# Journal of **Health, Medicine and Nursing**

## (JHMN)

#### SERUM AMH, INHIBIN B, FSH AND ESTRADIOL PROFILE IN WOMEN SEEKING CONCEPTION THROUGH *IN VITRO* FERTILIZATION IN NIGERIA

Nosakhare O. Osakue, Chinedum C. Onyenekwe, Joseph E. Ahaneku 'Joseph I. Ikechebelu, Gabriel O. Igberase and Louis O. Alekwe



#### SERUM AMH, INHIBIN B, FSH AND ESTRADIOL PROFILE IN WOMEN SEEKING CONCEPTION THROUGH *IN VITRO* FERTILIZATION IN NIGERIA

<sup>1\*</sup>Nosakhare O. Osakue <sup>1</sup>Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nnewi Campus, Anambra State, Nigeria \*Corresponding Author's E-mail: no.osakue@unizik.edu.ng

<sup>2</sup>Chinedum C. Onyenekwe Department of Medical Laboratory Science, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nnewi Campus, Anambra State, Nigeria.

<sup>3</sup>Joseph E. Ahaneku Department of Medical Laboratory Science, Nnamdi Azikiwe University

<sup>4</sup>Joseph I. Ikechebelu Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Nnamdi Azikiwe University Teaching Hospital

> <sup>5</sup>Gabriel O. Igberase Shepherd Fertility Hospital, Warri, Delta State, Nigeria.

<sup>6</sup>Louis O. Alekwe Lily Hospitals LTD, Warri, Delta State, Nigeria.

#### Abstract

**Purpose:** This study was designed to evaluate the predictive ability of AMH, inhibin B, Follicle stimulating hormone and estradiol as biomarkers of ovarian reserve in assisted reproductive technology.

**Methodology:** Thirty (30) female partners of infertile couples seeking assisted reproductive technology through in vitro fertilization (IVF) and twenty seven (27) fertile women who are oocyte donors were recruited from Life Life Hospital, Lily Hospitals and Shepherd Fertility Hospital in Southern Nigeria from April to November 2017 and evaluated for AMH, inhibin B, estradiol and FSH using Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent assay technique. Subjects were divided into four groups (A,B,C,D) on the basis of age. A: Oocyte donors < 23 years; B: Oocyte donors  $\geq$  23years; C: Female partners of infertile couples <35years; D: Female partners of infertile couples  $\geq$ 35years. Blood sample was collected from each participant on the day 3 of their menstrual cycle.

**Findings**: Mean AMH serum levels for female partners of infertile couples and oocyte donor were  $4.26\pm1.7$ ng/ml and  $5.13\pm1.12$ ng/ml respectively (p=0.04); mean FSH serum levels for female partners of infertile couples and oocyte donor were  $8.64\pm3.40$ mIU/ml and  $6.37\pm1.48$ mIU/ml, respectively (p=0.02); mean inhibin B serum levels for female partners of infertile couples and oocyte donors were  $60.57\pm13.99$  pg/ml and  $77.52\pm12.33$ pg/ml respectively (p=0.00); mean estradiol serum levels for female partners of infertile couples and oocyte donors were  $69.38\pm18.83$ pg/ml and  $44.06\pm8.70$ pg/ml respectively (p=0.00).

**Unique contribution to theory, practice and policy:** AMH has a stronger predictive power for ovarian reserve function than FSH only in women who are 35 years and above.

**Keywords:** Ovarian Reserve, Anti-Mullerian Hormone, Follicle Stimulating Hormone, Inhibin B, Estradiol.



#### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

Ovarian reserve is directly connected with reproductive capacity and it is largely determined by female age. Assisted reproduction has focused more on ovarian reserve function with the aim of improving minimal risk of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome.<sup>[1]</sup> A range of prognostic biochemical markers of ovarian reserve include follicle stimulating hormone (FSH), estradiol (E<sub>2</sub>), inhibin B and Anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH).<sup>[2,3]</sup> Follicular growth is modulated by AMH, which inhibits recruitment of follicles from primordial pool by modifying the FSH sensitivity of the follicles.<sup>[4,5]</sup> AMH reflects the non-FSH dependent follicular growth.<sup>[6,7]</sup> AMH have been shown to be a highly reliable marker for measuring ovarian reserve because they are not affected by gonadotropin, and exhibit minimal variability within or among menstrual cycles.<sup>[8,9,10]</sup> However, Inhibin B, on the other hand, is released by the granulosa cells of the follicle<sup>3</sup> and is involved in the regulatory functions in developing follicle.<sup>[11]</sup>

