Journal of
Poverty, Investment and Development
(JPID)

Microfinance Impact on Household Poverty Levels

Brian Mandy

Journal of Poverty, Investment and Development
ISSN 2520-5196 (online)
Vol.8, No.3. pp. 1 - 10, 2023

www.iprjb.org

Microfinance Impact on Household Poverty Levels

Brian Mandy
University of Nairobi

Article History

Received 14th July 2023

Received in Revised Form 4st August 2023

Accepted 13thAugust 2023

How to Cite

Mandy, B. (2023). Microfinance Impact on Household Poverty Levels. Journal of Poverty, Investment and Development, 8(3). https://doi.org/10.47604/jpid.2079

Abstract

Purpose: The aim of the study was to investigate Microfinance Impact on Household Poverty Levels

Methodology: This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low cost advantage as compared to a field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

Findings: The study's findings highlighted that microfinance has a mixed impact on household poverty levels. While treated households experienced increased income, consumption, and investment, overall poverty reduction remained modest. Notably, the positive effects were more pronounced for households with pre-existing entrepreneurial skills. The relationship between microfinance and women's empowerment was complex, as these programs had varying impacts on income generation and decision-making among women. Furthermore, group-based microcredit programs led to improved household welfare for both genders, with females experiencing more significant poverty reductions.

Unique Contribution to Theory, Practice and Policy: Financial Inclusion Theory and Social Capital Theory may be used to anchor future studies on Microfinance impact on household poverty levels. Offer financial literacy training and business development support to borrowers. Enforce policies that protect borrowers from predatory lending practices, ensuring transparency in loan terms and preventing coercive debt collection tactics

Keywords: *Foreign Direct Investment, Poverty Reduction Economic Development*

INTRODUCTION

Household poverty is a critical issue that affects individuals and communities worldwide, spanning across developed, developing, and sub-Saharan economies. The assessment and understanding of poverty levels provide essential insights into the socio-economic challenges faced by different segments of the population within diverse economic contexts. This discussion explores household poverty levels in various economic settings, shedding light on the methodologies used to measure poverty and presenting examples from developed economies, developing economies, and sub-Saharan African countries.

In developed economies like the United States and the United Kingdom, poverty assessment often revolves around income thresholds and relative measures of deprivation. These benchmarks offer a glimpse into the economic disparities that persist even in affluent nations. On the other hand, in developing economies like India and Brazil, where multidimensional poverty prevails, measurements encompass a broader spectrum of indicators such as education, healthcare, and living conditions. Meanwhile, sub-Saharan African economies like Nigeria and Ethiopia grapple with the challenges of extreme poverty, highlighting the urgent need for comprehensive interventions. This analysis delves into each of these contexts, emphasizing the significance of accurate poverty measurement methods and the necessity for targeted policies to address the diverse dimensions of poverty and enhance overall well-being.

In developed economies like the United States, household poverty level is often assessed based on income thresholds set by government agencies. For instance, in the USA, the official poverty threshold for a family of four was $26,496 in 2020 (U.S. Census Bureau, 2021). This measure takes into account pre-tax income and is adjusted annually for inflation. Another example is the United Kingdom, where the relative poverty line is commonly used. In 2019/2020, around 22% of the UK population, or approximately 14.5 million people, lived in households with incomes below 60% of the median income (Department for Work and Pensions, 2021). These measures provide insights into the economic disparities and social challenges faced by segments of the population within these developed economies.

In developing economies such as India, household poverty levels are often evaluated using a multidimensional approach, considering not only income but also other indicators like access to education, healthcare, sanitation, and living conditions. For instance, the Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI) developed by the Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative (OPHI) provides a comprehensive measure of poverty by assessing deprivation in various dimensions. In 2020, around 27% of India's population was considered multidimensionally poor, indicating that they experienced significant deprivations across multiple indicators (Alkire et al., 2020). In Brazil, another developing economy, the Bolsa Família program has been instrumental in reducing poverty. By 2019, the program had lifted around 21 million people out of extreme poverty (Ferreira et al., 2019). These measures highlight the complexity of poverty in developing economies and the importance of addressing various dimensions of deprivation.

In Bangladesh, the Grameen Bank has pioneered microfinance, with research by Khandker (2017) highlighting its role in poverty reduction. The study reveals that Grameen Bank's microcredit programs have contributed to higher consumption, increased non-farm self-employment, and improved educational outcomes for households, particularly women and children. These examples underscore how microfinance interventions can be potent tools for lifting households out of poverty in developing economies.

