

**Journal of
International Relations
(JIR)**

**Role of Multilateral Institutions in Managing Regional Conflicts and
Crises in Democratic Republic of Congo**

Amina Lumumba



**Role of Multilateral Institutions in Managing
Regional Conflicts and Crises in Democratic
Republic of Congo**



Amina Lumumba

Article History

Received 17th January 2024

Received in Revised Form 25th January 2024

Accepted 1st February 2024

How to Cite

Lumumba, A. (2024). Role of Multilateral Institutions in Managing Regional Conflicts and Crises in Democratic Republic of Congo. *Journal of International Relations*, 4(1), 22 – 32.
<https://doi.org/10.47604/jir.2348>

Abstract

Purpose: The aim of the study was to investigate role of multilateral institutions in managing regional conflicts and crises in Democratic Republic of Congo

Methodology: This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low cost advantage as compared to a field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

Findings: Multilateral institutions play a crucial role in managing regional conflicts and crises by employing a range of diplomatic, humanitarian, peacekeeping, and development interventions. While facing various challenges, their continued engagement and collaboration remain essential for promoting peace, stability, and sustainable development in conflict-affected regions worldwide.

Unique Contribution to Theory, Practice and Policy: Realism theory, constructivism theory & liberal institutionalism theory may be used to anchor future studies on the role of multilateral institutions in managing regional conflicts and crises in Democratic Republic of Congo. Multilateral institutions offer a neutral platform for diplomatic engagement. Multilateral institutions influence policy development by convening member states and experts to craft effective conflict management strategies.

Keywords: *Multilateral Institutions, Managing Regional Conflicts, Crises*

©2024 by the Authors. This Article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)

INTRODUCTION

The management of regional conflicts and crises is a complex and challenging task that requires cooperation and coordination among various actors, such as the United Nations, regional and subregional organizations, and local stakeholders. According to the United Nations Secretary-General, such collaboration "has grown exponentially" since 1945 on a range of issues, including conflict prevention, resolution, and peacebuilding . However, the effectiveness and success of these efforts vary depending on the context, the nature of the conflict, and the capacity and willingness of the actors involved. Managing regional conflicts and crises involves a complex interplay of diplomatic efforts, peacekeeping missions, and conflict resolution strategies. In developed economies such as the United States and the United Kingdom, the effectiveness of peacekeeping missions and conflict resolution has varied over time. For example, the United States' involvement in the Iraq War from 2003 to 2011 resulted in a prolonged conflict with significant consequences. According to Hiltermann (2012), the conflict resolution efforts in Iraq faced challenges, leading to a protracted conflict with a high human and economic cost.

One example of a developed economy that has been involved in managing regional conflicts and crises is the United States. The U.S. has played a leading role in several peacekeeping operations, such as in Bosnia and Herzegovina, Kosovo, Haiti, Liberia, and Somalia. However, the U.S. has also faced criticism for its unilateral interventions in Iraq and Afghanistan, which have been seen as violating international law and undermining regional stability. A study by Diehl and Balas (2014) found that U.S.-led peacekeeping operations tend to have lower levels of effectiveness than those led by other actors, such as the United Nations or regional organizations.

Another example of a developed economy that has contributed to managing regional conflicts and crises is Japan. Japan has been a major donor of humanitarian and development assistance to countries affected by war and violence, such as Afghanistan, Iraq, Sudan, and South Sudan. Japan has also participated in peacekeeping operations under the United Nations, such as in Cambodia, Timor-Leste, South Sudan, and Lebanon. However, Japan's role in regional security has been limited by its pacifist constitution, which prohibits the use of force for settling international disputes. A study by Suzuki (2015) argued that Japan needs to adopt a more proactive approach to regional security cooperation in order to address the emerging challenges posed by China's rise and North Korea's nuclear threat.

Similarly, the United Kingdom's role in the Northern Ireland conflict provides another example. Over several decades, the UK attempted various approaches to resolve the conflict, including peace talks and agreements like the Good Friday Agreement in 1998. A study by McKearney (2017) highlights the success of the Good Friday Agreement in significantly reducing violence and contributing to a more stable Northern Ireland. However, challenges remain, and the full implementation of the agreement remains a work in progress, demonstrating the complexity of managing regional conflicts. Moving on to developing economies, countries like India and Brazil have faced regional conflicts and crises. India has grappled with the ongoing conflict in Kashmir for decades. While there have been periods of relative calm, the conflict continues to simmer. A report by the International Crisis Group (2019) discusses the challenges in achieving a lasting resolution in Kashmir, including political, security, and social factors.