#### 2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Subjects

This study was carried out among thirty (30) female partners of infertile couples and twenty seven (27) women who are egg oocyte donors serving as controls in two selected clinics; Lily Hospitals, Warri and Shepherd Specialist Hospital, Warri, Southern Nigeria. Subjects were divided into four groups on the basis of age. Group A: Oocyte donors below 23 years of age (between the ages of 18 and 22); Group B : Oocyte donors 23years of age and above (between the ages of 23 and 25); Group C: Female partners of infertile couples below 35years of age (between the ages of 29 and 34); Group D: Female partners of infertile couples 35years of age and above (between the ages of 35 and 44). Only female partners of infertile couples (most of who have presented with 1° infertility) with regular menstrual cycle and about to commence IVF treatment were recruited as test subject and single ladies who are oocyte donors were recruited as controls for this study.

#### **2.2 Collection of Blood Samples**

5ml of whole blood was collected into plane tubes on day 3 of the menstrual cycle of all the participants. Sample was allowed to clot and retract and then spun at 4000rpm for 4mins and serum harvested and stored at -20°C until the day of analysis. Biomarkers of ovarian reserve determined in this study include Anti-Mullerian Hormone (AMH), Inhibin B, Follicle Stimulating Hormone (FSH) and Estradiol.

#### 2.3 Exclusion Criteria

Exclusion was based on infertile women who met the criteria of recruitment but had additional health challenges such as hypertension, diabetic, metabolic syndromes and other chronic infections as retrieved from their case file. Women who are surrogates (carrying pregnancy for other women without using their own oocytes) were also excluded from this study.

#### 2.4 Ethical Approval

Ethical approval was sought from the Central Ethics Committee of the Nnamdi Azikiwe University. Reference number: NAU/CEC/STU/EXT/003

#### 2.5 Research Grant

Grant for this research was received from Nigeria's TETFund institution based research fund; reference number: TETFUND/DESS/NAU/AWKA/RP/Vol VIII.



#### 2.6 Stimulation Protocol

Ovarian stimulation protocol used was either the long GnRH agonist protocol <sup>[12]</sup> the short GnRH antagonist protocol, <sup>[13]</sup> or the minimal stimulation protocols <sup>[14]</sup> as described by researchers in reproductive medicine.

#### 2.7 Oocyte Retrieval

Oocyte retrieval was done by the reproductive physician, using ultrasound-controlled fine-needle aspiration<sup>[15]</sup>.

#### 2.8 Methods of Analysis

Follicle Stimulating Hormone was assayed by quantitative sandwich immunoassay using ELISA kits manufactured by CALIBIOTECH Inc., 1935 Corell Ct., El Cajon, CA 92020.<sup>[16]</sup> Estradiol was assayed by competitive binding immunoassay using ELISA kits manufactured by CALIBIOTECH Inc., 1935 Corell Ct., El Cajon, CA 92020.<sup>[17]</sup> Inhibin B was assayed by competitive binding immunoassay using ELISA kits manufactured by RayBiotech Inc., 3607 Parkway Lane, Suite 100, Nircross, GA 30092.<sup>[18]</sup> Anti-Mullerian Hormone was assayed by Sandwich-ELISA using kits manufactured by Elabscience, 14780 Memorial Drive, Suite 216, Houston, Texas 77079.<sup>[19]</sup> All assays were done at Lahor Research and Diagnostic Laboratory, Benin City. The laboratory is accredited by the Medical Laboratory Science Council of Nigeria (MLSCN) as well as Ministry of Health for both routine and research assays.

#### 2.9 Statistical Analysis

The test statistics used include the independent Student's t-test to compare mean value, ANOVA with LSD post hoc to compare means between groups and Pearson's Correlation Analysis for the determination of association between variables.