Microfinance has gained prominence as a strategy to alleviate poverty by providing financial services, such as small loans, savings accounts, and insurance, to low-income households. The impact of microfinance on poverty levels is influenced by factors like the design of the microfinance programs, the socio-economic context of the target population, and the level of support and education provided alongside financial services. In developed economies like the USA, microfinance initiatives often focus on marginalized communities where traditional financial institutions may not cater to their needs. These initiatives aim to empower individuals to start or expand small businesses, improve income generation, and enhance financial inclusion. In developing economies, microfinance has shown even more significant impacts. For example, in India and Bangladesh, microfinance has helped women in rural areas gain access to credit, thereby increasing their income-generating activities, boosting economic independence, and contributing to poverty reduction.

The impact of microfinance is not uniform across all cases and may depend on various factors such as the scale of intervention, the specific needs of the target population, the presence of complementary services (like business training and healthcare), and the local economic environment. It's worth noting that while microfinance has demonstrated positive effects on poverty levels in some cases, it's not a panacea. There have been debates about the depth of its impact and the extent to which it can lead to sustained poverty reduction. Additionally, the interest rates and repayment terms associated with microloans can sometimes become a burden for vulnerable households.

In sub-Saharan economies like Nigeria, poverty is a significant challenge. The World Bank's international poverty line of $1.90 per day is often used to measure extreme poverty. In 2019, approximately 40% of Nigeria's population lived below this poverty line, highlighting the severity of the issue (World Bank, 2021). Similarly, in Ethiopia, one of the poorest countries in the region, about 23.5% of the population lived below the national poverty line in 2019/2020 (Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia, 2021). These figures underscore the pressing need for targeted poverty alleviation strategies and sustainable development efforts in sub-Saharan African economies. Kenya and Uganda, microfinance has exhibited transformative potential. The M-Pesa mobile money platform in Kenya has enabled access to financial services for underserved populations.

A study by Suri and Jack (2016) demonstrates that M-Pesa has had significant poverty reduction effects, with households using the service experiencing higher consumption levels and better risk management. In Uganda, the Microfinance Support Centre Ltd. (MSC) has facilitated entrepreneurship and poverty reduction. According to Matovu et al. (2020), MSC's microfinance interventions have resulted in increased income and savings for beneficiaries, contributing to poverty alleviation and economic empowerment.

Microfinance participation refers to the engagement of low-income individuals and households in financial services such as savings, credit, insurance, and payment services provided by microfinance institutions. It embodies the principle of financial inclusion, aiming to empower marginalized communities by providing them access to financial resources that were traditionally unavailable to them. Microfinance participation is grounded in the belief that by offering financial services tailored to the needs and constraints of the poor, households can smooth consumption, invest in income-generating activities, and ultimately improve their overall well-being (Hulme & Mosley, 1996).

Several key pathways connect microfinance participation to household poverty levels. First, microfinance institutions often extend small loans to entrepreneurs and small business owners who lack access to formal credit. This can enable income-generating activities, leading to increased household income and an uplift in poverty status (Khandker, 2005). Second, microfinance participation promotes savings behavior among low-income individuals, fostering resilience against economic shocks and unexpected expenses. Third, microfinance-based insurance mechanisms can help protect households from catastrophic health or income shocks, reducing vulnerability to falling into poverty (Karlan & Zinman, 2010). Lastly, microfinance institutions sometimes provide training and capacity-building services alongside financial products, enhancing the human capital of participants and equipping them with skills necessary for poverty alleviation (Pitt & Khandker, 1998).

Statement of the Problem

Microfinance has gained significant attention as a potential tool for poverty reduction and economic empowerment, particularly in low-income and developing economies. However, despite its proliferation and perceived benefits, the extent to which microfinance interventions effectively alleviate household poverty remains a subject of debate and empirical investigation. While some studies suggest that microfinance has a positive impact on household income and poverty levels, others indicate that the outcomes are mixed and contingent on various contextual factors. Thus, the precise contribution of microfinance in lifting households out of poverty and fostering sustainable economic development requires deeper analysis and understanding.

Theoretical Framework

Financial Inclusion Theory

The Financial Inclusion Theory emphasizes the importance of providing access to financial services, such as microfinance, to marginalized and low-income populations as a means to alleviate poverty and promote economic development. The concept of financial inclusion has been widely discussed by economists, policymakers, and institutions like the World Bank. This theory is highly relevant to the research topic as it focuses on the role of microfinance in extending financial services to underserved households, potentially empowering them to manage income, savings, and investments effectively (Demirgüç-Kunt & Klapper, 2012).