In Brazil, the management of conflicts in the Amazon rainforest and land disputes involving indigenous communities and loggers have been significant challenges. Andersen (2019) examines the effectiveness of Brazil's policies in addressing these conflicts, highlighting the complexities of balancing economic development with environmental conservation and indigenous rights. Turning to Sub-Saharan economies, the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) and South Sudan are prime examples of countries facing ongoing regional conflicts. In the case of the DRC, the conflict in the eastern regions involving armed groups and neighboring countries has persisted for years. A study by Autesserre (2019) discusses the challenges faced in achieving lasting peace in the DRC, including the role of external actors and local dynamics.

South Sudan, the world's youngest nation, experienced a devastating civil war shortly after gaining independence in 2011. Peace agreements have been brokered, but the implementation has been fraught with challenges. Høigilt (2019) explored the complexities of conflict resolution in South Sudan, emphasizing the need for inclusive processes and addressing root causes.

Nigeria has faced a multitude of conflicts, including the long-standing Boko Haram insurgency primarily located in the northeastern region of the country. The Boko Haram conflict has been characterized by acts of terrorism, abductions, and significant displacement of civilians. The study by Higazi and Abdel-Moneim (2018) delves into the intricate factors contributing to the conflict, which include socioeconomic disparities, religious tensions, and governance issues. The article also addresses the challenges in resolving the conflict, including the complexity of negotiations with multiple factions within Boko Haram and the role of external actors. The Boko Haram insurgency remains a critical concern for Nigeria's stability and security.

Mali has grappled with instability and conflicts, notably the insurgency in the northern regions. The conflict in Mali stemmed from a mix of ethnic tensions, political instability, and the presence of extremist groups. The research article by International Alert (2018) provides insights into the efforts to restore peace and stability in Mali. It discusses the role of international actors, including peacekeeping missions and diplomatic initiatives, in addressing the conflict. The article also highlights the importance of local-level engagement and community-driven peacebuilding initiatives in achieving sustainable peace in Mali. The situation in Mali underscores the complex interplay of internal and external factors in conflict management.

Somalia has experienced prolonged conflicts and instability, with various armed groups vying for control and political authority. The research article by Menkhaus (2018) explores the intricate challenges and opportunities in the state-building and peacebuilding process in Somalia. It discusses the unique concept of the "political marketplace" in Somalia, where power is brokered and traded among different actors. The article emphasizes the need to understand the dynamics of this political marketplace to facilitate effective peacebuilding efforts. Somalia's situation underscores the complexities of governance and peacebuilding in conflict-affected states and the importance of context-specific approaches.

Rwanda's post-genocide period is a significant example of conflict resolution and reconciliation efforts. Following the devastating genocide in 1994, Rwanda embarked on a path of healing, justice, and reconciliation. The study by Straus (2015) delves into the moral and practical implications of humanitarian intervention in Rwanda. It addresses the challenges of post-genocide

justice and the complexities of fostering reconciliation among the survivors and perpetrators of the genocide. Rwanda's experience serves as a poignant case study in the aftermath of mass atrocities and the pursuit of lasting peace and stability through transitional justice mechanisms and reconciliation processes.

The Democratic Republic of Congo has been marred by prolonged conflict and instability, particularly in the eastern regions. Multiple armed groups, resource-driven conflicts, and regional power dynamics have contributed to the complexity of the situation. Autesserre (2019) discusses the challenges in achieving lasting peace in the DRC, emphasizing the role of external actors and local dynamics. The study underscores the importance of understanding the multi-layered nature of conflicts in the DRC and the need for comprehensive and context-specific peacebuilding efforts. South Sudan, the world's youngest nation, experienced a devastating civil war shortly after gaining independence in 2011. Peace agreements have been brokered, but the implementation has been fraught with challenges. Høigilt (2019) explores the complexities of conflict resolution in South Sudan, emphasizing the need for inclusive processes and addressing root causes. The study sheds light on the delicate balance between achieving a durable peace and addressing the grievances of different factions in the country.

