

# Journal of Poverty, Investment and Development (JPID)

**Social Welfare Programs and Poverty Reduction in Brazil**

Lucas Costa



**Social Welfare Programs and Poverty Reduction  
in Brazil**



Lucas Costa

University of Campinas

**Article History**

*Received 10<sup>th</sup> March 2024*

*Received in Revised Form 20<sup>th</sup> March 2024*

*Accepted 27<sup>th</sup> March 2024*

**Abstract**

**Purpose:** The aim of the study was to analyze the social welfare programs and poverty reduction in Brazil.

**Methodology:** This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low cost advantage as compared to a field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

**Findings:** Social welfare programs like Bolsa Família in Brazil have significantly reduced poverty by targeting the poorest segments of the population. Research shows these programs have improved education, healthcare, and nutrition outcomes among beneficiaries, breaking intergenerational cycles of poverty. Additionally, they've stimulated local economies, but challenges remain in ensuring sustainability and addressing persistent inequalities.

**Unique Contribution to Theory, Practice and Policy:** Social capital theory, institutional theory & capability approach may be used to anchor future studies on social welfare programs and poverty reduction in Brazil. Enhancing the effectiveness of social welfare programs requires attention to program design, implementation, and delivery mechanisms. Policy recommendations should focus on institutional reforms and policy interventions aimed at maximizing the impact of social welfare programs on poverty reduction.

**Keywords:** *Social Welfare Programs, Poverty Reduction*

©2024 by the Authors. This Article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

## INTRODUCTION

The poverty reduction is a multifaceted process aimed at alleviating and ultimately eliminating poverty within a society. It encompasses various strategies, policies, and interventions designed to improve the economic well-being, living conditions, and opportunities of individuals and communities experiencing poverty. In developed economies such as the United States, poverty incidence rates and income inequality have been persistent issues despite overall economic prosperity. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the poverty rate in the United States was 10.5% in 2019, affecting approximately 34 million people. Income inequality, as measured by the Gini coefficient, has also been a concern, with the coefficient hovering around 0.48 in recent years. One contributing factor to income inequality in the U.S. is wage stagnation, where the real wages of low- and middle-income workers have remained relatively flat while those of high-income earners have seen significant growth. Additionally, disparities in access to education, healthcare, and opportunities for upward mobility contribute to the persistence of poverty and income inequality. (Smith, 2019)

Similarly, in developed economies like Japan, poverty incidence rates and income inequality have been significant issues, albeit to a lesser extent compared to the U.S. According to data from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, the poverty rate in Japan was 16.3% in 2019, affecting approximately 20 million people. Income inequality, as measured by the Gini coefficient, has remained relatively stable around 0.32 in recent years. One contributing factor to income inequality in Japan is the prevalence of non-regular employment, which offers lower wages and fewer benefits compared to regular employment. Additionally, demographic challenges such as an aging population and declining birth rates pose long-term economic challenges, exacerbating poverty and income inequality. (Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, 2020)

In the United Kingdom (UK), poverty incidence rates and income inequality have been significant socio-economic issues. According to data from the Office for National Statistics, the poverty rate in the UK was 21.2% in 2019, affecting approximately 14 million people. Income inequality, as measured by the Gini coefficient, has also been notable, with the coefficient around 0.34 in recent years. One contributing factor to income inequality in the UK is the widening gap between high-income earners and low-income households, exacerbated by changes in the labor market, such as the rise of precarious employment and gig economy jobs. Additionally, disparities in access to affordable housing, healthcare, and social services contribute to the persistence of poverty and income inequality (Office for National Statistics, 2020)

In Canada, poverty incidence rates and income inequality are also significant concerns despite the country's overall economic prosperity. According to data from Statistics Canada, the poverty rate in Canada was 9.2% in 2018, affecting approximately 3.4 million people. Income inequality, as measured by the Gini coefficient, has remained relatively stable around 0.32 in recent years. One contributing factor to income inequality in Canada is wage polarization, where high-skilled workers command higher wages while low-skilled workers face stagnant or declining incomes. Additionally, challenges such as affordable housing shortages, disparities in educational attainment, and indigenous poverty contribute to the persistence of poverty and income inequality (Statistics Canada, 2020)



In Brazil, poverty incidence rates and income inequality have been significant challenges despite the country's economic growth. According to data from the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE), the poverty rate in Brazil was approximately 21.4% in 2019, affecting millions of people. Income inequality, as measured by the Gini coefficient, has been one of the highest in the world, with estimates around 0.53 in recent years. Contributing factors to income inequality in Brazil include unequal access to education, healthcare, and employment opportunities, as well as historical and structural issues such as land distribution disparities and racial inequalities. Additionally, challenges such as informal employment and urbanization exacerbate poverty and income inequality in the country (IBGE, 2020)

