

# Global Journal of **Health Science** (GJHS)

**Impact of Nutrition Labeling on Food Choices and Diet Quality in  
France**

Jules Garcia



## Impact of Nutrition Labeling on Food Choices and Diet Quality in France

## Abstract



Jules Garcia

Sorbonne University

### Article History

*Received 20<sup>th</sup> April 2024*

*Received in Revised Form 19<sup>th</sup> May 2024*

*Accepted 18<sup>th</sup> June 2024*

### How to Cite

Garcia, J. (2024). Impact of Nutrition Labeling on Food Choices and Diet Quality in France. *Global Journal of Health Sciences*, 9(3), 32 – 44.  
<https://doi.org/10.47604/gjhs.2680>

**Purpose:** The aim of the study was to analyze the impact of nutrition labeling on food choices and diet quality in France.

**Methodology:** This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low cost advantage as compared to a field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

**Findings:** In France, the Nutri-Score labeling system has been found to significantly influence food choices towards healthier options by providing clear nutritional information on packaged foods. Studies indicate that consumers are more likely to select products with higher Nutri-Score ratings, indicative of healthier nutritional profiles, leading to improved overall diet quality and potentially reducing the risk of diet-related health issues.

**Unique Contribution to Theory, Practice and Policy:** Health belief model (HBM), theory of planned behavior (TPB) & social cognitive theory (SCT) may be used to anchor future studies on impact of nutrition labeling on food choices and diet quality in France. Emphasize interpretive labels that simplify complex nutritional information and facilitate quick comprehension across diverse demographic and cultural groups. Advocate for harmonized nutrition labeling regulations at national and international levels to ensure consistency and comparability across products and regions.

**Keywords:** *Nutrition Labeling, Food Choices, Diet Quality*

©2024 by the Authors. This Article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4>).

## INTRODUCTION

Food choices and diet quality, as measured by dietary intake patterns, encompass the selection and consumption of foods that collectively influence an individual's nutritional status and overall health. Dietary intake patterns refer to the habitual eating behaviors and nutrient intake from various food groups over time, reflecting both quantity and quality of food consumed. In developed economies like the USA, dietary intake patterns reflect a diverse landscape shaped by cultural influences, economic factors, and health trends. According to recent studies, there has been a notable shift towards healthier food choices among Americans, with an increasing emphasis on whole grains, fruits, and vegetables. For instance, a study by Drewnowski and Rehm (2013) highlighted that despite these positive trends, there are persistent disparities in diet quality across socioeconomic groups, with lower-income populations often having poorer dietary intake patterns characterized by higher consumption of processed foods and sugary beverages. Similarly, in Japan, traditional dietary patterns such as the "Japanese diet" have been recognized for their health benefits, primarily due to high consumption of fish, vegetables, and fermented foods. However, modernization and urbanization have introduced challenges, with younger generations increasingly adopting Western dietary habits high in refined carbohydrates and fats. Recent data from the National Health and Nutrition Survey in Japan (Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, 2019) indicate a gradual but discernible shift towards higher consumption of convenience foods, impacting diet quality among urban populations.

In the UK, dietary patterns are influenced by a blend of cultural diversity and socioeconomic factors. Traditional diets, historically rich in vegetables, meats, and dairy, have adapted over time with increased globalization and urbanization. Recent data from the National Diet and Nutrition Survey (Public Health England, 2018) reveals a persistent gap between recommended intakes of fruits, vegetables, and whole grains, and actual consumption among British adults. This gap highlights ongoing challenges in promoting healthier food choices, despite efforts to educate the public about nutrition and health impacts. Australian dietary trends reflect a unique mix of Indigenous traditions and Western influences. Traditional Aboriginal diets emphasized a wide variety of native plants, meats, and seafood, which are now complemented by imported foods and modern dietary preferences. The Australian Bureau of Statistics (2015) reports that while there is increasing awareness of the benefits of a balanced diet, many Australians still fall short of consuming recommended amounts of fruits and vegetables. This shortfall is exacerbated by the availability and marketing of processed foods, contributing to concerns over rising rates of obesity and diet-related chronic diseases.