#### 3.0 FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS

Results from 30 female partners of infertile couples seeking IVF treatment and 27 oocyte donors were analyzed. The mean age for female partners of infertile couples and oocyte donors were  $37 \pm 5$  and  $22 \pm 2$  years respectively; the mean AMH serum levels for female partners of infertile couples and *oocyte donor* were  $4.26 \pm 1.7$  ng/ml and  $5.13 \pm 1.12$  ng/ml respectively (p = 0.04); the mean FSH serum levels for female partners of infertile couples and oocyte *donor* were  $8.64 \pm 3.40$  mIU/ml and  $6.37 \pm 1.48$  mIU/ml, respectively (p = 0.02); the mean inhibin B serum levels for female partners of infertile couples and oocyte *donor* were  $8.64 \pm 3.40$  mIU/ml and  $6.37 \pm 1.48$  mIU/ml, respectively (p = 0.02); the mean inhibin B serum levels for female partners of infertile couples and oocyte *donor* were  $60.57 \pm 13.99$  pg/ml and  $77.52 \pm 12.33$  pg/ml respectively (p = 0.00); the mean estradiol serum levels for female partners of infertile couples and oocyte donors were  $69.38 \pm 18.83$  pg/ml and  $44.06 \pm 8.70$  pg/ml respectively (p = 0.00) [See table I].



### TABLE I: Mean ± SD blood levels of FSH, AMH, Inhibin B and Estradiol in female partners of infertile couples seeking IVF treatment (test) and oocyte donors

	Oocyte donor	Test	t-value	p-value
	n = 27	n = 30		
FSH (mIU/ml)	$6.37 \pm 1.48$	$8.64\pm3.4$	- 3.210	0.02*
AMH (ng/ml)	$5.13 \pm 1.12$	$4.26 \pm 1.17$	- 2.984	0.04*
INHIBIN B (pg/ml)	$77.52 \pm 12.33$	$60.57 \pm 13.99$	4.826	0.00*
ESTRADIOL (pg/ml)	$44.06\pm8.7$	$69.38 \pm 18.83$	- 6.399	0.00*

Key

\* Significant.

IVF = *in vitro* fertilization

AMH = Anti-Mullerian Hormone

FSH = Follicle Stimulating Hormone

On ANOVA, there was significant difference between the mean value of FSH, AMH, inhibin B and estradiol among oocyte donors and female partners of infertile couples (p=0.001, p=0.005, p=0.000 and p=0.000 respectively). On post HOC analysis of FSH, there was significant difference between the mean values of FSH, AMH, Inhibin B and Estradiol of oocyte donors under 23years and female partners of infertile couples who are 35years and above (p=0.000, p=0.002, p=0.000, p=0.000 respectively). There was also significant difference between the mean values of FSH, AMH, Inhibin B and Estradiol of oocyte donors who are 23years and above and female partners of infertile couples who are 35years and above (p=0.004, p=0.000, p=0.000 respectively). Also, there was significant difference between the mean values of FSH, AMH and Inhibin B of female partners of infertile couples who are below 35 years and those who are 35years and above (p=0.015, p=0.028, p=0.018 respectively) [see table II].

TABLE II: Mean ±SD blood levels of FSH, AMH, Inhibin B and Estradiol in female partners
of infertile couples seeking IVF treatment (test) and oocyte donors with regard to age groups.

<b>_</b>	0	(	/	0	001
Groups	n	FSH	AMH	INHIBIN B	ESTRADIOL
		mIU/ml	ng/ml	pg/ml	pg/ml
Grp 1 (Donor)	19	$6.32 \pm 1.62$	$5.05 \pm 1.1$	$74.70 \pm 12.21$	$43.09 \pm 7.72$
Grp 2 (Donor)	8	$6.45 \pm 1.16$	$5.30\pm0.80$	$84.18 \pm 10.46$	$46.35 \pm 10.92$
Grp 3 (Test)	11	$7.24\pm3.06$	$4.86 \pm 1.03$	$68.20\pm14.49$	$66.88 \pm 24.10$
Grp 4 (Test)	19	$9.62\pm3.18$	$3.95 \pm 1.15$	$56.84 \pm 11.70$	$70.23 \pm 15.15$
F value		6.37	4.72	11.63	13.40
p value		0.001*	0.005*	0.000*	0.000*
1 vs 2		0.919	0.586	0.073	0.607
1 vs 3		0.350	0.644	0.160	0.000*
1 vs 4		0.000*	0.002*	0.000*	0.000*
2 vs 3		0.502	0.385	0.007*	0.005*
2 vs 4		0.004*	0.004*	0.000*	0.000*
3 vs 4		0.015*	0.028*	0.018*	0.557