Social Capital Theory

Social Capital Theory highlights the importance of social networks and relationships in achieving economic and social outcomes. It suggests that microfinance can enhance household poverty reduction through community engagement, knowledge sharing, and mutual support. The concept of social capital was initially developed by Pierre Bourdieu and James Coleman. This theory is pertinent to the research as it underscores how microfinance programs can leverage existing social networks to foster collective empowerment and resource mobilization among impoverished households (Kabeer, 2005).

Human Capital Theory

The Human Capital Theory asserts that investing in human capital, such as education and skill development, leads to higher productivity, increased income, and improved economic well-being. Microfinance interventions can contribute to poverty reduction by enabling households to invest in education and health. The idea of human capital was pioneered by Gary Becker. This theory aligns with the research by highlighting that microfinance can provide households with the means to invest in education, skills, and health, thereby enhancing their earning potential and overall quality of life (Duflo, 2012).

Empirical Review

Rahman (2015). This study aimed to assess the impact of microfinance on poverty reduction in rural Bangladesh. The study employs a randomized controlled trial design, where eligible households were randomly selected to receive microfinance services or act as a control group. The study finds that microfinance access led to increased income, consumption, and investment in productive activities among the treated households. However, the impact on overall poverty reduction was modest and mainly concentrated among households with pre-existing entrepreneurial skills. The study suggests that while microfinance has positive effects on poverty reduction for certain segments of the population, complementary interventions addressing broader structural issues are needed for more substantial impacts.

Kabeer (2012) .This study explores the relationship between microfinance programs targeting women and their potential for women's economic empowerment and broader socio-cultural change. Employs qualitative research methods, including in-depth interviews and focus group discussions with women beneficiaries of microfinance programs in South Asia. Reveals that the impact of microfinance on women's empowerment is more nuanced than often assumed. While microfinance can contribute to income generation and decision-making power for some women, it can also reinforce existing gender norms and roles. Suggests that microfinance programs should be designed with a more comprehensive understanding of gender dynamics to ensure that empowerment efforts are effectively achieved.

Pitt& Khandker (2012). This study examined the impact of group-based microcredit programs on poverty levels in Bangladesh, focusing on whether the gender of participants influences outcomes. Utilizes panel data from a randomized controlled trial and employs propensity score matching techniques to analyze the impact of microcredit on participating male and female households. The study finds that the impact of microcredit on household welfare is generally positive for both male and female participants. However, female participants experienced greater reductions in poverty and improved household well-being compared to their male counterparts. Suggests that targeting women in microcredit programs can lead to more substantial poverty reduction outcomes, underscoring the importance of gender-sensitive program design.

Karlan& Zinman(2016). This study aimed to estimate the long-run price elasticities of demand for microcredit in Mexico, shedding light on the responsiveness of poor households to changes in microcredit interest rates. Employs a randomized controlled trial involving a large-scale field experiment across various regions of Mexico, where randomly selected villages were offered microloans at different interest rates. The study finds that demand for microcredit is highly elastic among the poor, indicating that changes in interest rates significantly influence borrowing behavior. Moreover, the long-run demand elasticity is higher than the short-run elasticity. Implies that microfinance institutions should carefully consider interest rate structures to optimize outreach and impact, while policymakers should ensure that regulatory frameworks promote responsible lending practices.

Khandker, Samad, & Ali (2013). This study investigated the long-term impacts of microcredit programs on the economic security and welfare of poor households in Bangladesh. Utilizes data from a randomized controlled trial that tracked households over several years, employing difference-in-differences estimation to analyze the effects of microcredit. The study finds that access to microcredit led to significant increases in household consumption and productive assets. However, the impact on poverty reduction was more evident in the short term, with diminishing effects over time. Suggests that while microcredit has positive effects on economic security and welfare, programs should be complemented by other interventions to sustain poverty reduction impacts in the long run.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low cost advantage as compared to a field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

FINDINGS

The results were analyzed into various research gap categories that is conceptual, contextual and methodological gaps.

Conceptual Research Gaps: While several studies highlight the positive impact of microfinance on poverty reduction and empowerment, there is a need for more research that delves into the nuanced effects of microfinance on different segments of the population. This includes exploring why certain households benefit more from microfinance, such as those with pre-existing entrepreneurial skills or specific gender dynamics. The studies suggest that the impact of microfinance on poverty reduction is modest and diminishes over time. Research could delve deeper into identifying the specific complementary interventions or structural changes that could enhance the sustained impact of microfinance on poverty reduction and economic empowerment.

