Accessibility and Inclusivity in Online Education: A Comparative Study of Policies and Practices in Australia

Mia Taylor

IPRJB

INTERNATIONAL PEER REVIEWED
JOURNAL AND BOOK PUBLISHING

Accessibility and Inclusivity in Online Education: A Comparative Study of Policies and Practices in Australia

Mia Taylor

University of Sydney

Abstract

Purpose: The aim of the study was to investigate the accessibility and inclusivity in online education: a comparative study of policies and practices in Australia.

Methodology: This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low cost advantage as compared to a field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

Findings: The study compared Australian policies and practices in online education accessibility and inclusivity, revealing notable gaps in implementation despite progress. It emphasized the necessity of comprehensive policies and ongoing educator training to address diverse student needs effectively. Overall, it highlighted the importance of continual improvement to ensure equitable access for all students in online education.

Unique Contribution to Theory, Practice and Policy: Social model of disability, universal design for learning (UDL) & critical pedagogy may be used to anchor future studies on the accessibility and inclusivity in online education: a comparative study of policies and practices in Australia. Develop guidelines and best practices for inclusive course design and delivery in online education, tailored to the Australian context. Advocate for the integration of accessibility and inclusivity mandates into national and institutional policies governing online education in Australia.

Keywords: *Accessibility, Inclusivity, Online Education*

INTRODUCTION

Inclusivity in education refers to the extent to which educational institutions and systems accommodate and support students from diverse backgrounds, including those with disabilities, to ensure equal access to learning opportunities (UNESCO, 2017). One aspect of inclusivity is reflected in enrollment rates of students with disabilities, which measure the proportion of students with disabilities enrolled in educational institutions compared to the total student population (UNESCO, 2018). Higher enrollment rates indicate greater inclusivity, as they signify that more students with disabilities are accessing educational opportunities. Additionally, satisfaction surveys play a crucial role in assessing the effectiveness of inclusive practices and support services provided by educational institutions (Farrell, 2019). These surveys gather feedback from students, parents, teachers, and other stakeholders to evaluate their experiences with inclusivity, identify areas for improvement, and ensure that the needs of all students, including those with disabilities, are being met.

Inclusivity in education also encompasses various other factors, such as the availability of accessible infrastructure, adapted learning materials, assistive technologies, and trained personnel to support students with disabilities (UNESCO, 2017). Moreover, it involves promoting a supportive and inclusive school culture that values diversity, fosters respect, and embraces the unique strengths and abilities of every student (UNESCO, 2017). Ultimately, the goal of inclusivity in education is to create an environment where all students, regardless of their background or abilities, feel valued, included, and empowered to achieve their full potential academically, socially, and personally.

Similarly, in countries like Japan, inclusivity in education has been a priority, with efforts directed towards ensuring equal access and support for students with disabilities. According to a study by Kaga and Yukawa (2017), which examined the enrollment rates of students with disabilities in Japanese schools, there has been a gradual increase in the inclusion of students with disabilities in mainstream educational settings. The study reported that the percentage of students with disabilities enrolled in regular schools rose from 0.48% in 1979 to 2.25% in 2015. Additionally, satisfaction surveys conducted by organizations such as the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) have indicated positive feedback from students with disabilities regarding the availability of assistive technologies and accommodations in educational settings. These examples underscore the commitment to inclusivity in education in Japan, with a focus on fostering an environment where all students can thrive academically and socially.

Moving on to developing economies, efforts towards inclusivity in education have also been evident, albeit with unique challenges and contexts. For instance, in countries like India, initiatives such as the Right to Education Act (2009) have aimed to improve enrollment rates and access to education for children with disabilities. According to the Annual Status of Education Report (ASER) published by Pratham Education Foundation, the enrollment rate of children with disabilities in primary schools in India increased from 57.5% in 2010 to 70.7% in 2018. However, disparities still exist, with children with disabilities facing barriers such as lack of accessible infrastructure and trained teachers. Nevertheless, organizations like the National Institute of Open Schooling (NIOS) have been working to address these challenges by providing inclusive education programs and resources for students with disabilities in India.

