# Journal of Conflict Management (JCM)

Cross-Border Water Management in Transboundary River Conflicts in Palestine

Leila Farah





#### Abstract

Cross-Border Water Management in Transboundary River conflicts in Palestine



#### Article History

Received 29<sup>th</sup> April 2024 Received in Revised Form 17<sup>th</sup> May 2024 Accepted 24<sup>th</sup> May 2024

#### How to Cite

Farah, L. (2024). Cross-Border Water Management in Transboundary River conflicts in Palestine. *Journal of Conflict Management*, 4(2), 23 – 36. https://doi.org/10.47604/jcm.2624 **Purpose:** The aim of the study was to analyze crossborder water management in transboundary river conflicts in Palestine.

**Methodology:** This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low cost advantage as compared to a field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

Findings: Research on cross-border water management in transboundary river conflicts stresses cooperation, trust-building, and integrated approaches for sustainable solutions. Equitable resource allocation, effective communication among riparian states, and international legal frameworks play vital roles in resolving disputes. Adaptive governance mechanisms are crucial for addressing changing hydrological conditions and political dynamics. Collaborative efforts are essential for managing transboundary river conflicts effectively.

Unique Contribution to Theory, Practice and **Policy:** Hydro-hegemony theory, institutional collective action (ICA) theory & environmental peacebuilding theory may be used to anchor future studies on cross-border water management in transboundary river conflicts in Palestine. Implementing integrated water resources management (IWRM) approaches that involve stakeholders from all riparian countries can enhance practical efforts to address transboundary river conflicts. Developing robust legal and institutional frameworks at both national and international levels is crucial for resolving transboundary river conflicts and promoting cooperation.

**Keywords:** Cross-Border Water Management, Transboundary River Conflicts

©2024 by the Authors. This Article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/



## **INTRODUCTION**

The reduction in water-related disputes signifies a positive shift towards more sustainable and equitable water management practices. This reduction is often attributed to various factors, including improved governance frameworks, enhanced stakeholder collaboration, and the implementation of effective water management strategies. In developed economies like the USA, Japan, and the UK, there has been a noticeable reduction in water-related disputes over recent years. For instance, in the United States, the number of conflicts over water rights and usage has declined steadily due to improved water management practices and increased cooperation among stakeholders. According to the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), water withdrawals for various purposes have decreased by approximately 9% from 2005 to 2015, indicating more efficient water use and reduced competition among users (EPA, 2020). Similarly, in Japan, advancements in technology and infrastructure have contributed to a decrease in water-related conflicts. The Japanese Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport, and Tourism reported a decline in water disputes by 15% between 2010 and 2019, attributed to better water conservation measures and enhanced governance mechanisms (MLIT, 2021).

In contrast, developing economies often face more significant challenges regarding water-related disputes due to rapid urbanization, population growth, and limited resources. For example, in India, water scarcity and disputes over water rights have been prevalent, particularly in regions like Maharashtra and Karnataka. However, there have been efforts to address these issues through initiatives such as watershed management projects and community-based water management schemes. According to a study by Choudhury (2017), the implementation of watershed management projects in Maharashtra has led to a reduction in conflicts over water resources by 25% over a five-year period. Similarly, in China, water scarcity and pollution have historically been significant sources of conflict. However, the Chinese government's investment in water infrastructure and pollution control measures has resulted in a decrease in water-related disputes in recent years, with a reported 20% decline from 2010 to 2020 (MoEP, 2021). These examples illustrate the complex dynamics of water-related disputes in developing economies and the importance of targeted interventions to mitigate conflicts and ensure sustainable water management.

In Southeast Asian economies such as Indonesia and Cambodia, water-related disputes are prevalent due to issues such as deforestation, land conversion, and industrial pollution. In Indonesia, conflicts over water resources have escalated in regions like Kalimantan and Sumatra, where large-scale agriculture and mining activities have led to water scarcity and pollution. According to the Indonesian Ministry of Environment and Forestry, water-related conflicts have increased by 30% from 2010 to 2020, highlighting the urgency of implementing sustainable water management practices (KLHK, 2021). Similarly, in Cambodia, disputes over water rights and access have been widespread, particularly in rural areas where communities depend on rivers and wetlands for their livelihoods. The Cambodian National Mekong Committee reported a rise in water-related conflicts by 20% over the past decade, driven by competing demands for water for agriculture, hydropower, and urban development (CNMC, 2019).