Sierra Leone underwent a brutal civil war in the 1990s, characterized by widespread violence and atrocities. The conflict was marked by the use of child soldiers and the notorious Revolutionary United Front (RUF). Over time, international intervention and peacebuilding efforts contributed to the resolution of the conflict. A study by Dobbins and Gleich (2018) examines the post-conflict transition and peacebuilding process in Sierra Leone, highlighting the role of transitional justice mechanisms and disarmament initiatives in achieving stability and reconciliation. The border conflict between Ethiopia and Eritrea, which lasted for decades, witnessed a significant breakthrough in 2018 when both countries signed a peace agreement. The peace process garnered international attention and was seen as a model for conflict resolution. The study by Tesfaye (2019) provides insights into the historical context and the factors that led to the peace agreement, emphasizing the role of diplomatic efforts and regional actors in resolving a long-standing dispute.

Multilateral institutions, such as the United Nations (UN), North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), and various regional organizations, play a crucial role in the management of regional conflicts and crises. These institutions serve as mechanisms for collective action and cooperation among nations to address security challenges effectively. For instance, the United Nations, established in 1945, is a prime example of a global multilateral institution with a focus on conflict management. The UN deploys peacekeeping missions to conflict zones, aiming to facilitate conflict resolution and stabilize regions in crisis. Research by Beardsley and McQuinn (2016) demonstrates that UN peacekeeping missions can have a positive impact on reducing conflict recurrence when deployed in contexts where the conflict parties have reached a settlement agreement.

NATO, a military alliance primarily focused on collective defense, has also played a significant role in managing regional conflicts. NATO's intervention in the Balkans during the Kosovo conflict in the late 1990s is an example. NATO's involvement helped resolve the crisis and contributed to regional stability. On a regional level, organizations like the African Union (AU)

have been actively engaged in managing conflicts in Africa. The AU's Peace and Security Council (PSC) has played a vital role in conflict prevention and resolution on the continent. Research by Dersso (2013) highlights the AU's growing capacity to manage regional conflicts through its peacekeeping efforts and diplomatic initiatives. These multilateral institutions demonstrate the diverse strategies and approaches employed in managing regional conflicts and crises, ultimately contributing to global peace and stability.

Problem Statement

The role of multilateral institutions in managing regional conflicts and crises has become increasingly important in the context of major power rivalry and global challenges. Multilateral institutions can provide platforms for dialogue, confidence-building, mediation, and coordination among various actors involved in conflict prevention and resolution. They can also offer technical and financial assistance, humanitarian aid, peacekeeping and peacebuilding operations, and normative frameworks to address the root causes and consequences of violence. However, multilateral institutions also face significant constraints and challenges in fulfilling their role, such as divergent interests and agendas of their members, limited resources and capacities, political interference and manipulation, and lack of legitimacy and accountability in some cases. Therefore, multilateral institutions need to adapt to the changing dynamics of regional conflicts and crises, enhance their cooperation with other actors, and improve their effectiveness and credibility in order to play a constructive role in managing regional conflicts and crises (UN Press 2021; Crisis Group 2021; Sabanci Univ n.d.; ICRC 1999).

Theoretical Framework

Realism Theory

Realism, originally formulated by scholars like Hans Morgenthau and Kenneth Waltz, centers on the idea that states are primarily motivated by self-interest and the pursuit of power in the international system. Realism's relevance to the topic of the role of multilateral institutions in managing regional conflicts and crises lies in its assertion that international organizations are often instruments of powerful states. In this context, multilateral institutions can be seen as tools for major powers to advance their own interests or maintain stability in regions crucial to their security. Realism emphasizes the importance of examining how powerful states use these institutions to achieve their goals and how conflicts are influenced by the distribution of power within the institution (Waltz, 1979).

Constructivism Theory

Constructivism, associated with scholars like Alexander Wendt, focuses on the role of ideas, norms, and identity in shaping international relations. In the context of multilateral institutions and regional conflicts, constructivism emphasizes that the behavior of states and institutions is not solely determined by material interests but is also shaped by shared beliefs and norms. This theory is relevant to the topic by highlighting how multilateral institutions can influence states' perceptions of conflicts, shape their preferences, and encourage cooperative behavior through norm-building and identity formation (Wendt, 1992).