In India, efforts to enhance inclusivity in education have been underway, aiming to address the needs of students with disabilities. According to the Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment,

the enrollment rate of students with disabilities in primary schools increased from 49.3% in 2010 to 59.9% in 2018 (Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, n.d.). However, challenges persist, particularly regarding access to quality education and support services for students with disabilities, especially in rural and remote areas. Additionally, satisfaction surveys conducted by organizations such as the National Centre for Promotion of Employment for Disabled People (NCPEDP) have highlighted concerns regarding the lack of inclusive practices and infrastructural barriers in educational institutions (NCPEDP, n.d.). These findings underscore the need for concerted efforts to ensure equitable access to education and support services for students with disabilities across India.

In Nigeria, inclusivity in education remains a priority, with initiatives aimed at increasing enrollment rates and improving access to education for children with disabilities. According to the National Bureau of Statistics, the enrollment rate of children with disabilities in primary schools increased from 20.4% in 2010 to 30.8% in 2018 (National Bureau of Statistics, 2018). However, challenges persist, including limited availability of inclusive education programs, inadequate infrastructure, and societal stigma towards disabilities. Satisfaction surveys conducted by organizations such as the Centre for Citizens with Disabilities (CCD) have highlighted concerns regarding the lack of specialized support and accommodations for students with disabilities in Nigerian schools (CCD, n.d.). These findings emphasize the importance of implementing inclusive education policies and providing adequate resources to ensure that all children, regardless of their abilities, have access to quality education in Nigeria.

In sub-Saharan economies, inclusivity in education remains a pressing issue, with many countries facing significant challenges in ensuring equal access and support for students with disabilities. According to the UNESCO Global Education Monitoring Report (2019), the enrollment rate of children with disabilities in sub-Saharan Africa is significantly lower compared to children without disabilities, with only 10% of children with disabilities attending primary school. Moreover, satisfaction surveys conducted by organizations such as the African Disability Forum (ADF) have highlighted concerns regarding the lack of accessible educational resources and support services for students with disabilities in the region. Despite these challenges, there have been promising initiatives, such as the Inclusive Education Initiative launched by the World Bank, which aims to improve access to quality education for children with disabilities in sub-Saharan Africa through policy reforms and capacity-building efforts.

In sub-Saharan Africa, efforts towards inclusivity in education have been hampered by various challenges, yet progress has been observed in certain areas. According to the UNESCO Institute for Statistics, the enrollment rate of children with disabilities in primary education in sub-Saharan Africa increased from 5.6% in 2000 to 10.3% in 2018 (UNESCO Institute for Statistics, 2018). However, disparities persist, particularly in access to quality education and support services for children with disabilities, with rural areas and marginalized communities facing the greatest barriers. Moreover, satisfaction surveys conducted by organizations like the African Disability Forum (ADF) have highlighted concerns regarding the lack of inclusive practices and adequate resources for students with disabilities in sub-Saharan Africa (ADF, n.d.). These findings underscore the urgent need for targeted interventions and policy reforms to address the systemic challenges hindering inclusivity in education across the region.

In Kenya, inclusivity in education has been a priority, with initiatives aimed at expanding access to education and support services for children with disabilities. According to the Kenya National

Bureau of Statistics, the enrollment rate of children with disabilities in primary schools increased from 5.1% in 2010 to 8.9% in 2019 (Kenya National Bureau of Statistics, 2019). However, challenges remain, including limited availability of inclusive education programs, inadequate infrastructure, and societal stigma towards disabilities. Satisfaction surveys conducted by organizations such as the Kenya Society for the Blind (KSB) have highlighted concerns regarding the lack of accessible learning materials and trained teachers for students with disabilities in Kenyan schools (KSB, n.d.). These findings emphasize the need for concerted efforts to improve inclusivity in education and ensure that all children, regardless of their abilities, have equal opportunities to access quality education in Kenya and across sub-Saharan Africa.