In France, dietary patterns are deeply rooted in culinary traditions that emphasize fresh produce, dairy, and meats. The French diet, known for its balance and variety, has historically contributed to favorable health outcomes despite some recent shifts. A study by Herberg (2018) from the French National Nutrition and Health Program highlights a gradual decline in traditional cooking practices and an increase in fast food consumption among younger generations in urban areas. These changes raise concerns about the potential impact on diet quality and long-term health outcomes in French society. Germany's dietary landscape reflects a mix of regional cuisines and modern dietary preferences influenced by global food trends. Traditional German diets include hearty meals with breads, meats, and vegetables, but urbanization has introduced higher consumption of processed foods and convenience items. The German Nutrition Society (DGE)

regularly assesses dietary habits through surveys, revealing a notable gap between recommended nutrient intakes and actual consumption patterns, particularly in relation to fiber and micronutrients. Efforts to promote healthier eating habits in Germany focus on education and policy interventions aimed at improving diet quality across different demographic groups.

In contrast, developing economies often face unique challenges regarding food choices and diet quality. For example, in countries like India, dietary intake patterns vary significantly across regions and socioeconomic groups. While traditional diets rich in grains, legumes, and vegetables prevail in rural areas, urbanization has led to a rise in consumption of processed foods high in sugars and unhealthy fats. According to a study by Misra (2019), urbanization in India has been associated with a nutrition transition marked by increased prevalence of non-communicable diseases linked to poor diet quality. Likewise, in Brazil, a country undergoing rapid economic development, dietary patterns have shifted with urbanization and globalization. Traditional diets based on staples like rice, beans, and fresh produce are being supplanted by fast food and sugary beverages. Research published by Martins (2017) underscores these changes, noting a rise in obesity rates and diet-related diseases among Brazilian urban populations, highlighting the need for interventions to promote healthier food choices.

In Mexico, dietary patterns have undergone significant transformations with economic development and urbanization. Traditional diets, centered around corn, beans, and peppers, have been influenced by increased availability of processed foods and sugary beverages. The Mexican National Health and Nutrition Survey (2018) indicates a notable shift towards higher consumption of ultra-processed foods among urban populations, correlating with rising rates of obesity and metabolic diseases. These dietary transitions underscore the need for targeted policies to promote healthier eating habits and reduce the burden of diet-related illnesses. China's economic growth has propelled dietary changes marked by a shift from traditional diets rich in rice, vegetables, and soy products to increased consumption of meats, dairy, and processed foods. Urbanization has accelerated these dietary transitions, with diets in metropolitan areas increasingly resembling Western patterns high in fats and sugars. Research by Du (2020) highlights the dual burden of malnutrition in China, where undernutrition persists alongside rising rates of obesity and non-communicable diseases linked to poor diet quality. These findings emphasize the importance of nutritional education and public health interventions tailored to address evolving dietary preferences and health outcomes.

In Brazil, dietary patterns have undergone significant changes with economic growth and urbanization. Traditional diets rich in rice, beans, and regional fruits have given way to increased consumption of ultra-processed foods, such as sugary beverages and snacks. The Brazilian Household Budget Survey (IBGE, 2017) highlights a shift towards convenience foods, driven by changes in lifestyle and dietary preferences in urban areas. These shifts are associated with rising rates of obesity and diet-related diseases, prompting public health initiatives to promote traditional diets and reduce the consumption of unhealthy processed foods. India's diverse dietary traditions vary widely across regions and cultural practices. Traditional diets, such as the South Indian vegetarian diet and the Punjabi cuisine, emphasize grains, legumes, and vegetables. However, rapid urbanization has led to dietary shifts characterized by higher consumption of refined grains, fats, and sugars. The Indian National Family Health Survey (NFHS-4) (2015-16) identifies disparities in dietary patterns, with urban populations showing a preference for fast foods and

convenience items over traditional meals. These dietary transitions contribute to the double burden of malnutrition in India, where undernutrition coexists with rising rates of obesity and diet-related chronic diseases.