In India, poverty incidence rates and income inequality are also significant socio-economic issues. According to data from the National Sample Survey Office (NSSO), the poverty rate in India was approximately 21.9% in 2019, affecting a large segment of the population. Income inequality, as measured by the Gini coefficient, has been relatively high, with estimates around 0.35 in recent years. Contributing factors to income inequality in India include unequal access to education, healthcare, and employment opportunities, as well as challenges related to rural-urban divides and caste-based discrimination. Additionally, rapid population growth, urbanization, and environmental degradation pose additional challenges to poverty reduction and inclusive development efforts in India (NSSO, 2020)

In Brazil, poverty incidence rates and income inequality have been significant challenges despite the country's economic growth. According to data from the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE), the poverty rate in Brazil was approximately 21.4% in 2019, affecting millions of people. Income inequality, as measured by the Gini coefficient, has been one of the highest in the world, with estimates around 0.53 in recent years. Contributing factors to income inequality in Brazil include unequal access to education, healthcare, and employment opportunities, as well as historical and structural issues such as land distribution disparities and racial inequalities. Additionally, challenges such as informal employment and urbanization exacerbate poverty and income inequality in the country (IBGE, 2020)

In India, poverty incidence rates and income inequality are also significant socio-economic issues. According to data from the National Sample Survey Office (NSSO), the poverty rate in India was approximately 21.9% in 2019, affecting a large segment of the population. Income inequality, as measured by the Gini coefficient, has been relatively high, with estimates around 0.35 in recent years. Contributing factors to income inequality in India include unequal access to education, healthcare, and employment opportunities, as well as challenges related to rural-urban divides and caste-based discrimination. Additionally, rapid population growth, urbanization, and environmental degradation pose additional challenges to poverty reduction and inclusive development efforts in India (NSSO, 2020)

In Nigeria, poverty incidence rates and income inequality have been significant challenges despite the country's vast natural resources. According to data from the National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), the poverty rate in Nigeria was approximately 40% in 2019, affecting a substantial portion of the population. Income inequality, as measured by the Gini coefficient, has also been notable, with estimates around 0.39 in recent years. Contributing factors to income inequality in Nigeria include unequal access to education, healthcare, and employment opportunities, as well as

challenges related to corruption, political instability, and inadequate infrastructure. Additionally, rapid population growth, urbanization, and ethnic diversity pose additional challenges to poverty reduction and inclusive development efforts in Nigeria (NBS, 2020)

In Kenya, poverty incidence rates and income inequality are also significant socio-economic issues. According to data from the Kenya National Bureau of Statistics (KNBS), the poverty rate in Kenya was approximately 36.1% in 2019, affecting a large segment of the population. Income inequality, as measured by the Gini coefficient, has been relatively high, with estimates around 0.45 in recent years. Contributing factors to income inequality in Kenya include unequal access to education, healthcare, and employment opportunities, as well as challenges related to land distribution, ethnic tensions, and regional disparities. Additionally, environmental challenges such as droughts and food insecurity exacerbate poverty and income inequality in Kenya (KNBS, 2020)

In South Africa, poverty incidence rates and income inequality have been significant challenges despite the country's status as one of Africa's largest economies. According to data from Statistics South Africa, the poverty rate in South Africa was approximately 55.5% in 2019, affecting a considerable portion of the population. Income inequality, as measured by the Gini coefficient, has been one of the highest in the world, with estimates around 0.63 in recent years. Contributing factors to income inequality in South Africa include historical legacies of apartheid, unequal access to education, healthcare, and employment opportunities, as well as challenges related to land redistribution and racial disparities. Additionally, high levels of unemployment and informal employment exacerbate poverty and income inequality in the country (Stats SA, 2020)

In Ethiopia, poverty incidence rates and income inequality are also significant socio-economic challenges. According to data from the Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia, the poverty rate in Ethiopia was approximately 23.5% in 2019, affecting a substantial portion of the population. Income inequality, as measured by the Gini coefficient, has been relatively high, with estimates around 0.35 in recent years. Contributing factors to income inequality in Ethiopia include limited access to education, healthcare, and employment opportunities, as well as challenges related to agricultural productivity, rural-urban divides, and political instability. Additionally, environmental challenges such as droughts and food insecurity further exacerbate poverty and income inequality in Ethiopia (CSA Ethiopia, 2020)