Liberal Institutionalism Theory

Liberal institutionalism, championed by scholars like Robert Keohane and Joseph Nye, emphasizes the role of international institutions in fostering cooperation and resolving conflicts. This theory argues that multilateral institutions can provide forums for states to engage in diplomacy, negotiation, and dispute resolution, thereby reducing the likelihood of regional conflicts. Liberal institutionalism also highlights the potential of these institutions to establish rules, norms, and mechanisms for managing crises and conflicts peacefully, making it a relevant perspective for studying the role of multilateral institutions in conflict management (Keohane & Nye, 2001).

Empirical Review

Smith (2018) analyzed the effectiveness of United Nations (UN) multilateral interventions in resolving conflicts in African regions. The primary purpose was to assess how well the UN's peacekeeping missions and diplomatic efforts had contributed to conflict resolution and regional stability in Africa. Using a qualitative case study approach, the researchers examined several specific cases of UN involvement in conflict-ridden African nations. Their findings indicated that UN-led peacekeeping missions have had mixed success in addressing regional conflicts. While there were instances of successful peacekeeping and conflict prevention, there were also cases where the UN's efforts fell short. The study recommended enhancing regional cooperation and increasing the UN's capacity for conflict prevention as key strategies for improving its effectiveness in managing regional conflicts in Africa.

Johnson and Martinez (2019) assessed the European Union's (EU) mediation efforts in managing crises and conflicts in the Balkans. The researchers aimed to understand how the EU's multilateral diplomacy had influenced conflict dynamics and contributed to peace and stability in the Balkan region. To achieve this, they employed a mixed-methods approach, combining interviews with key stakeholders and content analysis of EU documents and policies related to the Balkans. The findings of the study revealed that the EU's role in the region had been instrumental in preventing conflicts and promoting stability. The EU's emphasis on diplomatic engagement, conditionality, and providing economic incentives were identified as key factors contributing to its success. The research recommended that the EU should continue its diplomatic engagement and economic support to maintain peace and stability in the Balkans.

Tanaka and Nguyen (2020) assessed the effectiveness of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) in managing conflicts in Southeast Asia. The study sought to understand the role of ASEAN's multilateral approach in preventing, managing, and resolving regional conflicts in Southeast Asia. To achieve this, the researchers employed a mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative case studies with quantitative analysis of ASEAN's conflict resolution mechanisms and initiatives. The study's findings indicated that while ASEAN had been successful in conflict prevention and management, it needed to enhance its conflict resolution mechanisms for more significant impact. The research recommended that ASEAN member states should strengthen their commitment to conflict resolution through increased diplomatic efforts and cooperation within the organization.

Rodriguez (2017) investigated the role of the Organization of American States (OAS) in managing crises and conflicts in Latin America. The study aimed to assess how effectively the OAS had

contributed to conflict resolution and regional stability in the Latin American context. The research employed a quantitative analysis of OAS interventions, examining various cases of crisis management within the region. The findings of the study highlighted the OAS's limited effectiveness in resolving regional conflicts. While the organization had played a role in addressing some crises, challenges related to member state politics and varying levels of commitment had hindered its impact. The study recommended reforms within the OAS to improve its crisis management capabilities and enhance its effectiveness in handling regional conflicts.

Nkomo and Mwanasali (2018) assessed the African Union's (AU) mechanisms for addressing conflicts and crises in Africa. The research aimed to understand how the AU's multilateral approach, known as the Peace and Security Architecture (APSA), had contributed to peace and security on the continent. Employing a mixed-methods approach, the study combined qualitative case studies with quantitative analysis of AU initiatives and policies. The findings indicated that the AU's APSA had made substantial progress in conflict prevention and management, but challenges such as insufficient resources and the need for better coordination among member states remained. The research recommended that the AU should receive greater external support and strengthen its mechanisms for addressing security challenges, thereby enhancing its effectiveness in managing regional conflicts in Africa.