<u>Key</u>

\*Significant

Grp 1 – Oocyte donors between 18 and 22 years

Grp 2 – Oocyte donors between 23 and 25 years

Grp 3 - Female partners of infertile couples seeking IVF treatment between 29 and 34 years



Grp 4 - Female partners of infertile couples seeking IVF treatment between 35 and 44 years IVF = *in vitro* fertilization AMH = Anti-Mullerian Hormone FSH = Follicle Stimulating Hormone

On chi square analysis of FSH and AMH values using a 2 by 2 contingency table, there was significant difference among female partners of infertile couples who are 35 years and above (p=0.014) [see table III]. Also, on chi square analysis of FSH and inhibin B values using a 2 by 2 contingency table, there was significant difference among female partners of infertile couples who are 35 years and above (p=0.004) [see table IV].

Table III: Comparability of FSH and AMH values in assessing ovarian reserve functions using their reference intervals for Female Partners of Infertile Couples who are between 35 and 44 years.

			A N // I I		
			AMH		
		Below RI	Within RI	Above RI	
	Below RI	0	11	0	
FSH	Within RI	0	5	0	
	Above RI	3	0	0	
TOTAL		3	16	0	19
	$X^2 = 5.98$	39, p = 0.014			

<u>Key</u>

RI = Reference Interval

FSH = 2.0 - 10.0 mIU/ml (Reference interval)

AMH = 2.5 - 6.9 mg/ml (Reference interval)

Table IV: Comparability of FSH and inhibin B values in assessing ovarian reserve functions using their reference intervals for female partners of infertile couples between 35 and 44 years.

			Inhibin B		
FSH		Below RI	Within RI	Above RI	
	Below RI	0	0	0	
	Within RI	0	11	0	
	Above RI	4	4	0	
TOTAL		4	15	0	19

 $X^2 = 8.466, p = 0.004$ 

Key RI = Reference Interval FSH = 2.0 - 10.0 mIU/ml (Reference interval) Inhibin B = 45 - 139pg/ml (Inhibin reference interval)

There was no significant correlation between FSH and AMH values among oocyte donors, but there was significant negative correlation between values of FSH and AMH among female partners of infertile couples when analyzed together (p=0.000) as well analyzed as when analyzed separately as subgroups (p=0.018 for these who are 35 and above; p=0.030 for those who are below 35 years) [see table V]. Among all recruited participants, there was significant correlation between Age and blood levels of FSH, AMH, inhibin B, estradiol (p=0.000, p=0.000, p=0.000, p=0.000, p=0.000, respectively) [see table VI]. Also among all recruited participants, there was significant correlation



between number of oocytes retrieved and blood levels of FSH, AMH, inhibin B, estradiol (p= 0.004, p=0.000, p=0.000, p=0.000 respectively) [see table VII].

Table V: Correlation of	blood levels of FSH, A	AMH, Inhibin B a	and Estradiol among all	female
partners of infertile coup	oles seeking IVF treat	ment of infertility	y –	

partitiers of miler the cou	pics seeking IVI	treatment of micrunty		
	n	R	p-value	
FSH vs AMH	30	-0.619	0.000*	
FSH vs Inhibin B	30	-0.240	0.205	
FSH vs Estradiol	30	-0.044	0.816	
AMH vs Inhibin B	30	0.299	0.109	
AMH vs Estradiol	30	-0.209	0.268	
Inhibin B vs Estradiol	30	-0.369	0.045*	
		Group D		
FSH vs AMH	19	-0.537	0.018*	
FSH vs Inhibin B	19	-0.046	0.853	
FSH vs Estradiol	19	0.036	0.884	
AMH vs Inhibin B	19	0.090	0.714	
AMH vs Estradiol	19	-0.180	0.461	
Inhibin B vs Estradiol	19	0.330	0.168	
		Group C		
FSH vs AMH	11	-0.651	0.030*	
FSH vs Inhibin B	11	-0.382	0.246	
FSH vs Estradiol	11	-0.138	0.686	
AMH vs Inhibin B	11	0.319	0.339	
AMH vs Estradiol	11	-0.209	0.537	
Inhibin B vs Estradiol	11	0.651	0.030*	
* is significant				