Participation in social welfare programs, such as Bolsa Família in Brazil, plays a crucial role in alleviating poverty and reducing income inequality by providing financial assistance to vulnerable populations. Research by Firpo (2014) found that increased participation in Bolsa Família was associated with a significant reduction in poverty incidence rates, particularly among low-income households. By targeting cash transfers to households below the poverty line, Bolsa Família effectively lifts families out of poverty and improves their overall well-being. Moreover, the benefit amount received through social welfare programs like Bolsa Família is directly linked to poverty incidence rates, as higher benefit amounts enable beneficiaries to meet their basic needs and improve their living standards. Studies have shown that increasing the benefit amount of social assistance programs can lead to further reductions in poverty rates (Soares, 2010).

Furthermore, participation in social welfare programs and the benefit amount received also have implications for income inequality, as measured by the Gini coefficient. Research by Barros (2010)

demonstrated that Bolsa Família contributed to a modest reduction in income inequality in Brazil, as cash transfers to low-income households helped narrow the income gap between the rich and the poor. By providing financial support to the most disadvantaged groups, social welfare programs mitigate income disparities and promote greater social equity. However, the effectiveness of social welfare programs in addressing income inequality depends on the design of the programs, the targeting of beneficiaries, and the level of benefit provided. Thus, ensuring equitable access to social assistance and enhancing benefit amounts can contribute to a more equitable distribution of income and a reduction in income inequality within society.

### **Problem Statement**

Despite the implementation of various social welfare programs aimed at poverty reduction in Brazil, significant challenges persist in effectively addressing the multifaceted nature of poverty and achieving sustainable poverty reduction outcomes. Research by Firpo (2014) highlights the need to assess the impact and effectiveness of social welfare programs, such as Bolsa Família, in reducing poverty rates and improving the well-being of vulnerable populations. Despite the expansion of Bolsa Família and other social assistance initiatives, poverty rates in Brazil remain stubbornly high, with millions of individuals and families still living below the poverty line (Barros, 2010). Thus, there is a pressing need to critically examine the factors influencing the implementation and outcomes of social welfare programs and identify strategies to enhance their effectiveness in poverty reduction.

Moreover, recent socio-economic and political changes in Brazil, including economic downturns, budget constraints, and shifts in government priorities, have raised concerns about the sustainability and future direction of social welfare programs in addressing poverty. As noted by Soares (2010), changes in government policies and funding allocations can impact the reach and coverage of social assistance programs, potentially affecting their ability to effectively alleviate poverty and promote social inclusion. Additionally, there is a need to address structural barriers, such as inequality, informality in the labor market, and lack of access to quality education and healthcare, which perpetuate poverty and hinder efforts towards poverty reduction in Brazil. Therefore, understanding the complex interplay between social welfare policies, socio-economic dynamics, and poverty outcomes is essential for informing evidence-based interventions and policy reforms aimed at achieving sustainable poverty reduction and improving the well-being of vulnerable populations in Brazil.

### **Theoretical Framework**

#### **Social Capital Theory**

Originated by Pierre Bourdieu and further developed by Robert Putnam, social capital theory posits that social networks, norms, and trust within a community or society contribute to collective action and social cohesion, ultimately leading to positive outcomes such as poverty reduction. In the context of social welfare programs in Brazil, social capital theory suggests that strong social networks and trust among community members can facilitate the effective implementation and utilization of social assistance initiatives, leading to improved poverty reduction outcomes (Putnam, 2000).

#### **Institutional Theory**

Originating from the work of Douglas North, institutional theory emphasizes the role of formal and informal institutions in shaping individual and collective behavior, including the design and implementation of social welfare programs. Institutional theory suggests that the effectiveness of social welfare programs in reducing poverty in Brazil depends on the institutional environment, including government policies, legal frameworks, and administrative structures. By examining the institutional context surrounding social welfare programs, researchers can better understand the factors influencing program implementation, governance, and outcomes (North, 1990).

### **Capability Approach**

Developed by Amartya Sen and further expanded by Martha Nussbaum, the capability approach focuses on individuals' freedom to achieve well-being and live a life they value. The capability approach suggests that social welfare programs should aim to enhance individuals' capabilities and opportunities, rather than merely providing material resources. In the context of poverty reduction in Brazil, the capability approach highlights the importance of social welfare programs in enabling individuals to access education, healthcare, and other essential services, thereby empowering them to escape poverty and lead fulfilling lives (Sen, 1999).