Lee and Singh (2019) examined the Shanghai Cooperation Organization's (SCO) involvement in managing conflicts and crises in Central Asia. The study aimed to assess how effectively the SCO had contributed to conflict prevention and regional stability in the Central Asian context. To achieve this, the researchers employed case studies and expert interviews, allowing for an in-depth exploration of the SCO's role in the region. The study's findings revealed that the SCO had played a crucial role in preventing regional conflicts and promoting cooperation among its member states. The research recommended strengthening the SCO's conflict resolution mechanisms and expanding its diplomatic efforts to further enhance its role in managing regional crises in Central Asia.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low-cost advantage as compared to field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

FINDINGS

The results were analyzed into various research gap categories that is conceptual, contextual and methodological gaps

Conceptual Research Gap: While Smith (2018) assesses the effectiveness of United Nations (UN) multilateral interventions in resolving conflicts in African regions, there is a research gap in exploring the specific mechanisms and strategies employed by the UN in its peacekeeping

missions. A more in-depth analysis of these mechanisms could provide insights into the conceptual underpinnings of successful conflict resolution.

Contextual Research Gap: Johnson and Martinez (2019) focus on the European Union's (EU) mediation efforts in the Balkans. However, there is a research gap in understanding how the EU's approach might differ when dealing with conflicts outside the Balkan region. Investigating the contextual variations in EU diplomacy and conflict resolution strategies across different regions could provide a more comprehensive view. Tanaka and Nguyen (2020) assess the effectiveness of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) in managing conflicts in Southeast Asia. A research gap exists in examining how ASEAN's multilateral approach might apply to conflicts in other regions, such as the South China Sea. Understanding the contextual adaptability of ASEAN's conflict resolution mechanisms is essential. Rodriguez (2017) investigates the role of the Organization of American States (OAS) in managing crises and conflicts in Latin America. However, there is a research gap in exploring how the OAS's approach differs when dealing with conflicts in other parts of the Americas, such as North America and the Caribbean. Investigating these contextual variations in OAS interventions could enhance our understanding of its effectiveness.

Geographical Research Gap: Lee and Singh (2019) examine the Shanghai Cooperation Organization's (SCO) involvement in managing conflicts in Central Asia. A geographical research gap exists in exploring the SCO's role in addressing conflicts in other regions where it has observer or dialogue partner status, such as South Asia. Understanding how the SCO adapts its conflict management strategies in different geographical contexts is crucial.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion

Multilateral institutions play a crucial and multifaceted role in managing regional conflicts and crises. These institutions, such as the United Nations, the African Union, the European Union, and many others, serve as essential platforms for diplomacy, conflict resolution, and crisis management. They facilitate dialogue among conflicting parties, mediate disputes, and promote peaceful settlements. Multilateral institutions also provide humanitarian aid, peacekeeping missions, and conflict prevention initiatives, which are essential in stabilizing regions facing conflicts and crises. Furthermore, these institutions contribute to the establishment of norms, principles, and frameworks for conflict resolution, helping to shape the international community's response to regional challenges. They promote the rule of law, human rights, and good governance as fundamental elements of peace and stability. Additionally, multilateral institutions facilitate cooperation among member states, encouraging collective action and burden-sharing in addressing complex regional issues, including security, public health crises, and environmental challenges.

Despite their vital role, the effectiveness of multilateral institutions in managing regional conflicts and crises can be hindered by political divisions, resource constraints, and differing interests among member states. Nevertheless, their continued existence and evolution remain essential for fostering international cooperation and preventing conflicts from escalating into broader crises. In a world marked by increasing interdependence and interconnectedness, the role of multilateral

institutions in managing regional conflicts and crises remains paramount, emphasizing the need for sustained support and reform to enhance their capacity to address global challenges effectively.

Recommendations

Theory

Multilateral institutions contribute to conflict resolution theories by developing and refining mediation models. They conduct research, gather data, and analyze conflict dynamics to enhance our understanding of the causes and triggers of regional conflicts. This research informs the development of conflict resolution models and theories that can be applied globally. Multilateral institutions play a pivotal role in developing early warning systems that can identify potential conflicts before they escalate. Their research and analysis contribute to the advancement of conflict prevention theories, helping policymakers anticipate and address regional conflicts before they reach crisis levels.

Practice

Multilateral institutions offer a neutral platform for diplomatic engagement. They facilitate dialogue and negotiations among conflicting parties, leveraging their credibility and impartiality to bring parties to the negotiating table. Multilateral institutions often deploy peacekeeping forces to regions in conflict. Their on-the-ground operations provide practical solutions for maintaining peace, stabilizing regions, and creating the conditions necessary for lasting peace and development.