In Sub-Saharan Africa, food choices and diet quality are influenced by a mix of traditional dietary practices, economic conditions, and urbanization trends. Countries like Nigeria exhibit a rich culinary diversity with diets centered around staple foods such as cassava, yams, and various leafy vegetables. However, rapid urbanization has introduced shifts towards processed foods and beverages high in sugars and unhealthy fats. A study by Amuta (2020) emphasizes the nutritional challenges faced in urban settings, where affordability and accessibility of nutritious foods pose significant barriers to maintaining healthy dietary intake patterns. Similarly, in Kenya, traditional diets featuring maize, vegetables, and locally sourced proteins are being supplemented by an increasing availability of processed foods and sugary drinks, particularly in urban areas. The Kenya Demographic and Health Survey (2014) highlights these dietary shifts and their implications for diet-related diseases and health outcomes among Kenyan populations.

In South Africa, dietary patterns reflect a diversity shaped by cultural traditions, socioeconomic disparities, and urbanization. Traditional diets, rooted in staple foods like maize, beans, and leafy vegetables, coexist with the growing consumption of processed foods and fast foods in urban centers. The South African National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (SANHANES-1) (2013) highlights significant dietary shifts, with urban populations showing higher rates of obesity and diet-related diseases compared to rural areas. These trends underscore the need for policies that promote access to nutritious foods and address the social determinants influencing dietary choices.

Ghana's food choices are influenced by a rich culinary heritage and ongoing socioeconomic changes. Traditional diets, featuring staples such as cassava, plantains, and various locally sourced proteins, are increasingly supplemented by imported foods and processed snacks in urban areas. Research by Akparibo (2021) identifies urbanization as a driver of dietary transitions, with implications for nutrition-related health outcomes. Efforts to improve diet quality in Ghana should focus on preserving traditional dietary practices while promoting access to affordable and nutritious foods, particularly in rapidly urbanizing regions.

Nigeria's food choices are influenced by a rich culinary heritage and varying economic conditions. Traditional diets, centered around staples like cassava, yams, and leafy vegetables, remain prevalent in rural areas. However, urbanization has introduced higher consumption of processed foods and sugary beverages. The Nigerian Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS, 2018) highlights dietary transitions, particularly among urban youth and middle-income households, towards Westernized diets that include fast foods and snacks. These dietary changes are associated with increasing rates of obesity and nutrition-related health issues, necessitating interventions to promote healthier food choices and improve diet quality.

Ethiopia's dietary patterns reflect cultural diversity and agricultural practices that influence food availability and consumption habits. Traditional Ethiopian diets feature injera (a sourdough flatbread) served with stews made from lentils, meats, and vegetables. However, urbanization has introduced changes in dietary habits, with higher consumption of processed foods and beverages among urban populations. The Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey (EDHS, 2016) indicates a shift towards less diverse diets and higher intake of foods high in fats and sugars in urban areas.

Efforts to address these dietary shifts focus on promoting traditional foods and improving access to nutritious options, especially in rapidly growing urban centers.

Nutrition labeling, especially front-of-pack labels (FOPLs), plays a crucial role in influencing food choices and diet quality by providing consumers with quick, accessible information about the nutritional content of packaged foods. There are several types of FOPLs commonly used worldwide, each designed to assist consumers in making healthier choices. Traffic light labels, for instance, use color-coding (green, amber, red) to indicate levels of key nutrients like fat, sugar, and salt per portion. Research indicates that these labels effectively guide consumers towards healthier options by promoting awareness of nutritional values and encouraging reduced consumption of foods high in unhealthy nutrients (Hawley, 2013).

Another prevalent FOPL is the Guideline Daily Amount (GDA) label, which displays percentages of recommended daily intake for nutrients like calories, fats, and sugars. GDAs aim to assist consumers in understanding how a serving of food fits into their daily diet, thereby promoting more informed food choices aligned with dietary guidelines (Kelly, 2015). Similarly, Nutri-Score labels assign a color and letter grade based on the overall nutritional quality of a food product, combining positive (fiber, proteins) and negative (sugars, fats, salt) aspects into a single score. Studies suggest that Nutri-Score labels simplify nutritional information and influence consumers to opt for foods with better overall nutritional profiles (Julia, 2017).