### **Empirical Review**

Silva (2018) assessed of the Bolsa Família program's impact on poverty reduction in Brazil. Employing a quasi-experimental design and propensity score matching methodology, the study aimed to provide robust evidence regarding the program's effectiveness in alleviating poverty among beneficiary households. By analyzing a large dataset of household survey data, the researchers were able to examine changes in poverty rates before and after enrollment in Bolsa Família, comparing beneficiary households with similar non-beneficiary counterparts. The findings of the study revealed a significant reduction in poverty rates among Bolsa Família beneficiaries, indicating the program's positive impact on poverty reduction in Brazil. Moreover, the study explored various mechanisms through which Bolsa Família contributed to poverty alleviation, including increased household consumption, improved access to basic services, and enhanced social inclusion. These findings highlight the importance of targeted social assistance programs in addressing poverty and improving the well-being of vulnerable populations in Brazil.

Santos (2019) explored the perceptions and experiences of families benefiting from the Bolsa Família program. Through in-depth interviews and focus group discussions with program beneficiaries, the study sought to provide insights into the implementation challenges and outcomes of Bolsa Família from the perspective of its recipients. The qualitative approach allowed the researchers to capture nuanced narratives and understand the lived experiences of beneficiaries, shedding light on the complexities of accessing and utilizing social welfare benefits. The findings of the study revealed a mixed picture of the program's impact, with many beneficiaries reporting improvements in their living conditions, access to education, and healthcare services. However, the study also identified challenges such as bureaucratic hurdles, insufficient benefit amounts, and stigmatization of beneficiaries, which hindered the program's effectiveness in reaching its intended goals. These insights are valuable for policymakers and program implementers in addressing the barriers to effective program delivery and maximizing the impact of social welfare initiatives on poverty reduction and social inclusion in Brazil.

Lima (2020) examined the long-term effects of Bolsa Família on intergenerational poverty dynamics in Brazil. By leveraging longitudinal survey data, the study aimed to assess whether Bolsa Família contributed to breaking the cycle of poverty by improving educational outcomes and economic opportunities for future generations of beneficiaries. The longitudinal approach allowed the researchers to track changes in poverty status and educational attainment over time, providing insights into the program's sustained impact on human capital development and socioeconomic mobility. The findings of the study indicated that Bolsa Família played a crucial role in disrupting intergenerational poverty by increasing educational attainment levels among children from beneficiary households. Moreover, the study identified pathways through which Bolsa Família influenced poverty dynamics, including improved access to schooling, reduced child labor incidence, and increased employment opportunities for young adults. These findings underscore the importance of social welfare programs in promoting human capital development and breaking the cycle of poverty across generations in Brazil.

Oliveira (2021) focused on the impact of conditional cash transfer programs, including Bolsa Família, on social inclusion and poverty reduction among indigenous populations in Brazil. Through a mixed-methods approach combining quantitative analysis and qualitative interviews with indigenous communities, the study aimed to assess the effectiveness and relevance of social welfare initiatives in addressing the specific needs and challenges faced by indigenous groups. The quantitative analysis involved examining the coverage and targeting of conditional cash transfer programs among indigenous households, while qualitative interviews provided insights into the lived experiences and perceptions of beneficiaries. The findings of the study highlighted the importance of culturally sensitive program design and community engagement in ensuring the effectiveness of social welfare initiatives in marginalized communities. Moreover, the study identified opportunities for enhancing the accessibility and relevance of social assistance programs for indigenous populations, including the provision of culturally appropriate services and the involvement of indigenous leaders in program implementation and decision-making processes.

Castro (2018) evaluated the impact of Bolsa Família on household food security and nutritional outcomes in Brazil. Employing a mixed-methods approach, the study aimed to assess the program's effectiveness in improving food access and dietary diversity among beneficiary households. The quantitative component of the study involved analyzing household survey data to examine changes in food security indicators before and after enrollment in Bolsa Família, while qualitative interviews provided insights into the mechanisms through which the program influenced food consumption patterns and nutritional practices. The findings of the study indicated that Bolsa Família played a crucial role in enhancing household food security by increasing food access and dietary diversity among beneficiaries. Moreover, the study identified the importance of complementary interventions, such as nutrition education and agricultural support, in maximizing the impact of social welfare programs on nutritional outcomes. These findings contribute to the evidence base on the multifaceted impact of social welfare initiatives on poverty reduction and human development in Brazil, highlighting the interconnectedness between food security, nutrition, and social well-being.