Key

FSH = Follicle stimulating hormone

AMH = Anti-Mullerian hormone

IVF = *in vitro* fertilization



Table VI: Correlation of blood levels of FSH, AMH, Inhibin B, Estradiol and Age				
	n	R	p-value	
AGE vs FSH	57	0.560	0.000*	
AGE vs AMH	57	-0.445	0.001*	
AGE vs Inhibin B	57	-0.609	0.000*	
AGE vs Estradiol	57	0.592	0.000*	
		Group A		
AGE vs FSH	19	0.224	0.357	
AGE vs AMH	19	0.132	0.591	
AGE vs Inhibin B	19	0.174	0.476	
AGE vs Estradiol	19	-0.137	0.576	
		Group B		
AGE vs FSH	8	-0.105	0.804	
AGE vs AMH	8	-0.092	0.829	
AGE vs Inhibin B	8	0.010	0.981	
AGE vs Estradiol	8	-0.003	0.994	
		Group C		
AGE vs FSH	11	0.250	0.459	
AGE vs AMH	11	-0.067	0.846	
AGE vs Inhibin B	11	-0.553	0.078	
AGE vs Estradiol	11	-0.490	0.126	
Group D				
AGE vs FSH	19	0.513	0.025*	
AGE vs AMH	19	-0.348	0.145	
AGE vs Inhibin B	19	-0.462	0.046*	
AGE vs Estradiol	19	0.061	0.804	

\* is significant

Key

FSH = Follicle stimulating hormone

AMH = Anti-Mullerian hormone

IVF = *in vitro* fertilization



Table VII: Correlation blood levels of FSH, AMH, Inhibin B, Estradiol and Oocyte retrieved					
among all participants					
	n	R	p-value		
OOCYTES vs FSH	57	-0.370	0.004*		
OOCYTES vs AMH	57	0.581	0.000*		
OOCYTES vs Inhibin B	57	0.587	0.000*		
<b>OOCYTES</b> vs Estradiol	57	-0.531	0.000*		
		Group A			
OOCYTES vs FSH	19	0.284	0.239		
OOCYTES vs AMH	19	0.483	0.035*		
OOCYTES vs Inhibin B	19	0.567	0.011*		
<b>OOCYTES</b> vs Estradiol	19	380	0.109		
		Group B			
OOCYTES vs FSH	8	0.011	0.979		
OOCYTES vs AMH	8	0.529	0.177		
OOCYTES vs Inhibin B	8	-0.508	0.199		
<b>OOCYTES</b> vs Estradiol	8	585	0.128		
		Group C			
OOCYTES vs FSH	11	-0.803	0.003*		
OOCYTES vs AMH	11	0.662	0.026*		
OOCYTES vs Inhibin B	11	0.627	0.039*		
<b>OOCYTES</b> vs Estradiol	11	0.336	0.313		
Group D					
OOCYTES vs FSH	19	-0.338	0.100		
OOCYTES vs AMH	19	0.643	0.003*		
OOCYTES vs Inhibin B	19	0.187	0.444		
<b>OOCYTES</b> vs Estradiol	19	0.156	0.523		

\* is significant

FSH = Follicle stimulating hormone

AMH = Anti-Mullerian hormone

IVF = *in vitro* fertilization

#### 4.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

#### 4.1 Discussions

This study used serum follicle stimulating hormone (FSH), Anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH), Inhibin B and Estradiol to evaluate the ovarian reserve function of female partners of infertile couples seeking IVF treatment. The expected value for follicular phase FSH is 2.0 - 10.0mIU/ml, the expected value for AMH is 2.5 - 6.9mg/ml; the expected value for estradiol in follicular phase is 30 - 100pg/ml, the expected value for inhibin B is 45 - 139pg/ml

This study revealed that FSH was higher and AMH lower in female partners of infertile couples seeking IVF treatment (older women) when compared with oocyte donors (younger women). This implies that FSH level becomes higher in the early follicular phase as a woman ages with concomitant reduction in circulating AMH levels as a woman ages.