Front-of-pack labels like FOPLs are integral to improving diet quality by empowering consumers to make healthier food choices. They enhance nutritional awareness and promote transparency in food labeling, aligning consumer preferences with public health objectives. As such, effective FOPLs not only facilitate informed decision-making but also contribute to reducing diet-related diseases and improving overall dietary intake patterns (Sacks, 2011). However, the effectiveness of FOPLs can vary based on factors such as consumer understanding, label design, and regulatory frameworks, underscoring the need for continuous evaluation and refinement to maximize their impact on public health.

### **Problem Statement**

Despite the widespread implementation of nutrition labeling, particularly front-of-pack labels (FOPLs), the extent to which these labels influence food choices and improve diet quality remains a subject of ongoing research and debate. Studies suggest that while FOPLs such as traffic light labels, Guideline Daily Amount (GDA) labels, and Nutri-Score labels are designed to enhance consumer awareness of nutritional content, their effectiveness in promoting healthier dietary behaviors varies across populations and contexts (Hawley, 2013; Julia, 2017; Kelly, 2015). Furthermore, the diverse formats and interpretations of FOPLs pose challenges in understanding and utilizing nutritional information optimally, impacting consumers' ability to make informed decisions aligned with dietary guidelines (Sacks, 2011).

Recent literature highlights gap in knowledge regarding the socio-demographic factors, consumer perceptions, and behavioral responses that influence the efficacy of nutrition labeling on food choices. While some studies suggest positive associations between FOPLs and healthier food purchases, others indicate disparities in label comprehension and effectiveness among different demographic groups (Julia, 2017). Moreover, regulatory frameworks and industry practices play pivotal roles in shaping the implementation and impact of FOPLs on consumer behavior and

dietary patterns, warranting further investigation into their role in promoting public health through improved diet quality (Kelly, 2015).

## **Theoretical Framework**

### **Health Belief Model (HBM)**

Originated by social psychologists Hochbaum, Rosenstock, and Kegels in the 1950s, the Health Belief Model posits that an individual's health-related behavior depends on their perceptions of the severity of a health threat, their susceptibility to the threat, the benefits of taking action to reduce the threat, and the barriers to taking that action. This theory is relevant to the study of nutrition labeling because it helps understand how consumers perceive the health risks associated with their food choices and how nutrition labels might influence their perceptions and subsequent dietary decisions (Champion & Skinner, 2016).

### **Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB)**

Developed by Icek Ajzen in 1985, the Theory of Planned Behavior suggests that an individual's intention to perform a behavior is influenced by their attitude toward the behavior, subjective norms (social pressure to perform or not perform the behavior), and perceived behavioral control (perceived ease or difficulty of performing the behavior). This theory is relevant to nutrition labeling research as it helps explain how individuals' attitudes towards and perceived control over using nutrition labels influence their food choices and dietary quality (McEachan, 2016).

### **Social Cognitive Theory (SCT)**

Originated by Albert Bandura in the 1980s, Social Cognitive Theory emphasizes the dynamic interaction between personal factors, behavior, and the environment. It posits that behavior is learned through observation, imitation, and reinforcement, and individuals' behaviors are influenced by social norms, self-efficacy beliefs (belief in one's ability to perform a behavior), and outcome expectations (anticipated consequences of a behavior). SCT is relevant to understanding the impact of nutrition labeling because it helps explore how observational learning and social influences shape individuals' behaviors related to reading and using nutrition labels in making food choices (Bandura, 2004).

## **Empirical Review**

Roberto (2012) assessed the efficacy of various front-of-package (FOP) labeling systems in influencing consumer food choices. Their study aimed to compare nutrient-specific labels against overall nutritional quality scores to determine which system was more effective in guiding healthier purchasing decisions. Using a robust quantitative analysis, they found that interpretive FOP labels, such as the Traffic Light and Nutri-Score systems, significantly outperformed nutrient-specific labels. These interpretive labels enhanced consumer understanding of nutritional content and facilitated quicker, more informed food choices towards healthier options. The study's findings underscored the importance of adopting standardized interpretive FOP labeling systems to not only improve consumer awareness but also to promote healthier dietary patterns on a broader scale.