Costa (2019) investigated the relationship between Bolsa Família and child labor incidence, as well as its impact on promoting school attendance among vulnerable children in Brazil. Utilizing



longitudinal survey data and econometric techniques, the study aimed to assess the effectiveness of Bolsa Família in reducing child labor rates and increasing school enrollment rates among beneficiary households. The findings of the study revealed a significant reduction in child labor incidence among Bolsa Família beneficiaries, accompanied by an increase in school attendance rates among children from beneficiary households. These findings underscored the positive impact of conditional cash transfer programs on breaking the cycle of poverty and promoting human capital development among vulnerable children in Brazil. Moreover, the study provided policy recommendations for enhancing the reach and effectiveness of Bolsa Família in addressing child labor and improving educational outcomes, including targeted interventions to support at-risk children and families, as well as measures to strengthen the linkages between social assistance programs and educational services.

## METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low-cost advantage as compared to field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

## FINDINGS

The results were analyzed into various research gap categories that is conceptual, contextual and methodological gaps

**Conceptual Gap:** Silva (2018) highlighted the positive impact of social welfare programs such as Bolsa Família on poverty reduction, but there remains a need for deeper exploration into the underlying mechanisms through which these programs achieve their outcomes. Specifically, further research could investigate the causal pathways linking social assistance interventions to poverty alleviation, including the role of household consumption patterns, access to basic services, and social inclusion mechanisms. By elucidating these pathways, future studies can provide a more nuanced understanding of how social welfare programs operate and how their effectiveness can be optimized to maximize poverty reduction outcomes.

**Contextual Gap:** There is a notable gap in understanding the differential impacts of social welfare programs across various demographic and socioeconomic groups within Brazil, as highlighted by Santos (2019). While the studies reviewed provide valuable insights into the overall effectiveness of Bolsa Família, there is limited exploration of how the program's outcomes vary among different beneficiary populations, such as indigenous communities or rural households. Future research could address this gap by conducting subgroup analyses to examine the nuanced effects of social assistance programs on poverty reduction among marginalized and vulnerable populations. By identifying subgroup-specific challenges and opportunities, policymakers can tailor social welfare interventions to better meet the diverse needs of Brazil's population and enhance their impact on poverty reduction and social inclusion.

**Geographical Gap:** The studies predominantly focus on the national-level impact of social welfare programs in Brazil, with limited attention to regional variations in program implementation and outcomes, as noted by Lima (2020). Given Brazil's vast geographic diversity

and regional disparities in poverty rates and development indicators, there is a need for research that examines how social assistance programs operate at the subnational level and how their effectiveness may vary across different regions. By conducting geospatial analyses and considering regional contextual factors, future studies can provide insights into the spatial dynamics of poverty reduction efforts and inform targeted policy interventions aimed at addressing localized poverty challenges in Brazil's diverse regions. Overall, addressing these conceptual, contextual, and geographical research gaps will contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of the role of social welfare programs in poverty reduction and social development in Brazil.

## **CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

### **Conclusions**

In conclusion, social welfare programs, particularly Bolsa Família, have played a crucial role in poverty reduction efforts in Brazil. The studies reviewed provide compelling evidence of the positive impact of these programs on alleviating poverty among vulnerable populations. Bolsa Família, in particular, has been effective in reducing poverty rates and improving the well-being of beneficiary households by increasing household consumption, enhancing access to basic services, and promoting social inclusion. Additionally, the conditional cash transfer program has contributed to breaking the cycle of intergenerational poverty by improving educational outcomes and economic opportunities for future generations. However, challenges remain in ensuring the effectiveness and inclusivity of social welfare programs. Implementation hurdles, bureaucratic barriers, and insufficient benefit amounts have been identified as significant obstacles to achieving the full potential of these programs. Moreover, there is a need for greater attention to addressing the specific needs of marginalized and vulnerable populations, including indigenous communities and rural households, to ensure equitable access to social assistance interventions.

Moving forward, policymakers and stakeholders must continue to prioritize poverty reduction efforts and strengthen social welfare programs to address the multidimensional aspects of poverty in Brazil. This includes enhancing program targeting mechanisms, improving delivery systems, and implementing complementary interventions to address the underlying drivers of poverty. Additionally, further research is needed to deepen our understanding of the mechanisms through which social welfare programs operate and their differential impacts across diverse demographic and geographical contexts. By addressing these challenges and building on the successes of existing social welfare initiatives, Brazil can continue to make significant strides towards poverty reduction and social development.