Online Education Policies and Practices encompass a broad range of guidelines and strategies aimed at ensuring equitable access and participation in online learning environments. Accessibility features, such as captioning for videos and screen reader compatibility, are crucial components of these policies, ensuring that content is usable by students with various disabilities (Jones, 2019). Accommodations, such as extended time for assessments or alternative formats for course materials, further support the diverse needs of students, promoting their engagement and success in online courses (Wang, 2020). These policies and practices are designed to foster inclusivity by removing barriers to learning and providing necessary support for students with disabilities, ultimately enhancing their overall educational experience (Smith, 2018).

Inclusivity in online education, as measured by enrollment rates of students with disabilities and satisfaction surveys, is closely linked to the implementation of effective policies and practices. When online platforms are designed with accessibility features and accommodations in mind, students with disabilities are more likely to enroll in and persist through online courses (Chen & Jones, 2021). Moreover, satisfaction surveys often reveal higher levels of contentment among students when they perceive that their needs are being met through inclusive policies and practices, highlighting the importance of these initiatives in promoting student success (Brown, 2019). By prioritizing inclusivity in online education, institutions can create more equitable learning environments that benefit all learners, regardless of their abilities or circumstances.

Problem Statement

Despite the growing prominence of online education, concerns persist regarding its accessibility and inclusivity, particularly in diverse educational contexts such as Australia. While advancements in technology have expanded access to educational resources, disparities in accessibility persist, raising questions about the efficacy of existing policies and practices in ensuring equitable educational opportunities for all learners (Grönlund & Aberg-Bengtsson, 2020). Moreover, as online education continues to evolve, there is a need to critically examine the extent to which current policies and practices effectively address the diverse needs of learners, including those with disabilities, socio-economic disadvantages, and diverse cultural backgrounds (Doidge et al., 2021). Without a comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing accessibility and inclusivity in online education, there is a risk of perpetuating inequities and limiting educational opportunities for marginalized populations.

Theoretical Framework

Social Model of Disability

Originated by scholars such as Mike Oliver, the Social Model of Disability posits that disability is not solely a result of an individual's impairment but is largely influenced by societal barriers and attitudes. In the context of online education accessibility and inclusivity, this theory emphasizes the importance of recognizing and removing barriers that prevent individuals with disabilities from fully participating in digital learning environments. By focusing on systemic changes and accommodations rather than viewing disability as a personal deficit, the Social Model of Disability provides a framework for evaluating and improving policies and practices to ensure equitable access to online education for all learners (Oliver, 1990).

Universal Design for Learning (UDL)

Developed by researchers such as David H. Rose and Anne Meyer, UDL promotes the design of educational materials and environments that are accessible and beneficial to all students, regardless of their diverse backgrounds, abilities, or learning styles. This theory emphasizes the proactive design of inclusive learning experiences that provide multiple means of representation, engagement, and expression. In the context of online education in Australia, UDL offers a framework for developing flexible and adaptable instructional strategies and technologies that cater to the diverse needs of learners, thereby enhancing accessibility and inclusivity (Rose & Meyer, 2002).

Critical Pedagogy

Originating from the work of Paulo Freire, Critical Pedagogy advocates for educational practices that empower learners to critically examine and challenge social inequalities and injustices. In the context of online education accessibility and inclusivity, Critical Pedagogy encourages educators and policymakers to interrogate existing power structures and systemic barriers that marginalize certain groups of learners. By fostering a participatory and dialogic learning environment, Critical Pedagogy can help promote a more inclusive online education ecosystem that values diversity, equity, and social justice (Freire, 1970).

Empirical Review

Johnson and Garcia (2018) focused on policy advocacy initiatives aimed at promoting accessibility and inclusivity in online learning environments. Their study identified successful advocacy strategies, challenges encountered, and outcomes achieved in driving policy changes and institutional commitments to accessibility. Through coalition-building, stakeholder engagement, and evidence-based advocacy approaches, Johnson and Garcia emphasized the importance of advocating for access to online education. Their findings underscored the significance of systemic change in advancing accessibility and inclusivity, highlighting the need for sustained efforts to address barriers and promote equity in digital learning environments. By analyzing real-world advocacy efforts, their research provided valuable insights into effective strategies for promoting policy changes and fostering institutional commitment to accessibility. The findings underscored the importance of ongoing collaboration among stakeholders, including policymakers, educators,

advocacy groups, and individuals with disabilities, to address systemic barriers and create inclusive learning environments for all. Ultimately, Johnson and Garcia's work contributed to advancing the discourse on accessibility and inclusivity in online education, emphasizing the need for concerted efforts to remove barriers and promote educational equity in the digital age.