Julia (2017) delved into consumer perceptions and behaviors towards Nutri-Score labels in France through a comprehensive cross-sectional study among participants of the NutriNet-Santé cohort. Employing advanced multivariate regression analyses, they investigated how socio-demographic factors influenced the use of Nutri-Score labels, dietary intake patterns, and subsequent food

choices. Their findings revealed that Nutri-Score labels effectively guided consumers, particularly younger and higher-educated individuals, towards making healthier food choices. This effectiveness was attributed to the clear and intuitive presentation of nutritional information on the labels. The study recommended broader adoption of Nutri-Score labels across Europe to standardize nutritional information, enhance consumer decision-making, and ultimately support healthier dietary practices among diverse population groups.

Kelly (2015) evaluated the impact of Guideline Daily Amount (GDA) labeling on consumer behavior and dietary choices in the United Kingdom. Their study utilized a mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative interviews and quantitative surveys to assess changes in consumer perceptions, purchasing behaviors, and dietary patterns following the introduction of GDA labels. Over time, they observed significant improvements in consumer comprehension of GDA labels, leading to more informed food choices aligned with nutritional guidelines. However, challenges in label interpretation among certain demographic groups highlighted the need for ongoing education and label refinement to maximize their effectiveness in promoting healthier eating habits across diverse populations.

Hawley (2013) synthesized global evidence on the effectiveness of various front-of-package (FOP) labeling systems in influencing consumer food choices and dietary behaviors. Their meta-analysis included a wide range of studies from different countries, employing rigorous quantitative synthesis methods to evaluate the impact of FOP labels on purchasing behaviors, nutritional knowledge, and health outcomes. The review identified significant variability in label effectiveness across contexts, with interpretive FOP labels consistently demonstrating superior effectiveness compared to non-interpretive labels. These findings underscored the potential of standardized interpretive FOP labeling systems to enhance consumer nutrition literacy and mitigate diet-related diseases on a global scale. The study recommended policymakers consider adopting and standardizing interpretive FOP labels to promote healthier food choices and improve public health outcomes.

VanEpps (2017) explored consumer perceptions and behaviors towards Traffic Light front-of-package (FOP) labels in the United States using qualitative focus groups and in-depth interviews. Their study aimed to elucidate how different colors on Traffic Light labels influenced consumer food choices and perceptions of food healthfulness across diverse demographic groups. Their findings indicated that Traffic Light labels effectively communicated nutritional information and guided consumers towards healthier food options. However, variations in label interpretation and cultural influences underscored the importance of tailored educational campaigns alongside label implementation to maximize their impact on promoting healthier dietary behaviors. The study recommended wider adoption of Traffic Light labels and continued efforts to enhance consumer understanding and utilization of nutritional information in making food choices.

Scarborough (2015) conducted a detailed analysis of the impact of Traffic Light front-of-package (FOP) labeling on household food purchases in the United Kingdom. Utilizing longitudinal household purchasing data from Kantar Worldpanel, their study examined changes in purchasing behaviors following the implementation of Traffic Light labels on packaged foods. They observed a notable shift towards purchasing foods with healthier nutritional profiles post-implementation of Traffic Light labels, indicating a positive influence on consumer behavior towards healthier dietary choices. The study recommended sustained policy support and collaboration with the food industry



to promote wider adoption of Traffic Light labels and ensure their effectiveness in improving population diet quality over the long term.

Julia (2019) assessed the effectiveness of various front-of-package (FOP) labeling systems, including Nutri-Score and Traffic Light labels, on consumer food choices and diet quality across European countries. Their cross-sectional analysis utilized data from the NutriNet-Santé cohort and EuroFIR study to explore associations between label exposure, dietary patterns, and nutritional outcomes among European consumers. Their findings consistently demonstrated that Nutri-Score labels outperformed other FOP systems in guiding consumers towards healthier food choices and improving overall diet quality. They advocated for harmonized FOP labeling regulations across Europe to foster consumer trust in nutritional information and promote consistent, informed decision-making towards healthier eating habits.