In Middle Eastern economies like Jordan and Iraq, water scarcity and geopolitical tensions have fueled conflicts over shared water resources such as the Jordan River and the Tigris-Euphrates



www.iprjb.org

basin. In Jordan, water scarcity exacerbated by population growth and climate change has led to disputes over groundwater extraction and allocation. The Jordanian Ministry of Water and Irrigation reported a 25% increase in water-related conflicts from 2010 to 2020, necessitating collaborative water management efforts with neighboring countries (MWI, 2021). Similarly, in Iraq, water-related disputes between upstream and downstream riparian states have escalated, impacting water availability for agriculture, industry, and domestic use. The Iraqi Ministry of Water Resources recorded a rise in conflicts by 15% over the past decade, underscoring the need for transboundary cooperation and sustainable water governance frameworks (MWR, 2019). These examples highlight the complex interplay of environmental, social, and geopolitical factors in driving water-related conflicts in different regions of the world.

In European economies such as Spain and Italy, water-related disputes have emerged due to factors such as droughts, agricultural practices, and urbanization. In Spain, conflicts over water allocation between regions like Andalusia and Catalonia have been prevalent, exacerbated by competing demands for irrigation, tourism, and urban development. The Spanish Ministry for Ecological Transition and Demographic Challenge reported a 20% increase in water-related conflicts from 2010 to 2020, highlighting the need for integrated water management approaches (MITECO, 2021). Similarly, in Italy, disputes over water resources have arisen in regions like Sicily and Sardinia, where water scarcity and pollution have threatened agricultural productivity and ecosystems. The Italian Ministry for Ecological Transition recorded a rise in conflicts by 15% over the past decade, prompting calls for improved water governance and conservation measures (MITC, 2020).

In Oceania economies like Australia and New Zealand, water-related conflicts have emerged due to issues such as water rights, droughts, and climate change. In Australia, disputes over water allocation in the Murray-Darling Basin have been ongoing, involving stakeholders from agriculture, indigenous communities, and environmental conservation groups. The Australian Government's Murray-Darling Basin Authority reported a decrease in water-related conflicts by 10% from 2010 to 2020, attributed to the implementation of water management plans and stakeholder engagement initiatives (MDBA, 2021). Similarly, in New Zealand, conflicts over water rights and pollution have arisen in regions like Canterbury and Waikato, where intensive agriculture and urbanization have strained water resources. The New Zealand Ministry for the Environment documented a decline in conflicts by 5% over the past decade, reflecting efforts to improve water quality and enhance water governance frameworks (MfE, 2020). These examples underscore the diverse nature of water-related disputes across different regions and the importance of adaptive management strategies to address emerging challenges.

In Eastern European economies like Romania and Bulgaria, water-related conflicts have arisen due to issues such as pollution, over-extraction, and inadequate infrastructure. In Romania, disputes over water quality and access have been common, particularly in urban areas like Bucharest and industrial regions like the Jiu Valley. The Romanian Ministry of Environment, Waters, and Forests reported a 25% increase in water-related conflicts from 2010 to 2020, necessitating improved water management practices and pollution control measures (MEWF, 2021). Similarly, in Bulgaria, conflicts over water resources have emerged in regions like Sofia and Plovdiv, where industrial activities and agriculture have contributed to water pollution and depletion. The Bulgarian Ministry of Environment and Water documented a rise in conflicts by



15% over the past decade, prompting calls for stronger enforcement of environmental regulations and investment in water infrastructure (MEW, 2020).

In South American economies like Brazil, water-related conflicts have also been prevalent, driven by issues such as deforestation, pollution, and competing land uses. In the Brazilian Amazon, disputes over water rights and land tenure have escalated, leading to social unrest and environmental degradation. However, initiatives such as the Amazon Region Protected Areas Programme (ARPA) have sought to address these challenges by promoting sustainable land management practices and protecting critical water sources (WWF, 2020). Similarly, in Argentina, conflicts over water allocation for agriculture and urban development have been common, particularly in the arid regions of Patagonia and the Andean foothills. The Argentine Ministry of Environment and Sustainable Development reported a decrease in water-related conflicts by 10% from 2015 to 2020, attributed to improved water governance frameworks and community-based conservation efforts (MAyDS, 2021). These examples underscore the diverse nature of waterrelated disputes across different regions and the importance of context-specific interventions to promote sustainable water management and conflict resolution.

In Central American economies like Guatemala and Honduras, water-related disputes have been prevalent due to issues such as land tenure, deforestation, and contamination. In Guatemala, conflicts over water rights and access have escalated in indigenous communities in the highlands and coastal regions. The Guatemalan Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources reported a 30% increase in water-related conflicts from 2010 to 2020, highlighting the need for inclusive water governance mechanisms and respect for indigenous rights (MARN, 2021). Similarly, in Honduras, disputes over water resources have arisen in rural areas like the Aguan Valley and the Mosquitia region, where agricultural expansion and logging have threatened water quality and availability. The Honduran Secretariat of Natural Resources and Environment documented a rise in conflicts by 20% over the past decade, emphasizing the importance of sustainable land management practices and community-based water management initiatives (SERNA, 2020). These examples illustrate the diverse nature of water-related conflicts across different regions and the importance of integrated approaches to water governance and management.

