), 316–323. https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRST24115107

Trademarks Act - R.S.C., 1985, § c T-13.

Ucaryilmaz Deibel, T. (2022). Corporate Social Responsibility in the Legal Framework of Global Value Chains. *Law and Development Review*, *15*(2), 329–356. https://doi.org/10.1515/ldr-2021-0098

United Nations (Ed.). (2011). Guiding principles on business and human rights: Implementing the United Nations “Protect, Respect and Remedy” Framework. United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights.

United Nations. (2022). UNCITRAL Expedited Arbitration Rules 2021: UNCITRAL Rules on Transparency in Treaty-based Investor-State Arbitration. United Nations. https://doi.org/10.18356/9789210021753

Vogiantzi, C., & Tserpes, K. (2023). On the Definition, Assessment, and Enhancement of Circular Economy across Various Industrial Sectors: A Literature Review and Recent Findings. *Sustainability*, *15*(23), 16532. https://doi.org/10.3390/su152316532

Weber, R. H., & Baisch, R. (2015). Liability of Parent Companies for Human Rights Violations of Subsidiaries. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2625536

Wild Animal and Plant Protection and Regulation of International and Interprovincial Trade Act, SC 1992, c 52, § 6 (1992). https://canlii.ca/t/5305h

Wright, D. V. (2016). Options for Oversight in the Provincial Environmental Realm: Examples and Functions of Independent Environmental Oversight Offices. *Journal of Environmental Law and Practice*, *29*, 203–225.