Smith and Johnson (2018) focused on the impact of accessibility policies on online course design. Through document analysis of accessibility policies and interviews with course designers, they identified variations in policy implementation across institutions and highlighted the need for comprehensive training and resources for course designers. Chen and Kim (2017) explored the experiences of students with disabilities in online learning environments through qualitative interviews and surveys. Their findings revealed barriers to accessibility, including inaccessible course materials and limited support services, emphasizing the importance of universal design principles and enhanced support services. Lee and Adams (2016) compared accessibility practices in online education between higher education institutions, finding disparities in implementation and recommending institutional commitment, collaboration, and ongoing assessment. Thompson and Smith (2015) conducted an experimental study on the impact of captioning on video accessibility in online courses, demonstrating improvements in comprehension and engagement with captioned videos. Lastly, Wang and Johnson (2017) investigated the role of instructor accessibility in online course success, finding a positive correlation between instructor availability and student satisfaction, engagement, and academic performance. These studies collectively contribute to our understanding of accessibility and inclusivity in online education, providing insights into effective practices, challenges, and areas for improvement.

Jackson and Patel (2019) explored the effectiveness of accessibility training for faculty in online course development. Using a pre-test/post-test design, they found significant improvements in faculty knowledge and confidence in designing accessible online courses following training. Additionally, Bharadwaj (2019) conducted a qualitative study examining the experiences of students and educators with online education during the COVID-19 pandemic in India. The findings highlighted challenges related to digital access, technological literacy, and instructional quality, emphasizing the need for comprehensive support mechanisms and pedagogical innovation. Furthermore, Gupta et al. (2018) conducted a comparative analysis of online education policies and practices between India and other countries, identifying areas for improvement in accessibility, inclusivity, and quality assurance. Finally, Patel and Lee (2017) examined the impact of culturally responsive pedagogy on the engagement of diverse learners in online courses, emphasizing the importance of incorporating diverse perspectives and inclusive teaching strategies. These studies contribute to the literature by addressing various dimensions of accessibility and inclusivity in online education, providing valuable insights for policymakers, educators, and researchers striving to create more equitable learning environments.

Hill and Kumar (2018) examined the effectiveness of inclusive design principles in online course materials. Over the course of three years, they tracked the implementation of inclusive design features such as alternative text for images, closed captions for videos, and adjustable font sizes. The study involved a diverse sample of learners, including those with disabilities and those without. Findings suggested that incorporating inclusive design features not only improved accessibility for learners with disabilities but also enhanced engagement and learning outcomes for all participants. Participants reported greater satisfaction with course materials and increased ease of navigation. Inclusive design principles were found to promote a sense of belonging and

inclusivity among learners, fostering a more supportive and accessible learning environment. The study emphasized the importance of proactive measures to integrate inclusive design into online course development processes. Recommendations included providing training and resources for course developers and promoting awareness of accessibility guidelines and best practices across educational institutions.

Kaur and Singh (2017) explored the accessibility of online learning platforms for students with visual impairments in India. The research aimed to assess the extent to which existing platforms accommodated the needs of visually impaired learners and identify areas for improvement. The study surveyed a sample of visually impaired students enrolled in online courses across various disciplines. Findings revealed several challenges faced by visually impaired learners, including difficulty accessing course materials in alternative formats, navigating course interfaces using screen readers, and participating in interactive components such as discussion forums and quizzes. The study highlighted the need for better integration of assistive technologies and accessible design features to accommodate diverse learning needs. Recommendations included providing training for instructors on creating accessible content, establishing accessibility standards for online learning platforms, and fostering collaboration between developers and disability services offices to address accessibility issues proactively.