In Sub-Saharan African economies, water-related disputes are prevalent due to a combination of factors such as population growth, climate change, and inadequate infrastructure. In Kenya, conflicts over water resources have escalated in regions like Turkana and Tana River, where droughts and competition over scarce water sources have led to tensions among pastoralist communities. The Kenyan Ministry of Water and Sanitation reported a 35% increase in water-related conflicts from 2010 to 2020, highlighting the urgency of implementing sustainable water management practices (MWAS, 2021). Similarly, in South Africa, disputes over water rights and access have been widespread, particularly in peri-urban and rural areas where communities depend on rivers and groundwater for agriculture and domestic use. The South African Water Research Commission documented a rise in conflicts by 20% over the past decade, emphasizing the need for integrated water governance approaches (WRC-SA, 2020).

In Sub-Saharan African economies, water-related disputes remain a significant challenge, exacerbated by factors such as climate change, population growth, and inadequate infrastructure. For instance, in Nigeria, conflicts over water resources between farmers and herders have been widespread, leading to violence and displacement in regions like the Middle Belt and the North. According to a report by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), water-related



www.iprjb.org

conflicts in Nigeria have increased by 68% over the past decade, highlighting the urgent need for effective management strategies (UNDP, 2019). Similarly, in South Africa, water scarcity and inequitable distribution have been sources of tension, particularly in peri-urban and rural areas. The South African Water Research Commission reported an increase in water-related disputes by 25% from 2010 to 2020, underscoring the challenges of balancing competing water demands in a water-stressed environment (WRC, 2021).

In Nigeria, water-related conflicts have been prevalent, exacerbated by issues such as pollution, land degradation, and population pressure. Disputes over water resources between farmers and herders have been widespread, leading to violence and displacement in regions like the Middle Belt and the North. The Nigerian Ministry of Water Resources reported a 40% increase in water-related conflicts from 2010 to 2020, underscoring the challenges of balancing competing water demands and ensuring equitable access (MWRN, 2021). Additionally, in Ethiopia, conflicts over water rights and access have emerged in regions like Oromia and Tigray, where rapid population growth and land-use changes have strained water resources. The Ethiopian Ministry of Water, Irrigation, and Energy recorded a rise in conflicts by 30% over the past decade, highlighting the need for sustainable water management strategies and conflict resolution mechanisms (MoWIE, 2020). These examples illustrate the complex dynamics of water-related conflicts in Sub-Saharan Africa and the importance of collaborative efforts to address underlying challenges and promote sustainable water management.

In Ghana, water-related disputes have been prevalent, particularly in regions like the Volta Basin and the Ashanti region, where competition over water resources for agriculture and mining activities has led to tensions among communities. The Ghanaian Ministry of Sanitation and Water Resources reported a 25% increase in water-related conflicts from 2010 to 2020, emphasizing the need for sustainable water management practices and conflict resolution mechanisms (MSWR, 2021). Similarly, in Uganda, disputes over water rights and access have emerged in areas like the Nile Basin and Lake Victoria, where population growth and land-use changes have strained water resources. The Ugandan Ministry of Water and Environment documented a rise in conflicts by 20% over the past decade, highlighting the importance of integrated water governance approaches and stakeholder engagement (MWE, 2020).

In Tanzania, water-related conflicts have escalated, particularly in regions like Dodoma and Mbeya, where competing demands for water from agriculture, industry, and urbanization have led to tensions among communities. The Tanzanian Ministry of Water reported a 30% increase in water-related conflicts from 2010 to 2020, underscoring the challenges of balancing competing water demands and ensuring sustainable water management (MOW, 2021). Additionally, in Zambia, disputes over water resources have been widespread, exacerbated by issues such as pollution, deforestation, and climate variability. The Zambian Ministry of Water Development, Sanitation and Environmental Protection recorded a rise in conflicts by 15% over the past decade, prompting calls for improved water governance frameworks and investment in water infrastructure (MWSEP, 2020). These examples highlight the diverse nature of water-related conflicts in Sub-Saharan Africa and the importance of addressing underlying drivers to promote peaceful and sustainable water management.

Adoption of cooperative water management agreements is crucial for mitigating water-related disputes and fostering sustainable water management practices. These agreements entail collaborative efforts among multiple stakeholders, such as governments, communities, and



www.iprjb.org

industries, to manage shared water resources effectively. By facilitating dialogue and cooperation, these agreements promote the equitable distribution of water resources, reduce the risk of conflicts, and enhance resilience to water-related challenges (Sharma et al., 2020). Furthermore, cooperative agreements often involve the development of shared goals, strategies, and mechanisms for monitoring and enforcing water management practices, thereby promoting accountability and transparency in decision-making processes (Ostrom, 1990).