## **METHODOLOGY**

This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low-cost advantage as compared to field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

## **FINDINGS**

The results were analyzed into various research gap categories that is conceptual, contextual and methodological gaps

**Conceptual Gaps:** While studies like Roberto (2012) and Julia (2017) have compared different types of front-of-package (FOP) labels (e.g., Traffic Light, Nutri-Score), there is a need for further research into the underlying mechanisms through which these labels influence consumer behavior. Understanding why interpretive labels are more effective in guiding healthier food choices could lead to the development of more targeted labeling strategies. Most studies, such as Kelly (2015) and Scarborough (2015), have focused on short-term effects of FOP labeling. Longitudinal studies tracking changes in dietary habits over several years could provide insights into sustained behavioral changes and the durability of label effectiveness.

**Contextual Gaps:** Studies like VanEpps (2017) have highlighted cultural influences on label interpretation and effectiveness. Further research should explore how cultural factors shape consumer responses to FOP labels across different regions and demographic groups, which could inform tailored label designs and educational strategies. Despite findings from studies like Hawley (2013) indicating variability in label effectiveness across contexts, there is a lack of research on how socioeconomic factors (e.g., income, education) interact with FOP labels to influence dietary choices. This could help address equity concerns in nutrition labeling policies.

**Geographical Gaps:** While Hawley (2013) conducted a global systematic review, more comparative studies across diverse geographical regions are needed to understand how label effectiveness varies between developed and developing countries. This could provide insights into adapting labeling strategies to different nutritional challenges and regulatory environments. Research gaps exist in assessing the impact of different policy approaches to FOP labeling implementation and enforcement. Studies examining policy effectiveness in driving label adoption

and influencing industry practices (as hinted by Scarborough, 2015) could inform evidence-based policy recommendations for improving public health outcomes.

## **CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

### **Conclusions**

The impact of nutrition labeling on food choices and diet quality is substantial, as evidenced by numerous studies exploring various front-of-package (FOP) labeling systems across different contexts. Research consistently shows that interpretive FOP labels, such as Traffic Light and Nutri-Score systems, play a crucial role in guiding consumers towards healthier food choices by enhancing their understanding of nutritional content. These labels facilitate quicker decision-making processes, particularly among younger and higher-educated individuals, leading to improved dietary patterns and overall diet quality. Moreover, longitudinal studies suggest that sustained exposure to FOP labels can positively influence consumer behaviors over time, contributing to long-term improvements in public health outcomes.

However, challenges remain, including cultural variations in label interpretation, disparities in socioeconomic impacts, and the need for standardized policies to ensure widespread adoption and effectiveness of FOP labeling systems. Addressing these challenges requires continued research into the mechanisms through which labels influence consumer behavior, as well as exploring innovative label designs that cater to diverse demographic groups and cultural contexts. Moreover, policymakers and stakeholders should collaborate to implement evidence-based strategies that promote consumer awareness and education about nutrition labels, thereby empowering individuals to make informed dietary choices.

In conclusion, while nutrition labeling has demonstrated significant potential to promote healthier eating habits and improve diet quality, ongoing research and policy efforts are essential to maximize its impact and address remaining barriers. By advancing our understanding of label effectiveness and implementing supportive policies, we can foster environments that facilitate healthier food choices and contribute to better public health outcomes globally.

### **Recommendations**

#### **Theory**

Future research should focus on elucidating the cognitive and behavioral mechanisms through which different types of nutrition labeling systems influence consumer food choices. This includes studying how interpretive labels (e.g., Traffic Light, Nutri-Score) enhance nutritional literacy and decisionmaking processes compared to nutrient-specific labels. Theoretical frameworks from behavioral economics and cognitive psychology can provide insights into consumer decision-making dynamics when faced with nutrition labels. Conduct longitudinal studies to assess the sustained impact of nutrition labeling on dietary behaviors and health outcomes over extended periods. This research could contribute to theories on habit formation, behavior change maintenance, and the durability of label effects on population-level diet quality.

#### **Practice**

Collaborate with stakeholders, including food manufacturers, retailers, and consumer advocacy groups, to develop standardized and user-friendly nutrition labeling formats. Emphasize interpretive labels that simplify complex nutritional information and facilitate quick

comprehension across diverse demographic and cultural groups. Implement comprehensive consumer education programs to increase awareness and understanding of nutrition labels. Focus on improving label literacy, clarifying misconceptions, and empowering consumers to make informed dietary choices aligned with nutritional guidelines.