Policy

Multilateral institutions influence policy development by convening member states and experts to craft effective conflict management strategies. They help shape international policies and provide guidelines for crisis response, contributing to the development of best practices in conflict resolution. Multilateral institutions can mobilize financial and logistical resources to support conflict-affected regions. Their ability to pool resources from member states and other partners is instrumental in implementing post-conflict reconstruction and development projects. Multilateral institutions offer technical assistance and capacity-building programs to conflict-affected regions. These programs help build local institutions, enhance governance, and strengthen the rule of law, contributing to long-term stability.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, A., & Mahmoud, R. (2021). Multilateralism in the Middle East: The Arab League's Response to Regional Crises. *Middle East Policy*, 28(2), 127-145.
- Andersen, L. E., et al. (2019). Conflicts over the Amazon: Policies, Economic Incentives, and Land Use. *World Development*, 122, 692-705. DOI: 10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.05.005
- Autesserre, S. (2019). Varieties of External Engagement in the Congolese Conflict: A Congolese Perspective. *International Peacekeeping*, 26(5), 541-567. DOI: 10.1080/13533312.2019.1665727
- Beardsley, K., & McQuinn, B. (2016). Peacekeeping as collusion: An empirical investigation of the principal-agent model. *International Studies Quarterly*, 60(2), 206-218. DOI: 10.1093/isq/sqw007
- Dersso, S. A. (2013). The African Union's evolving peace and security architecture: Implications for regional peace and security. *The Journal of Modern African Studies*, 51(4), 647-673. DOI: 10.1017/S0022278X13000496
- Hiltermann, J. (2012). Out of the Ashes: The Resurrection of Saddam Hussein. *International Security*, 36(2), 71-99. DOI: 10.1162/ISEC_a_00076
- Høigilt, J. (2019). South Sudan's Peace Process: Local and International Dynamics. *International Affairs*, 95(2), 339-359. DOI: 10.1093/ia/iiz007
- International Crisis Group. (2019). Kashmir: Breaking the Stalemate. <https://www.crisisgroup.org/asia/south-asia/kashmir/323-kashmir-breaking-stalemate>
- Johnson, M., & Martinez, A. (2019). The European Union's Mediation Role in the Balkans. *European Journal of International Relations*, 25(4), 1297-1320.
- Keohane, R. O., & Nye, J. S. (2001). *Power and Interdependence: World Politics in Transition*. Longman.
- Lee, H., & Singh, R. (2019). The Shanghai Cooperation Organization (SCO) and Its Role in Central Asia. *Contemporary Security Policy*, 40(2), 157-176.
- Lee, H., & Singh, R. (2019). The Shanghai Cooperation Organization's Role in Central Asia. *Contemporary Security Policy*, 40(2), 157-176.
- McKearney, R. (2017). The Impact of the Good Friday Agreement on Northern Ireland. *Irish Political Studies*, 32(3), 389-405. DOI: 10.1080/07907184.2016.1250556
- Nkomo, M., & Mwanasali, M. (2018). The African Union's Peace and Security Architecture (APSA). *African Security Review*, 27(1), 55-72.
- Nkomo, M., & Mwanasali, M. (2018). The African Union's Peace and Security Architecture. *African Security Review*, 27(1), 55-72.
- Rodriguez, P., et al. (2017). The OAS and Crisis Management in Latin America. *Latin American Politics and Society*, 59(2), 96-120.

-
- Rodriguez, P., et al. (2017). The Organization of American States (OAS) and Crisis Management in Latin America. *Latin American Politics and Society*, 59(2), 96-120.
- Smith, J., et al. (2018). The United Nations and Conflict Resolution in Africa. *Journal of Peace Research*, 55(3), 331-344.
- Tanaka, Y., & Nguyen, T. (2020). ASEAN and Conflict Management in Southeast Asia. *Asian Journal of Peacebuilding*, 8(2), 89-106.
- Waltz, K. (1979). *Theory of International Politics*. Addison-Wesley.
- Wendt, A. (1992). Anarchy is what states make of it: The social construction of power politics. *International Organization*, 46(2), 391-425.