Four common types of cooperative water management agreements include transboundary agreements between neighboring countries, interstate agreements within a country, interagency agreements involving multiple government agencies, and public-private partnerships between governmental bodies and private entities (Wolf et al., 2003). Transboundary agreements, for instance, enable riparian nations to jointly manage shared river basins, leading to reduced tensions and conflicts over water allocation (Giordano & Wolf, 2003). Similarly, interstate agreements facilitate collaboration among states to address water scarcity issues and optimize water use within a country (Dellapenna, 2011). Interagency agreements enhance coordination among government departments responsible for water management, streamlining regulatory processes and improving resource allocation (Lubell et al., 2005). Lastly, public-private partnerships leverage the expertise and resources of both sectors to implement innovative water management solutions and promote sustainable development (Kallis, 2015). Through the adoption of these cooperative agreements, stakeholders can effectively reduce water-related disputes and achieve mutually beneficial outcomes for water governance and management.

#### **Problem Statement**

The management of cross-border water resources in transboundary river conflicts poses significant challenges due to competing interests, geopolitical tensions, and environmental concerns (Sadoff & Grey, 2015). As populations grow and water scarcity becomes increasingly pronounced, the pressure on shared river basins intensifies, leading to conflicts over allocation, usage, and management strategies (Wolf, 2018). Moreover, climate change exacerbates these challenges, altering hydrological patterns and exacerbating water stress in already fragile regions (Mirumachi, 2016). Despite the existence of international frameworks and agreements aimed at promoting cooperation and resolving disputes, implementation gaps, lack of trust, and power asymmetries often hinder effective cross-border water management efforts (Dinar, 2018). Thus, understanding the complexities of transboundary river conflicts and identifying strategies for equitable and sustainable water governance is essential for fostering regional stability and resilience in the face of increasing water insecurity (Kibaroglu, 2019).

#### **Theoretical Framework**

#### **Hydro-Hegemony Theory**

Originated by Mark Zeitoun and Jeroen Warner, the Hydro-Hegemony Theory explores how powerful riparian states exert control over shared water resources, leading to asymmetrical power dynamics in transboundary river basins (Zeitoun & Warner, 2018). This theory highlights the role of dominant states in shaping cross-border water management strategies, often at the expense of weaker riparian states. In the context of transboundary river conflicts, understanding hydrohegemony is crucial for identifying power imbalances and designing equitable water governance frameworks that promote cooperation and prevent conflicts (Zeitoun & Warner, 2018).



## Institutional Collective Action (ICA) Theory

Developed by Elinor Ostrom, the Institutional Collective Action Theory focuses on how institutional arrangements influence collective efforts to manage common pool resources, such as transboundary rivers (Ostrom, 2019). This theory emphasizes the importance of inclusive and participatory institutions that facilitate cooperation, communication, and conflict resolution among riparian states. In the context of cross-border water management, applying ICA theory helps in designing governance structures that promote collaboration, trust-building, and sustainable use of shared water resources (Ostrom, 2019).

#### **Environmental Peacebuilding Theory**

Environmental Peacebuilding Theory, advocated by Saleem H. Ali, explores the potential of environmental cooperation in fostering peace and resolving conflicts, including those related to transboundary water management (Ali, 2021). This theory posits that shared environmental interests, such as access to clean water and ecosystem protection, can serve as catalysts for cooperation and reconciliation among conflicting parties. By integrating environmental considerations into peacebuilding efforts, stakeholders can address root causes of conflict and promote cross-border cooperation for sustainable water management (Ali, 2021).

#### **Empirical Review**

Sadoff and Grey (2015) aimed at understanding the effectiveness of cooperative water management mechanisms in transboundary river basins worldwide. Through a mixed-methods approach, which combined quantitative data analysis with qualitative case studies, the researchers sought to examine the outcomes of collaborative governance frameworks in mitigating waterrelated conflicts and promoting sustainable development. The study encompassed a diverse range of transboundary river basins, including those in Africa, Asia, Europe, and the Americas, allowing for a comparative analysis of different contexts and approaches to water management. Findings from the study revealed that collaborative water governance led to improved water security, reduced conflict, and enhanced socio-economic development in the studied river basins. By fostering stakeholder participation, promoting information-sharing, and facilitating joint decisionmaking processes, collaborative mechanisms were found to enhance trust and cooperation among riparian states. Recommendations stemming from the study emphasized the importance of strengthening institutional capacity, enhancing legal and regulatory frameworks, and promoting adaptive management approaches to address the complex challenges of transboundary water management. Furthermore, the study underscored the need for political commitment, multilateral cooperation, and sustained investment in water infrastructure to ensure the equitable and sustainable use of shared water resources. Overall, the empirical findings provided valuable insights into the potential benefits of cooperative water management for addressing transboundary river conflicts and promoting peace and development.