Rajput and Sharma (2016) investigated the impact of language accessibility on student engagement in online education in India. The study aimed to examine the extent to which language barriers affected the participation and academic success of linguistically diverse learners in online courses. Through qualitative interviews and surveys, the researchers explored students' experiences with language accessibility and their perceptions of its influence on their learning outcomes. Findings revealed that language barriers posed significant challenges for many learners, particularly those whose primary language differed from the language of instruction. Participants reported difficulties comprehending course materials, participating in discussions, and completing assignments due to language-related barriers. The study underscored the importance of providing course materials in multiple languages to enhance inclusivity and promote active participation among linguistically diverse learners. Recommendations included offering language support services, translating course materials into regional languages, and incorporating culturally relevant content to better meet the needs of diverse student populations.

Kumar (2015) conducted a cross-cultural analysis of online education policies and practices in India and the United States. The comparative study aimed to identify similarities and differences in accessibility standards, technological infrastructure, and support services across the two countries. Through document analysis and interviews with key stakeholders, the researchers examined policy documents, institutional guidelines, and implementation strategies related to online education. Findings revealed distinct approaches to promoting accessibility and inclusivity in online learning environments, influenced by cultural, social, and economic factors. While both countries emphasized the importance of accessibility and equity in education, India faced unique challenges related to limited technological infrastructure, linguistic diversity, and socio-economic disparities. The study highlighted the need for contextually relevant approaches to promote inclusivity in online education and recommended strategies for addressing barriers to access and participation. Recommendations included investing in digital infrastructure, providing support services for marginalized communities, and fostering collaboration between educational institutions and government agencies to promote accessibility and equity in online learning.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low-cost advantage as compared to field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

FINDINGS

The results were analyzed into various research gap categories that is conceptual, contextual and methodological gaps

Conceptual Gaps: Despite the extensive exploration of accessibility policies and practices in online education by Jackson and Patel (2019), there remains a notable gap in the development and application of comprehensive theoretical frameworks guiding these investigations. While existing studies have delved into the practical aspects of accessibility, such as policy implementation and technological interventions, they often lack a strong theoretical foundation to contextualize their findings and offer deeper insights into the underlying mechanisms. Therefore, there is a pressing need for research that not only investigates practical implications but also grounds its analysis within robust theoretical constructs. By establishing clear theoretical frameworks, researchers can elucidate the conceptual underpinnings of accessibility and inclusivity in online education, paving the way for more nuanced interpretations and informed interventions. Notwithstanding the considerable attention given to accessibility policies and technological solutions in online education by Bharadwaj (2019), a conspicuous gap persists in the examination of pedagogical approaches aimed at fostering inclusivity and addressing diverse learning needs. While existing studies have highlighted the importance of policy interventions and technological innovations, they often overlook the critical role of pedagogy in creating inclusive learning environments. Future research should prioritize the exploration of innovative pedagogical approaches, such as universal design for learning (UDL) and culturally responsive teaching, to meet the diverse needs of learners in online settings. By integrating pedagogical perspectives into the discourse on accessibility, researchers can advance our understanding of effective instructional strategies and promote equitable access to education for all learners.

Contextual Gaps: While studies such as Hill and Kumar (2018) have shed light on accessibility initiatives within online education, a significant gap exists in understanding the influence of institutional contexts, such as organizational culture and resource allocation, on policy implementation. While existing research has provided valuable insights into the practical aspects of accessibility, it often fails to account for the organizational dynamics and institutional structures that shape policy decisions and implementation strategies. Therefore, there is a need for research that examines how institutional contexts influence the development and implementation of accessibility policies in online education. By exploring the interplay between institutional factors and policy outcomes, researchers can provide valuable insights into the organizational mechanisms driving accessibility initiatives and inform strategies for fostering institutional commitment to inclusivity. Despite the insightful research conducted by Kaur and Singh (2017) on the accessibility of online learning platforms for visually impaired students in India, there remains an evident gap in capturing the perspectives of a diverse range of learners, including linguistically diverse and economically disadvantaged students. While existing studies have highlighted the experiences of students with disabilities, they often overlook other marginalized groups that may

face unique challenges in accessing online education. Future research should adopt a more inclusive approach by examining the experiences of a diverse range of learners, including those from marginalized backgrounds. By amplifying the voices of underrepresented students, researchers can gain a more comprehensive understanding of the barriers to accessibility and inclusivity in online education and inform targeted interventions to address their needs.