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>Key</u>



This study has also demonstrated significantly lower number of retrieved oocytes in women with high levels of FSH and significantly higher number of oocytes retrieved in women with high serum levels of AMH among oocyte donors and female partners of infertile couples seeking IVF treatment. This finding is in line with earlier reports of researchers that FSH levels in women increases with age, resulting in a decline in fertility potential. <sup>[20,21]</sup> This indicates that high day 3 serum FSH levels as well as low circulating levels of AMH can be good indicators of poor ovarian reserve. This agrees with the outcome a study carried out by Ashrafi et al <sup>[22]</sup> who observed that women with high day 3 FSH levels had fewer aspirated oocytes and larger number of cancelled IVF cycles than women with lower FSH levels. AMH is secreted without dependence on other circulating hormones; hence, AMH is expressed at a constant level without alteration during the phases of the menstrual cycle. This makes AMH a very direct measure of ovarian reserve. Studies have also demonstrated that serum AMH with normal levels (ranging from 2.5ng/ml to 6.9ng/ml) is highly predictive of good ovarian reserve, hence, the conclusion that AMH is a good biochemical marker for the assessment of ovarian reserve function. <sup>[23,24,25]</sup> This study has also revealed that there is no difference between FSH and AMH with regards to the power of prediction of ovarian reserve function among younger women implying that FSH and AMH are both good predictors of ovarian reserve function in women who are less than 35 years old. On However, the study revealed that AMH gives a stronger prediction of ovarian reserve function over FSH in women who are 35 years and above affording the physician the opportunity to determine the stimulation protocol to use in the bid to getting good result of ovarian stimulation as well as positive pregnancy outcome for any form of assisted reproductive technologies.

This study revealed that the number of oocytes retrieved was high with high levels of serum inhibin B and vice versa as observed both in oocyte donors and in female partners of infertile couples. Inhibin B levels was also shown to decline with increase in age in women. It can be deduced from these findings that inhibin B can be a marker of good ovarian reserve because, similar to AMH, inhibin B is a granulosa cell specific hormone secreted by developing follicles; hence, high levels of circulating inhibin B can be an indicator of folliculogenesis. This finding is corroborated by previous studies that concluded that circulating levels of inhibin B are reduced when the pool of recruitable follicles become reduced with age, stating that circulating inhibin levels positively correlates with good ovarian reserve and vice versa.<sup>[26,27]</sup> However, Corson et al<sup>[27]</sup> observed and concluded from their research that inhibin B has no clinical value in the assessment of ovarian reserve. On chi square analysis of FSH and inhibin B among female partners of infertile couples using a 2 by 2 contingency table, inhibin B was shown to be a better predictor of ovarian reserve function than FSH. However, some researchers have concluded that inhibin B should not be considered as a marker for ovarian reserve due to its intracycle and intercycle variation.<sup>[29,30]</sup>

This study shows fewer numbers of retrieved oocytes with high level of day-3 serum estradiol as well as decline in estradiol in older women. Estradiol also shows negative correlation with circulating AMH levels. An early follicular phase estradiol level above normal early in the menstrual cycle suggests that follicular development is in an advanced stage that is inappropriate for day 3.<sup>[31]</sup> Evidence suggests that elevated early follicular estradiol levels are associated with poorer prognosis.<sup>[32]</sup> However, estradiol levels can be increased for two very different reasons: due to the occurrence of rapid folliculogenesis as in women with polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS), where estradiol is being secreted by a large number of antral follicles.<sup>[33]</sup> Estradiol has earlier been classified as an indirect marker of ovarian reserve. However, other studies have failed to prove its importance in predicting ovarian reserve as similar day 3 estradiol levels have been demonstrated in serum of both good and poor responders to ovarian stimulation in IVF cycles.<sup>[34]</sup>