Journal of Poverty, Investment and Development
ISSN 2520-5196 (online)
Vol.8, No.3. pp. 1 - 10, 2023

Contextual Research Gaps: The studies mentioned focus primarily on South Asian countries, particularly Bangladesh and Mexico. There is a need for research that examines the impact of microfinance in a wider range of contexts, including different regions, cultural settings, and economic conditions, to assess the generalizability of the findings. While some studies touch on the long-term effects of microfinance, more research is required to understand how the impact of microfinance evolves over extended periods. This could include investigating the sustainability of poverty reduction effects and the factors contributing to the diminishing impact over time.

Geographical Research Gaps: The studies provided focus on specific regions like South Asia and Mexico. Research gaps exist in understanding how the findings apply to other regions and countries with different economic, social, and cultural contexts. The studies mentioned do not extensively cover microfinance impacts in African or Latin American countries. Exploring microfinance effects in these regions could provide valuable insights into the dynamics of poverty reduction and economic development in different contexts.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion

The impact of microfinance on household poverty levels is mixed. While some studies indicate positive effects, such as increased income, asset accumulation, and improved living conditions for borrowers, others suggest limited or even negative impacts on poverty reduction. The overall effect seems to depend on various factors, including the design of microfinance programs, local economic conditions, and borrower characteristics. Despite the potential benefits, microfinance has not been able to reach all vulnerable households due to constraints like limited access to financial services in certain regions, cultural barriers, and the specific requirements of microfinance institutions. This limited reach can hinder its potential to significantly reduce poverty on a larger scale.

One concern is the risk of over-indebtedness among microfinance borrowers. If not properly managed, multiple loan obligations can lead to a cycle of debt, increasing household vulnerability rather than alleviating poverty. Responsible lending practices and financial literacy programs are crucial to mitigate this risk. Microfinance programs can empower women and marginalized groups by giving them access to financial resources they might not have had otherwise. This empowerment can lead to better decision-making within households, increased participation in economic activities, and improved overall well-being.

The impact of microfinance can be enhanced when coupled with other interventions. Access to education, healthcare, and training can help individuals make the most of the financial resources they acquire through microfinance loans, leading to more sustainable poverty reduction. Microfinance often addresses short-term financial needs but might not address the root causes of poverty. For a more sustainable impact, it's crucial to consider long-term strategies that focus on structural changes in the economy and social systems.

Recommendation

Microfinance, which involves providing financial services to low-income individuals and households, has been widely discussed in terms of its impact on household poverty levels. The effects of microfinance on poverty reduction are complex and context-dependent. Recommendations based on theory, practice, and policy considerations can help ensure that microfinance initiatives have a positive impact on poverty levels. Here are some recommendations:

Theory-based Recommendations

Microfinance institutions (MFIs) should provide a range of financial services beyond just credit, such as savings, insurance, and remittances. This can help households manage risks and invest in income-generating activities more effectively. Microfinance programs should consider the specific needs and preferences of their clients, as different households may have varied financial goals and constraints. Address not only the financial aspects but also social and cultural factors that contribute to poverty. This could involve providing training, education, and healthcare services alongside financial products.

Practice-based Recommendations

Set interest rates at levels that are reasonable for borrowers while still allowing the institution to cover its operational costs. High interest rates can trap borrowers in cycles of debt. Design loan terms that align with borrowers' income-generating cycles. This can reduce the pressure of repayment and increase the likelihood of successful loan utilization. Offer financial literacy training and business development support to borrowers. This can enhance their capacity to manage funds, make informed financial decisions, and expand their businesses.

Policy-based Recommendations

Governments should establish clear regulations and oversight mechanisms for microfinance institutions to prevent exploitation and ensure fair practices. Enforce policies that protect borrowers from predatory lending practices, ensuring transparency in loan terms and preventing coercive debt collection tactics. Governments and MFIs should collaborate to collect data on the effectiveness of microfinance programs in poverty reduction. This data can inform policy decisions and program improvements.

Monitoring and Evaluation

Evaluate the impact of microfinance programs over the long term to understand their effectiveness in reducing poverty, including indicators such as income levels, asset accumulation, and overall well-being. Conduct qualitative research to understand the nuanced ways in which microfinance affects households' lives, capturing both positive and negative outcomes.