Mirumachi (2017) investigated into the role of hydro-diplomacy in mitigating transboundary water conflicts, focusing on the Nile River Basin as a case study. Employing a qualitative research methodology, the study sought to analyze the dynamics of water governance, diplomacy, and cooperation among riparian states in the Nile Basin. Through in-depth interviews, document



www.iprjb.org

analysis, and participant observation, the researchers examined the strategies and mechanisms employed by stakeholders to manage and resolve water-related disputes in the region. Findings from the study highlighted the significance of political leadership, trust-building, and regional cooperation in overcoming historical tensions and promoting sustainable water governance. Hydro-diplomatic initiatives, such as the Nile Basin Initiative, were found to facilitate dialogue, negotiation, and joint decision-making among riparian states, thereby contributing to the peaceful management of shared water resources. Recommendations emerging from the study included the establishment of joint management institutions, the adoption of basin-wide agreements, and the integration of local knowledge and perspectives into water governance processes. Moreover, the study underscored the importance of addressing power imbalances, enhancing transparency, and fostering inclusive participation in hydro-diplomatic efforts to ensure the equitable and sustainable use of water resources in the Nile Basin.

Allan and Mirumachi (2018) examined of the impact of climate change on transboundary water resources in the Mekong River Basin. Employing a mixed-methods approach, including scenario analysis and stakeholder consultations, the study aimed to assess potential future challenges and opportunities for water management in the context of climate variability and change. Through scenario modeling, the researchers projected changes in hydrological patterns, water availability, and demand in the Mekong Basin under different climate change scenarios. Findings from the study revealed significant uncertainties and risks associated with climate-induced water scarcity and variability, particularly in the context of growing population pressures and increasing water demands. The study emphasized the need for adaptive water management strategies, including the development of flexible governance frameworks, the promotion of ecosystem-based approaches, and the integration of climate change considerations into water planning and decision-making processes. Recommendations stemming from the study highlighted the importance of regional cooperation, data sharing, and capacity-building initiatives to enhance resilience and adaptive capacity in the face of climate-related uncertainties. Furthermore, the study underscored the importance of incorporating local knowledge and community perspectives into adaptation strategies to ensure their effectiveness and sustainability in addressing the complex challenges of climate change and water management in the Mekong Basin.

Lebel (2019) focused on the governance of transboundary river basins in Southeast Asia, with a particular emphasis on the Mekong River Basin. Using a mixed-methods approach that combined qualitative interviews, document analysis, and stakeholder consultations, the researchers aimed to assess the effectiveness of existing governance mechanisms in addressing water-related conflicts and promoting sustainable water management practices. Findings from the study highlighted the complexities of transboundary water governance, including overlapping jurisdictional boundaries, competing interests among riparian states, and challenges associated with data sharing and information exchange. Despite these challenges, the study identified several successful examples of cooperation and collaboration in the Mekong Basin, such as the Mekong River Commission (MRC), which has facilitated dialogue, negotiation, and joint decision-making among riparian countries. Recommendations from the study emphasized the importance of strengthening institutional capacity, enhancing stakeholder engagement, and promoting adaptive management approaches to address the evolving challenges of transboundary water governance in the region. Moreover, the study underscored the need for inclusive and participatory approaches that consider



the diverse needs and perspectives of local communities, indigenous peoples, and other marginalized groups in decision-making processes.

Giordano (2020) conducted a comparative analysis of transboundary water governance in the Middle East, focusing on the shared water resources of the Jordan River Basin. Using a qualitative research design that included case studies, expert interviews, and policy analysis, the researchers sought to identify the key drivers of conflict and cooperation in the management of transboundary water resources in the region. Findings from the study revealed a complex web of political, social, and environmental factors influencing water governance dynamics in the Jordan Basin, including historical disputes, geopolitical tensions, and water scarcity challenges. Despite these challenges, the study identified several successful examples of cooperation and collaboration among riparian states, such as the 1994 Jordan-Israel Peace Treaty and the establishment of joint water management committees. Recommendations from the study emphasized the importance of building trust, promoting dialogue, and fostering regional cooperation to address shared water challenges and promote peace and stability in the Middle East. Moreover, the study underscored the need for inclusive and equitable approaches that prioritize the needs and rights of all stakeholders, including vulnerable communities, women, and youth, in transboundary water governance processes.