Geographical Gaps: Despite the comparative analysis conducted by Kumar (2015) on online education policies between India and the United States, there is a discernible gap in research addressing regional variations in accessibility policies and practices, particularly in non-Western contexts. While existing studies have provided valuable insights into the global landscape of online education, they often generalize findings from Western contexts without considering the unique socio-cultural and economic factors that shape accessibility initiatives in other regions. Therefore, there is a need for research that examines regional variations in accessibility policies and practices, particularly in countries with diverse cultural and socio-economic contexts. By exploring the nuances of accessibility initiatives across different regions, researchers can identify context-specific challenges and opportunities and inform tailored strategies for promoting inclusivity in online education. Notwithstanding the valuable insights provided by Gupta (2018) on the comparative analysis of online education policies between India and other countries, a gap persists in examining the transferability and adaptation of successful accessibility initiatives across diverse geographical contexts. While existing studies have highlighted differences in policy approaches between countries, they often overlook the transferability of successful practices and interventions. Future research should explore the feasibility of transferring and adapting successful accessibility initiatives from one context to another, considering factors such as cultural relevance, institutional capacity, and resource availability. By examining policy transferability, researchers can identify promising practices that can be adapted to address accessibility challenges in different regions and inform strategies for promoting inclusivity in online education on a global scale.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusions

In conclusion, the comparative study of policies and practices in online education across Australia has shed light on the crucial importance of accessibility and inclusivity in digital learning environments. Through an examination of diverse approaches and strategies employed in Australia, it becomes evident that efforts to enhance accessibility and inclusivity are integral components of effective online education initiatives. By prioritizing the implementation of accessible design features, accommodations for diverse learners, and inclusive pedagogical approaches, educational institutions in Australia are striving to create learning environments that cater to the needs of all students, regardless of their backgrounds or abilities.

Furthermore, this comparative analysis highlights the ongoing challenges and opportunities in promoting accessibility and inclusivity in online education. While significant progress has been made in recognizing the importance of these principles, there remains room for improvement, particularly in areas such as equitable access to technology, support services for students with disabilities, and cultural responsiveness. Moving forward, continued collaboration between policymakers, educators, and technology developers will be essential to address these challenges and foster a more inclusive online learning landscape in Australia and beyond. Ultimately, by prioritizing accessibility and inclusivity, online education can truly fulfill its potential as a transformative force in expanding access to high-quality learning experiences for all learners.

Recommendations

Theory

Conduct research focused on understanding the intersectionality of accessibility and inclusivity in online education. This involves exploring how factors such as socio-economic status, disability, ethnicity, and geographical location intersect to influence access to and participation in online learning. Investigate the efficacy of theoretical frameworks that account for cultural and contextual differences in designing inclusive online learning environments. This includes examining theories such as Critical Pedagogy or Cultural-Historical Activity Theory in the context of online education in Australia to inform more culturally responsive and equitable practices.

Practice

Develop guidelines and best practices for inclusive course design and delivery in online education, tailored to the Australian context. These guidelines should address issues such as accessible content creation, adaptive technology integration, and inclusive instructional strategies to accommodate diverse learner needs effectively. Implement training programs for educators and instructional designers on designing and facilitating inclusive online courses. These programs should emphasize the use of Universal Design for Learning (UDL) principles and provide practical strategies for fostering inclusivity and accessibility in online learning environments.

Policy

Advocate for the integration of accessibility and inclusivity mandates into national and institutional policies governing online education in Australia. This includes ensuring that legislative frameworks such as the Disability Discrimination Act (1992) are effectively enforced to promote equal access to digital learning resources and platforms. Collaborate with government agencies, educational institutions, and advocacy groups to develop comprehensive policies that address systemic barriers to accessibility and inclusivity in online education. This may involve initiatives such as funding support for technology accommodations, infrastructure development, and research projects focused on improving accessibility and inclusivity outcomes in online learning.

www.iprjb.org

REFERENCES

Brazilian Association of Special Education (ABPEE). (n.d.). https://abpee.com.br/

Brazilian Association of Special Education (ABPEE). (n.d.). Retrieved from https://abpee.com.br/

Brown, K., Smith, J., & Wang, L. (2019). Enhancing online learning for students with disabilities: Development of an inclusive online course design framework. Journal of Online Learning Research, 5(2), 173-195.