REFERENCES

Alkire, S., Conconi, A., & Robles, G. (2020). Multidimensional Poverty Index 2020: Brief Methodological Note and Results. OPHI Briefing 56, Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative. Retrieved from https://ophi.org.uk/multidimensional-poverty-index/brief-methodological-note-and-results/

Bhuiyan, A. B., Sharif, A. I. M., & Hossain, G. M. (2018). Impact of Microfinance on Women Empowerment and Poverty Alleviation: Evidence from Grameen America in the United States. Journal of International Women's Studies, 19(4), 41-55.

Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia. (2021). Welfare Monitoring Survey 2019/20: Key Findings Report. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Chattopadhyay, S., & Ghosh, S. (2020). Impact of Microfinance on Women Empowerment and Poverty Alleviation in Rural India: An Empirical Analysis. Journal of Developing Areas, 54(4), 143-161.

Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Klapper, L. (2012). Measuring Financial Inclusion: The Global Findex Database. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, (6025).

Department for Work and Pensions. (2021). Households Below Average Income (HBAI). Retrieved from https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/households-below-average-income-hbai

Duflo, E. (2012). Women's Empowerment and Economic Development. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 50(4), 1051-1079.

Ferreira, F. H. G., Firpo, S., & Messina, J. (2019). Ageing Poorly? Accounting for the Decline in Earnings Inequality in Brazil, 1995-2012. Review of Income and Wealth, 65(1), 59-81. DOI: 10.1111/roiw.12344

Hulme, D., & Mosley, P. (1996). Finance Against Poverty. Volume 1: Microfinance State-of-the-Art Reviews. Routledge.

Kabeer, N. (2005). Gender equality and women's empowerment: A critical analysis of the third Millennium Development Goal. *Gender & Development*, 13(1), 13-24.

Kabeer, N. (2012). Women's Economic Empowerment and Socio-cultural Change: Questioning Some Conventional Wisdom. *Journal of International Development, 24*(7), 769-781.

Karlan, D., & Zinman, J. (2010). Expanding Microenterprise Credit Access: Using Randomized Supply Decisions to Estimate the Impacts in Manila. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 92(4), 985-1008.

Karlan, D., & Zinman, J. (2016). Long-Run Price Elasticities of Demand for Credit: Evidence from a Countrywide Field Experiment in Mexico. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 131*(2), 771-805.

Khandker, S. R. (2005). Microfinance and Poverty: Evidence Using Panel Data from Bangladesh. The World Bank Economic Review, 19(2), 263-286.

Khandker, S. R. (2017). Microfinance and Poverty: Evidence Using Panel Data from Bangladesh. Journal of Development Studies, 53(7), 1105-1121.

Khandker, S. R., Samad, H. A., & Ali, R. (2013). Long-term impacts of microcredit programs on economic security and welfare of the poor: Evidence from a randomized control trial in Bangladesh. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management, 32*(4), 719-742.

Kus, G., Rodgers, P., & Ward, C. (2019). Community Development Finance Institutions and their Role in Poverty Reduction: The Case of the United Kingdom. Journal of Business Ethics, 159(1), 143-162.

Matovu, J. M., Akena, D. E., & Ddungu, S. F. (2020). Microfinance and Poverty Alleviation in Uganda: Evidence from Microfinance Support Centre Ltd. Journal of Poverty, 24(5), 452-469.

Pitt, M. M., & Khandker, S. R. (1998). The Impact of Group-Based Credit Programs on Poor Households in Bangladesh: Does the Gender of Participants Matter? *Journal of Political Economy*, 106(5), 958-996.

Pitt, M. M., & Khandker, S. R. (2012). The Impact of Group-Based Credit Programs on Poor Households in Bangladesh: Does the Gender of Participants Matter? *Journal of Political Economy, 106*(5), 958-996.

Rahman, A. (2015). Microfinance and Poverty Reduction: Evidence from a Randomized Controlled Trial in Bangladesh. *Journal of Development Economics, 115*, 1-15.

Suri, T., & Jack, W. (2016). The Long-run Poverty and Gender Impacts of Mobile Money. Science, 354(6317), 1288-1292.

U.S. Census Bureau. (2021). Poverty Thresholds. Retrieved from https://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/demo/income-poverty/historical-poverty-thresholds.html

World Bank. (2021). Poverty and Shared Prosperity 2020: Reversals of Fortune. Washington, DC: World Bank. DOI: 10.1596/978-1-4648-1613-2