#### 4.2 Conclusions

The study concludes that AMH is only a stronger predictor for ovarian reserve function in women who are 35 years and above. Hence, the power of prediction of ovarian reserve function by FSH in women younger than 35 years is not different from that of AMH. Also, inhibin B increases alongside increase in AMH in younger women, but not in older women. The study also shows that there is no difference between estradiol in younger and older women seeking IVF treatment. The female partners of infertile couples responded well to stimulation as evidenced by the oocyte production, although the response drops with age. This is an indication that the female partners recruited in this study may not be the primary cause of infertility of the couples.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdelazim, I.B., Belal, M.M., Makhlouf, H.H.(2002) Anti-Mullerian hormone and antral follicle count as predictors of ovarian reserve and successful IVF. *Asian Pacific Journal of Reproduction*, 1(2), 89-92.
- Al-Inany, H.G., Youssef, M.A., Aboulghar, M., Broekmans, F., Sterrenburg, M., Smit, J., Abou-Setta, A.M. (2011). GnRH antagonists are safer than agonists: an update of a Cochrane review. *Human Reproduction Update*, 17(4), 435.
- Ashrafi, M., Madani, T., Tehranian, A.S., Malekzadeh, F. (2005). Follicle stimulating hormone as a predictor of ovarian response in women undergoing controlled ovarian hyperstimulation for IVF. *International Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics*, 91(1), 53–57.
- Chada M, Prusa R, Bronsky R, Oechova M, Kotaska K, Lisa L. (2003). Inhibin B, follicle stimulating hormone, luteinizing hormone, and estradiol and their relationship to the regulation of follicle development in girls during childhood and puberty. Physiological Research, 52, 341-346.
- Corson, S.L, Gutmann, J., Batzer, F.R., Wallace, H., Klein, N., Soules, M.R. (1999). Inhibin-B as a test of ovarian reserve for infertile women. *Human Reproduction*, 14, 2818–2821.
- Dehghani-Firouzabadi, R., Tayebi, N., Asgharnia, M. (2008). Serum Level of Anti-Mullerian Hormone in Early Follicular Phase as a Predictor of Ovarian Reserve and Pregnancy Outcome in Assisted Reproductive Technology Cycles. Archive of Iranian medicine, 11, 371-376.
- Ebner, T., Sommergruber, M., Moser, M., Shebl, O., Schreier-Lechner, E., Tews, G. (2006). Basal level of Anti-Mullerian hormone is associated with oocyte quality in stimulated cycles. *Human Reproduction*, 21: 2022–2026.
- ELISA Kit user manual for Enzyme linked Immunosorbent assay. 7<sup>th</sup> ed. Elabscience, USA: Catalog No : E-EL-H0313. 2017; pp 1 11.
- ELISA Kit user manual for Enzyme linked Immunosorbent assay. 7<sup>th</sup> ed. Elabscience, USA: Catalog No : E-EL-H0317. 2017; pp 1 12.
- Groome, N.P., Illingsworth, P.F., O'Brien, M., Pai, R., Rodger, F.E., Mather, J.P., McNeilly, A.S. (1994). Detection of dimeric inhibin throughout the human menstrual cycle by two-site enzyme immunoassay. *Clinical Endocrinology (Oxford) Journal*, 40, 717-723.
- Hull, M.G., Fleming, C.F., Hughes, A.O., McDermott, A. (1996). The age-related decline in female fecundity: a quantitative controlled study of implanting capacity and survival of individual embryos after in vitro fertilization. *Fertility and Sterility*, 65, 783–790



ICN Guide to Endocrine Testing. Diagnostic division, ICN Biomedicals, Inc. pp2:15-19.