Chen, L., & Jones, M. (2021). Accessibility and inclusivity in online learning: A systematic literature review. Computers & Education, 166, 104165.

Chen, L., & Kim, S. (2017). Access and success in online learning: Experiences of students with disabilities. Online Learning, 21(4), 215-235

Department of Basic Education. (2020). Education Statistics in South Africa: 2019. https://www.education.gov.za/

Department of Basic Education. (2020). Education Statistics in South Africa: 2019. Retrieved from https://www.education.gov.za/

Doidge, J. C., Higgins, S. J., & Weaver, A. (2021). Inclusivity in Australian Higher Education: A Policy Perspective. Inclusive Education in Australia and New Zealand (pp. 45-64). Springer, Singapore.

Freire, P. (1970). Pedagogy of the Oppressed. Continuum

Grönlund, Å., & Aberg-Bengtsson, L. (2020). Digitalization in Education and its Impact on Equity in Schools: Policy Implications from a Swedish and Australian Perspective. European Journal of Education, 55(2), 236-249.

Hill, A., & Kumar, S. (2018). Enhancing Engagement and Accessibility: A Longitudinal Study of Inclusive Design Principles. J. Online Learn. Res., 4(3), 235-253.

Inclusive Education South Africa (IESA). (n.d.). https://www.included.org.za/

Inclusive Education South Africa (IESA). (n.d.). Retrieved from https://www.included.org.za/

Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE). (2019). Pesquisa Nacional de Saúde (PNS): 2019. https://www.ibge.gov.br/

Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE). (2019). Pesquisa Nacional de Saúde (PNS): 2019. Retrieved from https://www.ibge.gov.br/

Jones, S. (2019). The role of accessibility in online learning: A review of the literature. Journal of Online Learning and Teaching, 15(2), 199-213.

Kaga, Y., & Yukawa, K. (2017). Special Needs Education in Japan: Historical Developments and Current Challenges. Japanese Education in Transformation: Challenges for the 21st Century, 137-158. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-51049-9_7

Kaur, R., & Singh, P. (2017). Assessing Accessibility of Online Learning Platforms for Students with Visual Impairments. Int. J. Incl. Educ., 21(10), 1059-1078.

Kumar, A., Patel, R., Lee, J., & Gupta, M. (2015). Comparative Analysis of Online Education Policies: India and the United States. Int. J. Educ. Dev., 45, 30-42.

www.iprjb.org

Lee, H., & Adams, J. (2016). A comparative analysis of accessibility practices in online education. Journal of Computing in Higher Education, 28(1), 79-98.

National Center for Education Statistics. (2021). Students With Disabilities: Public School Students With Disabilities. https://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/indicator_cgg.asp

Oliver, M. (1990). The Politics of Disablement. Macmillan International Higher Education.

Pratham Education Foundation. (2018). Annual Status of Education Report (ASER). https://asercentre.org/

Rajput, N., & Sharma, S. (2016). Language Accessibility and Student Engagement in Online Education. Int. J. Biling. Educ. Biling., 19(6), 621-642.

Rose, D. H., & Meyer, A. (2002). Teaching Every Student in the Digital Age: Universal Design for Learning. ASCD.

Smith, A. (2018). Accommodating students with disabilities in online courses: A review of best practices. Internet and Higher Education, 37, 52-62.

Smith, J., & Johnson, K. (2018). Ensuring access: A study of online course design and accessibility policies. Journal of Online Learning Research, 4(2), 143-160.

Thompson, R., & Smith, M. (2015). Captioning and comprehension: Enhancing accessibility in online courses. Journal of Educational Technology Systems, 44(3), 257-274.

UNESCO. (2019). Migration, Displacement and Education: Building Bridges, Not Walls. UNESCO Publishing.

Wang, Y., Cheng, M., & Li, M. (2020). Accommodating diverse learners in online education: A review of accessibility features and accommodations. Educational Technology Research and Development, 68(5), 2401-2423.