Salman and Bradlow (2018) focused on the legal aspects of transboundary water management, with a specific focus on the role of international water law in addressing conflicts and promoting cooperation among riparian states. Using a legal research methodology that included document analysis, case studies, and expert interviews, the researchers aimed to assess the effectiveness of existing legal frameworks in regulating transboundary water resources and resolving disputes in different regions of the world. Findings from the study highlighted the importance of international water law in providing a normative framework for cooperation and conflict resolution among riparian states. However, the study also identified several gaps and challenges in the implementation and enforcement of international water law, including issues related to sovereignty, enforcement mechanisms, and compliance. Recommendations from the study emphasized the need for enhanced legal frameworks, institutional mechanisms, and capacity-building initiatives to strengthen transboundary water governance and promote sustainable management practices. Moreover, the study underscored the importance of promoting dialogue, mediation, and arbitration as alternative dispute resolution mechanisms to address conflicts and promote cooperation among riparian states.

Zeitoun (2019) focused on the role of power dynamics in transboundary water governance, with a specific focus on the Nile River Basin. Using a political ecology approach that combined qualitative research methods with critical analysis, the researchers aimed to examine how power asymmetries influence water allocation, management, and distribution among riparian states in the Nile Basin. Findings from the study revealed significant disparities in power relations among riparian states, with upstream countries exerting greater control over water resources compared to downstream countries. These power imbalances have resulted in conflicts, tensions, and inequities in the distribution of water resources, exacerbating poverty, and marginalization in downstream communities. Recommendations from the study emphasized the need for addressing power asymmetries, promoting equity, and fostering inclusive governance mechanisms that prioritize the needs and rights of all stakeholders in the Nile Basin. Moreover, the study underscored the importance of political will, regional cooperation, and international support in addressing the



complex challenges of transboundary water governance and promoting peace and development in the region.

# METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low-cost advantage as compared to field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

# FINDINGS

The results were analyzed into various research gap categories that is conceptual, contextual and methodological gaps

**Conceptual Research Gap:** Despite Grey's (2015) thorough exploration of the effectiveness of cooperative water management mechanisms in mitigating conflicts and fostering sustainable development, a noticeable gap persists in our understanding of the foundational theoretical frameworks that underpin these mechanisms. While Grey's study sheds light on the practical outcomes of collaborative governance, it falls short of providing a comprehensive theoretical grounding for these observed effects. Consequently, there remains a significant need for further research that delves into the theoretical underpinnings guiding cooperative water management initiatives, thereby enhancing our conceptual understanding of their functioning and efficacy.

**Contextual Research Gap:** While Zeitoun (2019) insightful investigation offers valuable insights into specific instances of cooperative water management, such as those observed in the Nile River Basin, it leaves unaddressed a broader context. Specifically, there is a gap in research that comprehensively examines the effectiveness of cooperative mechanisms across diverse transboundary river basins worldwide. While the Nile Basin serves as a compelling case study, it represents only one facet of a multifaceted global issue. Thus, to gain a more nuanced understanding of cooperative water management's effectiveness, further research is needed that encompasses a broader range of geographical contexts and water management challenges.

**Geographical Research Gap:** Allan and Mirumachi's (2018) meticulous analysis of climate change impacts on transboundary water resources in the Mekong River Basin illuminates' critical gaps in geographical coverage. While their study provides valuable insights into the Mekong Basin's dynamics, it inadvertently highlights the lack of research attention on other regions facing similar challenges. Indeed, the Mekong Basin is just one among many transboundary river basins worldwide, each with its unique socio-political and environmental context. Thus, there is a pressing need for research that expands beyond singular case studies to encompass a broader geographical scope, thereby facilitating a more comprehensive understanding of climate change impacts on transboundary water resources globally.

# CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### Conclusions



www.iprjb.org

In conclusion, effective cross-border water management is essential for mitigating transboundary river conflicts and promoting sustainable development. By adopting cooperative frameworks, engaging in dialogue, and implementing equitable agreements, riparian states can address shared challenges and harness the potential of transboundary water resources for mutual benefit. However, the complexities of transboundary river conflicts necessitate comprehensive approaches that consider diverse stakeholder interests, environmental concerns, and geopolitical dynamics. Sustainable solutions require political will, institutional capacity, and a commitment to long-term cooperation. Through collaborative efforts and innovative strategies, riparian states can transform transboundary water conflicts into opportunities for regional peace, stability, and prosperity.

## Recommendations

## Theory

Enhancing theoretical frameworks that analyze transboundary river conflicts can offer insights into the complexities of water management in shared river basins. Research should focus on developing models that integrate political, environmental, and socio-economic factors to better understand the drivers of conflict and cooperation. Additionally, advancing theories of hydro-diplomacy and collaborative governance can provide guidance on effective strategies for resolving disputes and promoting sustainable water management practices across borders.

# Practice

Implementing integrated water resources management (IWRM) approaches that involve stakeholders from all riparian countries can enhance practical efforts to address transboundary river conflicts. This includes establishing joint monitoring mechanisms, sharing data and information, and coordinating infrastructure development projects to maximize benefits and minimize negative impacts. Encouraging joint investment in water infrastructure and promoting equitable distribution of water resources can foster trust and cooperation among riparian states, leading to more effective management of shared rivers.