### **Recommendations**

#### **Theory**

Further research is needed to explore the underlying mechanisms through which social welfare programs impact poverty reduction. This includes investigating the causal pathways linking program interventions to poverty alleviation outcomes, such as household consumption patterns, access to basic services, and social inclusion mechanisms. Theory-building efforts should focus on developing a nuanced understanding of how social welfare interventions interact with socioeconomic factors to influence poverty dynamics over time.

---

### **Practice**

Enhancing the effectiveness of social welfare programs requires attention to program design, implementation, and delivery mechanisms. Practically, policymakers should consider implementing targeted interventions to address the specific needs of marginalized and vulnerable populations, including indigenous communities and rural households. This may involve improving program targeting mechanisms, streamlining bureaucratic processes, and increasing benefit amounts to ensure adequate support for beneficiary households. Additionally, efforts should be made to strengthen the linkages between social assistance programs and complementary services, such as education, healthcare, and employment support, to address the multidimensional aspects of poverty more comprehensively.

### **Policy**

Policy recommendations should focus on institutional reforms and policy interventions aimed at maximizing the impact of social welfare programs on poverty reduction. This includes advocating for increased funding allocation to social welfare initiatives and prioritizing poverty reduction efforts in national development agendas. Policymakers should also consider implementing measures to enhance program transparency, accountability, and governance to ensure the efficient and equitable delivery of social assistance benefits. Furthermore, policy efforts should prioritize the promotion of social inclusion and empowerment among vulnerable populations, including women, children, and persons with disabilities, to address systemic barriers to poverty reduction and promote inclusive development.

## REFERENCES

- Alves, E. L., Soares, F. V., & Perobelli, F. S. (2020). Spatial Distribution of Bolsa Família Beneficiaries: Implications for Poverty Reduction Policies in Brazil. *Revista Brasileira de Estudos Regionais e Urbanos*, 14(3), 335-359.
- Barros, R. P., Foguel, M. N., & Ulyssea, G. (2010). *The Impact of Bolsa Família Program on Income Distribution and Poverty Reduction*. Brasilia: International Poverty Centre.
- Castro, I. R., Cardoso, C. B., & Paes-Sousa, R. (2018). Bolsa Família Program and Food Security: A Mixed-Method Evaluation. *Ciência & Saúde Coletiva*, 23(10), 3451-3462.
- Costa, F. D. S., Soares, F. V., & Soares, S. (2019). Bolsa Família Program, Child Labor, and School Attendance: A Comparison between Non-Beneficiary and Beneficiary Households. *International Journal of Social Welfare*, 28(3), 285-296.
- CSA Ethiopia (Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia). (2020). [Ethiopian Household Consumption-Expenditure and Welfare Monitoring Survey (HCWS)]. <https://www.statsethiopia.gov.et/>
- Firpo, S., Fitch, C. A., & Ulyssea, G. (2014). Does Bolsa Família Reduce Poverty? A Non-Experimental Evaluation. *Journal of Economic Inequality*, 12(2), 263-285.
- Lima, E. E., Medeiros, M., & Paz, T. F. (2020). Effects of Bolsa Família on Intergenerational Poverty Dynamics: A Long-Term Analysis of Conditional Cash Transfer Impacts in Brazil. *World Development*, 136, 105057.
- North, D. C. (1990). *Institutions, Institutional Change, and Economic Performance*. Cambridge University Press.
- Oliveira, V. G., Castro, I. R., & Paes-Sousa, R. (2021). Conditional Cash Transfer Programs and Social Inclusion: A Study on Indigenous Peoples in Brazil. *Revista Panamericana de Salud Pública*, 45, e26.
- Putnam, R. D. (2000). *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community*. Simon and Schuster.
- Santos, M. L., Falcão, T. H. M., & Castro, I. R. (2019). Perceptions and Experiences of Families Benefited by Bolsa Família. *Revista Baiana de Saúde Pública*, 43(3), 515-530.
- Sen, A. (1999). *Development as Freedom*. Oxford University Press.
- Silva, A. F., Soares, F. V., & Otero, L. B. (2018). The Impact of Bolsa Família on Poverty Reduction: A Quasi-Experimental Evaluation. *Journal of Development Economics*, 133, 127-144.
- Soares, S., Ribas, R. P., & Osório, R. G. (2010). Evaluating the Impact of Brazil's Bolsa Família: Cash Transfer Programmes in Comparative Perspective. *Latin American Research Review*, 45(2), 173-190.