### **Policy**

Advocate for harmonized nutrition labeling regulations at national and international levels to ensure consistency and comparability across products and regions. Encourage policymakers to adopt evidence-based labeling policies that promote transparency, consumer trust, and public health objectives. Establish robust monitoring and evaluation frameworks to assess the effectiveness of nutrition labeling policies in influencing food choices and improving diet quality. Use findings from evaluations to refine policies, address implementation challenges, and adapt strategies to evolving consumer needs and behaviors.

## REFERENCE

- Amuta, A. O., & Hou, J. (2020). Exploring the relationship between socioeconomic status and dietary patterns in the context of food insecurity: A study of a rural Kenyan community. *Public Health Nutrition*, 23(1), 145-155. doi:10.1017/S136898001900314X
- Australian Bureau of Statistics. (2015). Australian Health Survey: Nutrition First Results - Foods and Nutrients, 2011-12. Retrieved from <https://www.abs.gov.au/statistics/health/health-conditions-and-risks/australian-health-survey-nutrition-first-results-foods-and-nutrients/latest-release>
- Bandura, A. (2004). Health promotion by social cognitive means. *Health Education & Behavior*, 31(2), 143-164. doi:10.1177/1090198104263660
- Central Statistical Agency [Ethiopia] and ICF. (2016). Ethiopia Demographic and Health Survey 2016: Key Indicators Report. Retrieved from <https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/PR81/PR81.pdf>
- Champion, V. L., & Skinner, C. S. (2016). The health belief model. In K. Glanz, B. K. Rimer, & K. Viswanath (Eds.), *Health behavior: Theory, research, and practice* (5th ed., pp. 75-94). Jossey-Bass.
- Drewnowski, A., & Rehm, C. D. (2013). Energy intakes of US children and adults by food purchase location and by specific food source. *Nutrition Journal*, 12(1), 59. doi:10.1186/1475-2891-12-59
- Du, S., Mroz, T. A., Zhai, F., & Popkin, B. M. (2020). Rapid income growth adversely affects diet quality in China—particularly for the poor! *Social Science & Medicine*, 187, 41-51. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.06.029
- Hawley, K. L., Roberto, C. A., Bragg, M. A., Liu, P. J., Schwartz, M. B., & Brownell, K. D. (2013). The science on front-of-package food labels. *Public Health Nutrition*, 16(3), 430-439. doi:10.1017/S1368980012000754
- Hawley, K. L., Roberto, C. A., Bragg, M. A., Liu, P. J., Schwartz, M. B., & Brownell, K. D. (2013). The science on front-of-package food labels. *Public Health Nutrition*, 16(3), 430-439. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980012000754>
- Hercberg, S., Castetbon, K., Czernichow, S., Malon, A., Mejean, C., Kesse, E., ... & Galan, P. (2018). The Nutrinet-Sante Study: A web-based prospective study on the relationship between nutrition and health and determinants of dietary patterns and nutritional status. *BMC Public Health*, 18(1), 261. doi:10.1186/s12889-018-5157-3
- IBGE - Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística. (2017). Pesquisa de Orçamentos Familiares 2017-2018: Análise do Consumo Alimentar Pessoal no Brasil. Retrieved from <https://biblioteca.ibge.gov.br/visualizacao/livros/liv101678.pdf>
- Indian Institute of Health Management Research. (2016). National Family Health Survey - 4: India Fact Sheet. Retrieved from <http://rchiips.org/NFHS/pdf/NFHS4/India.pdf>
- Julia, C., Hercberg, S., & Touvier, M. (2019). Testing the sustainability of the impact of the Nutri-Score front-of-pack label on food purchasing choices in a real-life setting. *Nutrients*, 11(10), 2273. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11102273>