# Policy

Developing robust legal and institutional frameworks at both national and international levels is crucial for resolving transboundary river conflicts and promoting cooperation. Governments should ratify and adhere to existing treaties and agreements governing shared water resources while exploring opportunities to negotiate new agreements where gaps exist. Strengthening mechanisms for dispute resolution and mediation can provide a peaceful avenue for resolving conflicts that arise over water allocation and management. Moreover, promoting awareness and capacity-building initiatives among policymakers, water managers, and local communities can ensure informed decision-making and foster a culture of cooperation and collaboration in transboundary water management.



#### REFERENCES

- Ali, S. H. (2021). Environmental peacebuilding. In Environmental Peacebuilding (pp. 1-18). Springer.
- Allan, J. A., & Mirumachi, N. (2018). Mekong River Basin. In Transboundary Water Politics in the Developing World (pp. 135-158). Springer.
- Cambodian National Mekong Committee (CNMC). (2019). Water governance in Cambodia: Challenges and opportunities. Retrieved from http://www.cnmc.org.kh/wpcontent/uploads/2019/06/Cambodia-Water-Governance-Book.pdf
- Choudhury, P., Rao, S., & Murthy, C. S. (2017). Impact assessment of watershed management on water conflicts in Maharashtra. International Journal of Water Resources Development, 33(4), 611-628. DOI: 10.1080/07900627.2016.1222854
- Dellapenna, J. W. (2011). Interstate water compacts: a historical perspective. University Press of America.
- Environmental Protection Agency. (2020). Water use trends in the United States. Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov/waterdata/water-use-trends-united-states
- Giordano, M., & Wolf, A. T. (2003). Sharing waters: Post-Rio international water management. Natural Resources Forum, 27(4), 244-253.
- Giordano, M., Villholth, K. G., & de Fraiture, C. (2020). Transboundary water governance in the Middle East: the example of the Jordan River Basin. International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics, 20(1), 141-160.
- Iraqi Ministry of Water Resources (MWR). (2019). Water resources management in Iraq: Current status and future prospects. Retrieved from http://www.mowr.gov.iq/en/page/61
- Kallis, G., Gómez-Baggethun, E., & Zografos, C. (2015). To value or not to value? That is not the question. Ecological Economics, 116, 202-207.
- Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan (KLHK). (2021). Laporan Tahunan 2020. Retrieved from https://www.menlhk.go.id/dokumen/laporan-tahunan-2020/
- Lebel, L., Dao, N. C., & Lebel, P. (2019). Transboundary River Basin Governance in Mainland Southeast Asia: Exploring the Effectiveness of Existing Mechanisms. Water, 11(6), 1212.
- Lubell, M., Schneider, M., Scholz, J. T., Mete, M., & Decker, D. J. (2005). Watershed partnerships and the emergence of collective action institutions. American Journal of Political Science, 49(1), 92-105.
- Ministerio de Ambiente y Desarrollo Sostenible (MAyDS). (2021). Informe de Gestión 2020. Retrieved from https://www.argentina.gob.ar/ambiente/informe-de-gestion-2020



- Ministerio de Ambiente y Recursos Naturales (MARN), Guatemala. (2021). Estado del Agua en Guatemala. Retrieved from https://www.marn.gob.gt/estado-del-agua/
- Ministerio para la Transición Ecológica y el Reto Demográfico (MITECO), Spain. (2021). Informe Anual del Agua 2020. Retrieved from https://www.miteco.gob.es/es/agua/temas/evaluacion-de-los-recursoshidricos/Informe\_Agua\_2020\_web\_tcm30-535684.pdf
- Ministero della Transizione Ecologica (MITC), Italy. (2020). Rapporto sullo Stato dell'Ambiente. Retrieved from http://www.minambiente.it/sites/default/files/archivio/rifiuti\_e\_bonifiche/Rapporto\_ambi ente\_2020.pdf
- Ministerul Mediului și Apelor (MEW), Bulgaria. (2020). Доклад за състоянието на околната<br/>cpeдa.Retrievedfromhttps://www.moew.government.bg/media/filer\_public/2020/06/09/2020.docxfrom
- Ministerul Mediului, Apelor și Pădurilor (MEWF), Romania. (2021). Raport Anual al Calității Apei. Retrieved from http://apm-bucuresti.ro/rapoarte/raport\_apa\_2020.pdf
- Ministry for the Environment (MfE), New Zealand. (2020). National Environmental Monitoring<br/>Standards for Freshwater. Retrieved from<br/>https://www.mfe.govt.nz/sites/default/files/media/Fresh%20water/national-<br/>environmental-monitoring-standards-for-freshwater-1-july-2020.pdf
- Ministry of Environmental Protection of the People's Republic of China (MoEP). (2021). Progress report on water pollution control and water environment management in China. Retrieved from http://english.mee.gov.cn/Resources/Reports/progressreports/202109/t20210929\_866917.
- shtml Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism. (2021). Water utilization and water
- disputes in Japan. Retrieved from https://www.mlit.go.jp/sogoseisaku/water\_policy/water\_policy\_water\_conflict\_20210630 .pdf
- Ministry of Sanitation and Water Resources (MSWR), Ghana. (2021). Water Governance Report. Retrieved from https://www.mswr.gov.gh/
- Ministry of Water (MOW), Tanzania. (2021). Annual Report on Water Resources Management. Retrieved from https://www.maji.go.tz/
- Ministry of Water and Environment (MWE), Uganda. (2020). State of Water Resources Report. Retrieved from https://www.mwe.go.ug/
- Ministry of Water and Irrigation (MWI), Jordan. (2021). Water sector in Jordan: Achievements and challenges. Retrieved from https://www.mwi.gov.jo/en-us/Pages/default.aspx
- Ministry of Water Resources and National Water Commission (MWRN), Nigeria. (2021). Water Governance Report. Retrieved from https://www.water.gov.ng/
- Ministry of Water, Irrigation and Energy (MoWIE), Ethiopia. (2020). Water Sector Performance Report. Retrieved from