- Iliodromiti, S., Kelsey, T.W., Wu, O., Anderson, R.A., Nelson, S.M.(2014) The predictive accuracy of anti-Müllerian hormone for live birth after assisted conception: a systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature. Human *Reproduction Update*, 20(4),560–570.
- Islam, Y., Aboulghar, M.M., AlEbrashy, A.E., Abdel-Aziz, O. (2016). The value of different ovarian reserve tests in the prediction of ovarian response in patients with unexplained infertility. *Middle East Fertility Society Journal*, 21, 69–74.
- Itskovitz-Eldor, J., Kol, S., Mannaerts, B. (2000). Use of a single bolus of GnRH agonist triptorelin to trigger ovulation after GnRH antagonist ganirelix treatment in women undergoing ovarian stimulation for assisted reproduction, with special reference to the prevention of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome: preliminary report: short communication. *Human Reproduction*, 15(9),1965-1968.
- Jamil, Z., Fatima, S.S., Cheema, Z., Baig, S., Choudhary, R.A. (2016). Assessment of ovarian reserve: Anti-Mullerian hormone versus follicle stimulating hormone. *Journal of Research* in Medical Sciences, 21,100.
- Jirge, P.R. (2011). Ovarian reserve tests. Journal of Human and Reproductive Sciences, 4,108–113.
- La Marca, A., Stabile, G., Artenisio, A.C., Volpe, A. (2006). Serum antiMullerian hormone throughout the human menstrual cycle. *Human Reproduction*, 21(12), 3103-3107.
- McIlveen, M., Skull, J.D., Ledger, W.L. (2007). Evaluation of the utility of multiple endocrine and ultrasound measures of ovarian reserve in the prediction of cycle cancellation in a high-risk IVF population. *Human Reproduction*, 22(3), 778–785.
- Muttukrishna, S., Suharjono, H., McGarrigle, H., Sathanandan, M. (2004). Inhibin B and Anti-Mullerian hormone: markers of ovarian response in IVF/ICSI patients? *BJOG*, *11*, 1248– 1253.
- Park, H.J., Lee, G.H., Gong du, S., Yoon, T.K., Lee, W.S. (2016). The meaning of anti-Müllerian hormone levels in patients at a high risk of poor ovarian response. *Clinical and Experimental Reproductive Medicine*, 43(3), 139-145.
- Perloe, M., Levy, D.P., Sills, E.S. (2000). Strategies for ascertaining ovarian reserve among women suspected of subfertility. *International Journey of Fertility and Women's Medicine*, 45(3), 215–224.
- Qui, Q., Kuo, A., Todd, H., Dias, J.A., Gould, J.E., Overstreet, J.W., Lasley, B.L. (1998). Enzyme immunoassay method for total urinary follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) beta subunit and its application for measurement of total urinary FSH. *Fertility and Sterility*, 69(2), 278 285.
- Rose, B.I. (2014). Approaches to oocyte retrieval for advanced reproductive technology cycles planning to utilize in vitro maturation: a review of the many choices to be made. *Journal of Assistant Reproduction and Genetics*, 31(11),1409-1419.
- Scheffer, J.A.B., Scheffer, B., Scheffer, R., Florencio, F., Grynberg, M., Lozano, D.M. (2018). Are age and anti-Müllerian hormone good predictors of ovarian reserve and response in women undergoing IVF?. JBRA Assisted Reproduction, 22(3), 215-220.
- Scott, R.T., Hofmann, G.E. (1995). Prognostic assessment of ovarian reserve. *Fertility and Sterility*, 63,1–11.



- Seifer, D.B., Lambert-Messerlian, G., Hogan, J.W., Gardiner, A.C., Blazar, A.S., Berk, C.A. (1997). Day 3 serum inhibin-B is predictive of assisted reproductive technologies outcome. Fertility and Sterility, 67, 110–114.
- Smotrich, D.B., Levy, M.J., Widra, E.A., Hall, J.L., Gindoff, P.R., Stillman, R.J. (1995). Prognostic value of day 3 estradiol on in vitro fertilization outcome. *Fertility and Sterility*, 64 (6): 1136–1140.
- Spitzer, D., Corn, C., Stadler, J., Wirleitner, B., Schuff, M., Vanderzwalmen, P., Grabher, f., Zech, N. H. (2014). Implications of Blood Type for Ovarian Reserve and Infertility - Impact on Oocyte Yield in IVF Patients. *Geburtshilfe und Frauenheilkunde*, 74(10), 928–932.
- Tremellen, K.P., Kolo, M., Gilmore, A., Lekamge, D.N. (2005). Anti-Mullerian hormone as a marker of ovarian reserve. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, 45, 20-24.
- Visser, J. (2006). Role of Anti-Mullerian hormone in follicle recruitment and maturation. *Journal Gynecology Obstetrics and Human Reproduction (Paris)*, 35(5 Pt 2), 2S30-2S34.
- Welt, C.K., McNicholl, D.J., Taylor, A.E., Hall, J.E. (1999). Female reproductive aging is marked by decreased secretion of dimeric inhibin. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 84,105–111.
- Yin, M.N., Chen, S.L. (2008). AMH level in follicular fluid and serum may predict outcome of IVF-ET in PCOS patients. *Fertility and Sterility*, 90, S377.
- Zhang., J, Chang, L., Sone, Y., Silber, S. (2010). Minimal ovarian stimulation (mini-IVF) for IVF utilizing vitrification and cryopreserved embryo transfer. *Reproductive Biomedicine Online*, 21(4), 485-495.