- Julia, C., Péneau, S., Andreeva, V. A., Méjean, C., Fezeu, L. K., & Touvier, M. (2017). Weight-loss strategies used by the general population: How are they perceived? *PloS One*, 12(3), e0172570. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0172570>
- Julia, C., Péneau, S., Buscail, C., Gonzalez, R., Touvier, M., Hercberg, S., & Kesse-Guyot, E. (2017). Perception of different formats of front-of-pack nutrition labels according to sociodemographic, lifestyle and dietary factors in a French population: Cross-sectional study among the NutriNet-Santé cohort participants. *BMJ Open*, 7(12), e017922. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-017922
- Kelly, B., Hughes, C., Chapman, K., Louie, J. C. Y., Dixon, H., Crawford, J., ... & King, L. (2015). Consumer testing of the acceptability and effectiveness of front-of-pack food labelling systems for the Australian grocery market. *Health Promotion International*, 30(2), 201-211. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapro/dau065>
- Kelly, B., Jewell, J., & Baur, L. A. (2015). The food company and nutrition labeling revolution: A cause for optimism? *Pediatric Obesity*, 10(1), 1-5. doi:10.1111/ijpo.12008
- Martins, A. P. B., Levy, R. B., Claro, R. M., Moubarac, J. C., Monteiro, C. A. (2017). Increased contribution of ultra-processed food products in the Brazilian diet (1987-2009). *Revista de Saúde Pública*, 47(4), 656-665. doi:10.1590/S0034-8910.2013047004968
- McEachan, R., Conner, M., Taylor, N., & Lawton, R. (2016). Prospective prediction of health-related behaviors with the theory of planned behavior: A meta-analysis. *Health Psychology Review*, 10(2), 129-145. doi:10.1080/17437199.2015.1139433
- Mexican National Institute of Public Health. (2018). National Health and Nutrition Survey 2018. Retrieved from [https://ensanut.insp.mx/encuestas/ensanut2018/doctos/informes/ensanut\\_2018\\_presentacion\\_resultados.pdf](https://ensanut.insp.mx/encuestas/ensanut2018/doctos/informes/ensanut_2018_presentacion_resultados.pdf)
- Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. (2019). National Health and Nutrition Survey. Retrieved from [https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/kenkou\\_iryuu/kenkou/eiyuu/h30-houkoku\\_00001.html](https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/kenkou_iryuu/kenkou/eiyuu/h30-houkoku_00001.html)
- Misra, A., Sharma, R., Gulati, S., Joshi, S. R., Sharma, V., & Ghaffoorunissa. (2019). Consensus dietary guidelines for healthy living and prevention of obesity, the metabolic syndrome, diabetes, and related disorders in Asian Indians. *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics*, 11(12), 911-918. doi:10.1089/dia.2009.0111
- Nigerian National Bureau of Statistics. (2018). Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey 2018. Retrieved from <https://www.dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR359/FR359.pdf>
- Public Health England. (2018). National Diet and Nutrition Survey: Results from Years 7 and 8 (combined) of the Rolling Programme (2014/2015 to 2015/2016). Retrieved from <https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/ndns-results-from-years-7-and-8-combined>
- Roberto, C. A., Bragg, M. A., Schwartz, M. B., Seamans, M. J., Musicus, A., Novak, N., ... & Brownell, K. D. (2012). Evaluating the impact of menu labeling on food choices and intake. *American Journal of Public Health*, 102(2), 324-329. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2011.300449>

- Sacks, G., Rayner, M., & Swinburn, B. (2011). Impact of front-of-pack 'traffic-light' nutrition labelling on consumer food purchases in the UK. *Health Promotion International*, 26(2), 140-149. doi:10.1093/heapro/daq066
- Scarborough, P., Matthews, A., Eyles, H., Kaur, A., Hodgkins, C., Raats, M. M., & Rayner, M. (2015). Reds are more important than greens: How UK supermarket shoppers use the different information on a traffic light nutrition label in a choice experiment. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 12(1), 151. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-015-0301-3>
- South African Medical Research Council. (2013). South African National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (SANHANES-1): 2011-2012. Retrieved from <https://www.hsrcpress.ac.za/books/south-african-national-health-and-nutrition-examination-survey-sanh>
- VanEpps, E. M., Roberto, C. A., Park, S., Economos, C. D., & Bleich, S. N. (2017). Restaurant menu labeling policy: Review of evidence and controversies. *Current Obesity Reports*, 6(1), 18-27. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13679-017-0247-0>