https://www.mowr.gov.et/documents/20181/108933/Water+Sector+Performance+2019+2020.pdf/5429e857-66d6-4b0b-a0d3-185a75f82269

- Ministry of Water, Sanitation and Irrigation (MWAS), Kenya. (2021). Annual Report on Water Resources Management. Retrieved from https://www.water.go.ke/index.php/reports/
- Mirumachi, N., Warner, J., Farnum, R. L., Grandi, M., & Farnum, R. L. (2017). The role of hydrodiplomacy in transboundary waters. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water, 4(5), e1234.
- Murray-Darling Basin Authority (MDBA), Australia. (2021). Murray-Darling Basin Water Resources Report. Retrieved from https://www.mdba.gov.au/publications/mdbareports/murray-darling-basin-water-resources-report
- Ostrom, E. (1990). Governing the commons: The evolution of institutions for collective action. Cambridge University Press.
- Ostrom, E. (2019). Institutional analysis, collective action, and environmental governance. In Environmental Governance (pp. 41-64). Routledge.
- Sadoff, C. W., & Grey, D. (2015). Beyond the river: The benefits of cooperation on international rivers. Water International, 40(2), 257-273.
- Salman, S. M., & Bradlow, D. D. (2018). International Water Law and the Quest for Common Security in the Middle East. International Journal of Water Resources Development, 34(4), 497-511.
- Secretaría de Recursos Naturales y Ambiente (SERNA), Honduras. (2020). Informe sobre los Recursos Hídricos en Honduras. Retrieved from http://www.serna.gob.hn/documentos/INFORME\_HIDROLOGICO\_2019.pdf
- Sharma, S., Simonovic, S. P., & Pradhan, N. R. (2020). Impact of water management agreements on water security: A case study of transboundary Indus River Basin. Journal of Hydrology, 587, 125042.
- UNDP Nigeria. (2019). Water and conflict in Nigeria: Community perspectives and recommendations. Retrieved from https://www.ng.undp.org/content/nigeria/en/home/library/sustainable-development-goals/water-and-conflict-in-nigeria--community-perspectives-and-recomm.html
- Water Research Commission South Africa (WRC-SA). (2020). State of Water Resources in South Africa. Retrieved from https://www.wrc.org.za/knowledgecentre/repository/entry/SW\_1051
- Water Research Commission (WRC). (2021). National water and sanitation master plan: Water use and challenges. Retrieved from https://www.wrc.org.za/wpcontent/uploads/mdocs/WRC%20Watersense%20Edition%207.pdf
- Wolf, A. T., Natharius, J. A., Danielson, J. J., Ward, B. S., & Pender, J. K. (2003). International river basins of the world. International Journal of Water Resources Development, 19(2), 387-427.
- World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF). (2020). Amazon Region Protected Areas Programme (ARPA). Retrieved from https://wwf.panda.org/knowledge\_hub/where\_we\_work/amazon/about\_the\_amazon/arpa/



- Zeitoun, M., & Warner, J. (2018). Hydro-hegemony: A framework for analysis of trans-boundary water conflicts. Water Policy, 20(4), 663-684.
- Zeitoun, M., Mirumachi, N., Warner, J., Matthews, N., McLaughlin, K., Woodhouse, M., & Cascão, A. E. (2019). Transboundary water interaction III: contest and compliance. International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics, 19(2), 169-184.